

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-------|
| List of Abbreviations | xvii |
| Acknowledgments | xxiii |
| CHAPTER 1 | |
| Introduction | 1 |
| §1.01 Great Importance of Document Production in International Arbitration | 1 |
| §1.02 Unresolved Problems and Suggested Solutions | 2 |
| CHAPTER 2 | |
| Definition of Document Production and Distinction from Other Terms | 5 |
| §2.01 Definition of Document Production | 5 |
| [A] Definition of Document | 5 |
| [B] Several Meanings of Production | 6 |
| [C] Definition of Document Production | 6 |
| §2.02 Distinction between Procedural and Substantive Obligation | 7 |
| §2.03 Distinction between Document Production, Discovery and Disclosure | 8 |
| [A] Introduction | 8 |
| [B] Distinction between Document Production and Discovery in the US | 9 |
| [C] Distinction between Document Production and Disclosure in England | 9 |
| [D] Summary | 10 |
| CHAPTER 3 | |
| Purpose of Document Production | 11 |
| §3.01 Clash of Views in Cross-Cultural Arbitration | 11 |
| §3.02 The Common Law Search for the Truth | 12 |
| §3.03 Civil Law Approach Is Based on the Burden of Proof | 14 |
| §3.04 Purpose of Document Production in International Arbitration | 16 |

| | |
|---|----|
| CHAPTER 4 | |
| Arbitral Tribunals' Broad Discretion | 21 |
| §4.01 Introduction | 21 |
| §4.02 Broad Discretion Granted by Legislation | 22 |
| [A] Power of the Arbitral Tribunal to Order Document Production | 22 |
| [B] Minimum Standards Imposed by Legislation | 23 |
| [C] No Rules on Privilege in Arbitration Statutes | 24 |
| [D] Summary | 24 |
| §4.03 Document Production and Institutional Arbitration Rules | 25 |
| [A] Introduction | 25 |
| [B] Broad Discretion Granted by ICC, Swiss, Vienna and DIS Rules | 25 |
| [C] Controversy about the Interpretation of the UNCITRAL Rules | 26 |
| [D] Broad Discretion Granted by LCIA Rules | 26 |
| [E] Exclusion of Broad Document Production by SCC and SIAC Rules | 27 |
| [F] ICDR Rules Provide Specific Rules | 29 |
| [G] Reform Proposal | 30 |
| §4.04 Conclusion | 31 |
| CHAPTER 5 | |
| Interpretation of the IBA Rules | 33 |
| §5.01 Introduction | 33 |
| §5.02 Overview of the Requirements of Document Production | 35 |
| §5.03 The Challenge of Electronic Document Production | 35 |
| §5.04 General Comments about the Interpretation of the IBA Rules | 36 |
| §5.05 Specificity | 37 |
| [A] Introduction | 37 |
| [B] Specific Documents | 37 |
| [C] Narrow and Specific Requested Category | 39 |
| [D] Requests for Electronic Documents | 42 |
| [E] Other Guidelines on E-Document Production | 45 |
| [F] Summary | 47 |
| §5.06 Relevance and Materiality | 47 |
| [A] Introduction | 47 |
| [B] Two Legal Bases | 48 |
| [C] Different Origins of the Two Requirements | 48 |
| [D] Different Thresholds of Relevance under the 1999 and 2010 IBA Rules | 49 |
| [E] Definition of Materiality to the Outcome of the Case | 52 |
| [F] Overall Threshold Is the Same as under the 1999 IBA Rules | 54 |
| [G] Prima Facie Relevance and Materiality | 54 |
| [H] Burden of Proof Is Not a Requirement | 55 |
| [I] Examples of Material and Immaterial Documents | 57 |
| [J] Materiality of Internal Documents | 58 |
| [K] Materiality of Metadata | 59 |
| [L] Summary | 60 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| §5.07 The Prohibition of Fishing Expeditions | 61 |
| §5.08 Possession, Custody or Control | 64 |
| [A] Overview of Requirements | 64 |
| [B] Definition of Possession, Custody or Control | 64 |
| [C] Unreasonable Burden for the Requesting Party | 68 |
| [D] No Production of Parties' Correspondence and of Public Documents | 68 |
| [E] No Implicit Condition That a Party Submits Its Own Evidence | 69 |
| [F] Summary | 69 |
| §5.09 Privileges | 70 |
| [A] Introduction | 70 |
| [B] Procedural or Substantive Nature of Privileges? | 70 |
| [C] Definition of Privilege in International Arbitration | 74 |
| [D] Overview on the Content of the IBA Rules | 74 |
| [E] Applicable Law to Privileges | 76 |
| [F] 'Most Favoured Nation Approach' | 78 |
| [G] Legal Professional Privilege under US and English Law | 80 |
| [H] Legal Professional Privilege under Swiss and German Law | 82 |
| [I] Comparative Overview on Settlement Privilege | 85 |
| [J] Further Types of Privileges | 86 |
| [K] Waiver of Privileges under English and US Law | 86 |
| [L] Waiver of Privileges under German and Swiss law | 87 |
| [M] Summary | 88 |
| [N] Comments on the Current Version of the IBA Rules | 88 |
| §5.10 Legal Impediment | 90 |
| §5.11 Commercial or Technical Confidentiality | 90 |
| [A] Introduction | 90 |
| [B] Distinction between Confidentiality and Privileges | 91 |
| [C] Complete Exclusion of Evidence Is the Exception | 91 |
| [D] Definition of Technical and Commercial Confidentiality | 92 |
| [E] Compelling Grounds to Exclude Documents | 93 |
| [F] Confidentiality Agreements | 94 |
| [G] Overview on Confidentiality Measures | 94 |
| [H] Redactions | 95 |
| [I] Confidentiality Experts | 95 |
| [J] Only-Counsel Review | 96 |
| [K] Clean Teams | 97 |
| [L] Summary | 97 |
| §5.12 Political or Institutional Sensitivity | 97 |
| §5.13 Unreasonable Burden | 98 |
| [A] Production of E-Documents Bears the Risk of a High Burden | 98 |
| [B] Delicate Exclusion of Material Documents | 100 |
| [C] Definition of an Unreasonable Burden | 101 |
| [D] Unreasonable Burden of Restoring Data from Back-Up Tapes | 102 |
| [E] An Estimation of Time and Money to Support the Objection | 104 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| [F] Use of 'Sampling' to Reduce the Burden | 104 |
| [G] Summary | 104 |
| §5.14 Non-existence, Loss and Destruction of Documents | 105 |
| [A] Introduction | 105 |
| [B] Non-existing Documents | 105 |
| [C] Lost and Destroyed Documents | 107 |
| [D] Summary | 110 |
| §5.15 Procedural Economy, Proportionality, Fairness and Equality | 110 |
| §5.16 No Duty to Submit Adverse Documents Based on Good Faith | 112 |
| §5.17 Conclusion | 113 |
| §5.18 Outlook: IBA Rules Should Not Become a Code | 115 |
| CHAPTER 6 | |
| Document Production Strategies | 117 |
| §6.01 Introduction | 117 |
| §6.02 Early Consideration Is the Key Factor | 117 |
| §6.03 Which Party Will Benefit from Document Production? | 118 |
| §6.04 Selection of Arbitrators | 119 |
| §6.05 Influencing the Procedural Rules | 120 |
| §6.06 How to Formulate Document Production Requests | 122 |
| [A] Introduction | 122 |
| [B] Common Law-Style Requests Are Overly Aggressive | 122 |
| [C] The Risk of a Boomerang Effect | 122 |
| [D] How to Request Document Production | 123 |
| §6.07 How to Answer Document Production Requests | 124 |
| §6.08 Document Production and Guerrilla Tactics | 124 |
| §6.09 Conclusion | 126 |
| CHAPTER 7 | |
| The Expectations of the Parties | 129 |
| §7.01 Introduction | 129 |
| §7.02 Common Expectations of the Parties | 130 |
| [A] Generally Recognized Concept in International Arbitration | 130 |
| [B] Definition of Parties' Expectations | 130 |
| [C] Ex Ante or Ex Post Parties' Expectations? | 131 |
| [D] Difficulties in Determining Expectations of Global Companies | 133 |
| [E] In Which Cases Do Expectations of the Parties Coincide? | 135 |
| [F] Summary | 136 |
| §7.03 Legitimate Expectations of Only One Party Are Insufficient | 137 |
| §7.04 No Duty of Arbitral Tribunals to Balance Different Expectations | 137 |
| §7.05 Procedural Neutrality | 138 |
| §7.06 Discretion of the Arbitral Tribunal | 139 |
| §7.07 Presumed Intent, Hypothetical Bargain and Implied Terms | 140 |
| [A] Introduction | 140 |
| [B] Presumed Intent of the Parties in Some Civil Law Countries | 141 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| [C] Hypothetical Bargain under US Law | 143 |
| [D] Implied Terms under English Law | 143 |
| [E] Would These Concepts Allow Filling Gaps in Arbitration Agreements? | 145 |
| [F] No Application of Contractual Law of Seat of Arbitration | 146 |
| [G] The Concepts Are Not Internationally Recognized | 147 |
| §7.08 Conclusion | 148 |
| CHAPTER 8 | |
| Model Clauses | 149 |
| §8.01 Introduction | 149 |
| §8.02 Imperative Need for New Solutions | 149 |
| §8.03 General Considerations on the Establishment of Evidentiary Rules | 151 |
| [A] Introduction | 151 |
| [B] Use of Evidentiary Rules as Guidelines | 151 |
| [C] Detailed Rules Increase the Predictability of Procedural Decisions | 152 |
| [D] Inclusion of a Model Clause in Procedural Order No. 1 | 154 |
| [E] Summary | 155 |
| §8.04 Case-by-Case Modifications of the IBA Rules and the ICDR Rules | 155 |
| §8.05 Model Clauses for Parties with Civil Law Expectations | 156 |
| [A] Introduction | 156 |
| [B] Exclusion of Duty to Produce Internal Documents | 157 |
| [C] Exclusion of Requests for Categories of Documents | 159 |
| [D] Exclusion of Internal Documents and Categories of Documents | 159 |
| [E] Limitation of Number of Document Production Requests? | 160 |
| [F] Summary | 160 |
| §8.06 Model Clauses for Parties with Common Law Expectations | 161 |
| §8.07 Model Clauses for Parties Expecting Typical Arbitration Proceedings | 162 |
| [A] Introduction | 162 |
| [B] Exclusion of Search Terms and Duty to Preserve Documents | 163 |
| [C] Inclusion of Search Terms and Duty to Preserve Documents | 165 |
| [D] Summary | 165 |
| §8.08 Model Clause for Privileges | 166 |
| [A] A High Minimum Standard for Privilege | 166 |
| [B] Goal of Model Clause 7 | 166 |
| [C] The Requirements of Model Clause 7 | 167 |
| [D] The Need for a Privilege for In-House Counsel | 167 |
| [E] No Rule on Inadvertent Disclosure Needed | 167 |
| §8.09 Controversial Model Clauses | 168 |
| [A] Introduction | 168 |
| [B] Problematic Application of the US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure | 168 |
| [C] Complete Exclusion of Document Production | 169 |
| [D] Summary | 170 |
| §8.10 Conclusion | 170 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| CHAPTER 9 | |
| Sanctions | 173 |
| §9.01 Introduction | 173 |
| §9.02 Adverse Inferences | 174 |
| [A] Introduction | 174 |
| [B] Arbitrators' Authority to Draw Adverse Inferences | 174 |
| [C] Content of Article 9(5) IBA Rules | 175 |
| [D] No Strict Requirements for Drawing Adverse Inferences | 175 |
| [E] Free Assessment of Evidence | 178 |
| [F] It Is Not a Question of Fault | 178 |
| [G] No Request to Draw Adverse Inferences Needed | 178 |
| [H] Legal Background Cannot Justify Non-compliance | 179 |
| [I] Conclusion | 179 |
| §9.03 Allocation of Costs | 179 |
| §9.04 No Monetary Penalties in Most Countries | 180 |
| §9.05 No Sanctions against Party Representatives | 181 |
| §9.06 Conclusion | 182 |
| CHAPTER 10 | |
| Document Production Orders and Annulment and Enforcement of the Award | 185 |
| §10.01 Introduction | 185 |
| §10.02 Risk of Annulment or Non-enforcement Is Remote in Most Countries | 187 |
| §10.03 The Influence of Grounds for Annulment in Arbitration Proceedings | 189 |
| §10.04 Limited Harmonization of Grounds for Annulment and Non-enforcement | 190 |
| [A] Harmonization by UNCITRAL Model Law and the New York Convention | 190 |
| [B] Growing Importance of International Minimum Standards | 190 |
| [C] Document Production and Grounds for Annulment and Non-enforcement | 194 |
| §10.05 Fishing Expeditions and Public Policy in Civil Law Countries | 195 |
| [A] Introduction | 195 |
| [B] Intense Debate on Fishing Expeditions in Germany | 196 |
| [C] Controversial Discussion of Procedural Public Policy in Austria | 201 |
| [D] The Italian Viewpoint on Discovery and Public Policy | 201 |
| [E] Fishing Expeditions in Arbitration Do Not Violate Swiss Public Policy | 202 |
| §10.06 Document Production and the Party's Ability to Present Its Case | 203 |
| [A] Introduction | 203 |
| [B] Document Production and Due Process in the United States | 205 |
| [C] Document Production and the Right to Be Heard in Austria | 207 |
| [D] Document Production and the Right to Be Heard in Switzerland | 209 |
| §10.07 Privileges and the Right to a Fair Trial | 213 |
| §10.08 Adverse Inferences Do Not Violate Due Process | 214 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| §10.09 Document Production and Equal Treatment of the Parties in Switzerland | 215 |
| [A] Introduction | 215 |
| [B] Legal Bases under the PILA and the New York Convention | 215 |
| [C] Case Law of the Swiss Federal Tribunal | 217 |
| [D] Distinction between Right of Equal Treatment and Right to Be Heard | 217 |
| [E] Content of the Right of Equal Treatment | 218 |
| §10.10 Concealed Documents and Awards Obtained by Fraud | 218 |
| [A] Introduction | 218 |
| [B] 68(2)(g) Arbitration Act 1996 | 219 |
| [C] <i>Elektrim SA v. Vivendi Universal SA</i> | 219 |
| [D] <i>Profilati Italia Srl v. PaineWebber Inc.</i> | 220 |
| [E] <i>Gater Assets Limited v. NAK Naftogaz Ukrainiy</i> | 220 |
| [F] <i>Chantiers de l'Atlantique S.A. v. Gaztransport & Technigaz S.A.S.</i> | 222 |
| [G] Three Legal Bases under Swiss Law | 223 |
| [H] Discovery of Decisive Evidence as Ground for Revision under Swiss Law | 225 |
| [I] Summary | 226 |
| §10.11 Document Production and Violations of the Agreed Procedure | 227 |
| [A] Violations of the Agreed Procedure under the New York Convention | 227 |
| [B] Violations of the Agreed Procedure under National Laws on Annulment | 227 |
| [C] Types of Procedural Agreements on Document Production | 228 |
| [D] Limited Number of Procedural Agreements on Document Production | 230 |
| [E] Summary | 231 |
| §10.12 The OLG Frankfurt Case and Its Lessons | 231 |
| [A] Annulment Decision of the Higher Regional Court of Frankfurt | 231 |
| [B] Lessons for Post-M & A Disputes | 235 |
| [C] Lessons for the Conduct of Arbitral Proceedings | 236 |
| [D] Lessons on Primacy of Party Autonomy | 236 |
| [E] Distinction between Procedural Orders and Parties' Agreements | 237 |
| [F] OLG Frankfurt Case Illustrates Risks of Rigid Rules | 238 |
| [G] Criterion of Procedure Not in Accordance with the Parties' Agreement | 239 |
| [H] Criterion of Effect on the Outcome of the Arbitral Proceedings | 242 |
| [I] Summary of Lessons from OLG Frankfurt Case | 242 |
| §10.13 Waiver of Procedural Objections | 243 |
| §10.14 Relationship between Setting Aside and Enforcement Proceedings | 245 |
| §10.15 Conclusion | 249 |

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------------------|-----|
| CHAPTER 11 | |
| Conclusion | 251 |
| Bibliography | 255 |
| Table of Cases | 283 |
| Table of Legislation | 291 |
| Index | 295 |

<http://www.pbookshop.com>