

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Foreword by Anselmo Reyes</i> .....	v
<i>Preface</i> .....	vii
<i>About the Authors</i> .....	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i> .....	xv
<i>Table of Legislation</i> .....	xxix

## PART A

### THE PLANNING FRAMEWORK

#### CHAPTER 1 THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND THE STATUTORY FRAMEWORK

1. The Planning Department .....	1
2. The Statutory Framework .....	1
2.1 The Town Planning Ordinance (Cap 131) .....	1
2.2 Appointment and organisation of the Town Planning Board.....	2
2.3 Board and committee meetings .....	4
3. Development Plans .....	9
3.1 Publicity and consultation .....	13
3.2 Amendments to and approval of draft plans .....	15
3.3 Submission to and decision by Chief Executive.....	16
3.4 Revocation, replacement and amendment of approved plans .....	18
3.5 Applications for planning permission, amendments to permissions, review and appeal .....	22
3.6 Amendments to permissions.....	30
3.7 Review of decisions.....	32
3.8 Appeals to the Town Planning Appeal Board .....	36
3.9 Costs .....	40
4. Forms, Policy and Guidance .....	41
5. Enforcement.....	41

## PART B

### CHALLENGING AND DEFENDING PLANNING DECISIONS

#### CHAPTER 2 BASIC PRINCIPLES AND SPECIFIC ISSUES IN JUDICIAL REVIEW

1. Judicial Review – Supervision of Administrative Action .....	47
2. Reviewability – Who Can Be Challenged.....	48
3. Standing – Who Can Challenge .....	48
4. Leave .....	49
5. Promptness and Timing.....	52
5.1 Reason for the rule.....	52
5.2 Extending time.....	54
5.3 Timing of the challenge.....	57
5.4 Legal Aid .....	57

6. Duty of Candour .....	57
7. Alternative Remedy.....	58
8. Grounds of Challenge .....	59
8.1 Illegality, irrationality and impropriety .....	59
8.2 Illegality.....	59
8.3 Irrationality .....	60
8.4 Procedural impropriety.....	61
9. Proportionality .....	62
9.1 Proportionality vs Wednesbury.....	62
10. Legitimate Expectation .....	65
11. Fettering or Abuse of Discretion.....	66
12. Error of Fact.....	67
13. Framing of the Grounds of Review.....	68
14. Evidence and Reasoning .....	68
15. Remedies.....	69
16. Costs.....	70
17. Focus on Further Themes in Judicial Review Challenges .....	70
17.1 Anxious scrutiny.....	70
17.2 Deference to the decision-maker.....	71
17.3 Interpretation of policies.....	73
17.4 The Rule of Law .....	75

**CHAPTER 3 ACCESS TO COURT: STANDING .....** 77

**CHAPTER 4 ACCESS TO COURT: FUNDING**

1. Protective Costs Orders.....	87
1.1 Purpose and utility.....	87
1.2 Jurisdiction and the special character of public law cases .....	89
1.3 Early development in various jurisdictions .....	90
2. Governing Principles of the Protective Costs Order: The <i>Corner House</i> Criteria.....	97
2.1 Form of the Order.....	97
2.2 Balancing between the parties .....	98
2.3 Procedure.....	99
2.4 Position of parties other than the respondent .....	99
3. Approach in Hong Kong .....	100
4. Elements of the Protective Costs Order – <i>Corner House</i> and Beyond .....	110
4.1 Twin overarching criteria.....	110
4.2 Extent of the benefit required .....	111
4.3 Flexible application .....	116
4.4 Requirement of exceptionality?.....	120
4.4 Merits.....	123
4.5 Private interest of the applicant .....	124
4.6 Financial resources of the parties .....	131
4.7 Reasonableness in probably withdrawing the case .....	142
4.8 The terms of the order .....	144
4.9 Timing .....	146
4.10 Procedure.....	148
4.11 Use of an amicus .....	148
5. Recent Development in Hong Kong .....	149
5.1 The constitutional dimension .....	157

**CHAPTER 5 COMMON GROUNDS OF CHALLENGE:  
WEDNESBURY, ISSUES OF FAIRNESS,  
THE TAMESIDE DUTY, SPOT ZONING,  
CONSTITUTIONAL POINTS**

1. Wednesbury Irrationality.....	161
1.1 Wednesbury test.....	161
1.2 Deference to the decision-maker’s planning judgment .....	162
2. Issues of Fairness .....	164
2.1 Importance of context.....	164
2.2 Nature and function of the Board .....	165
2.3 Right to be heard before the Board.....	166
2.4 Independence of the Town Planning Board.....	168
2.5 Practices of the Board.....	173
2.6 Systemic unfairness arising from the Board’s practices? .....	185
3. Failure to Make Sufficient Enquiries – The Tameside Duty .....	191
4. Ultra Vires – Spot Zoning Challenges .....	197
5. Constitutional Points: Planning Restrictions and the Protection of Private Property Rights.....	204
5.1 Relevant Articles of the Basic Law .....	205
5.2 Approach of the Court of First Instance and Court of Appeal.....	205
5.3 Engagement of Articles 6 and 105.....	207
5.4 Effect of the qualification ‘in accordance with law’ .....	207
5.5 Does it matter where the Article is located within the body of the Basic Law? .....	208
5.6 Does Article 7 bear on whether Articles 6 and 105 are engaged?.....	208
5.7 Is it relevant that Article 105 makes no provision for compensation for interference with land? .....	208
5.8 Are property rights intrinsically subject to restrictions?.....	209
5.9 Restrictions in place prior to acquisition of a site .....	209
5.10 Must there be a proportionality analysis of the planning restrictions?.....	210
5.11 Rational connection of the restrictions to a legitimate aim .....	211
5.12 Assessment of proportionality: inter-relationship of the elements of the analysis.....	212
5.13 Three or four-step inquiry?.....	213
5.14 Standards to be adopted in assessing proportionality.....	217
5.15 Principles to be applied in choosing between the competing standards.....	220
5.16 Relevance of the content and features of the impugned measure.....	221
5.17 The relationship between the two standards of ‘manifestly without reasonable foundation’ and ‘no more than necessary’ .....	222
Appendix 1 Town Planning Ordinance (Cap 131).....	227
Appendix 2 Town Planning Regulations (Cap 131A).....	279
Appendix 3 Town Planning (Amendments) Regulations (Cap 131B).....	283
<i>Index</i> .....	289