

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Abbreviations: Books Referred to in the Text</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
<i>Table of Statutes</i>	lxiii
<i>Table of Statutory Instruments</i>	lxxi
<i>Table of European Legislation</i>	lxxv
<i>Table of Foreign Statutes</i>	lxxvii
	PARA
1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
I. SCOPE OF THIS BOOK.....	1-01
II. DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN MISREPRESENTATION, MISTAKE AND NON-DISCLOSURE.....	1-03
PART 1 MISREPRESENTATION	
2. INTRODUCTION: THE CLAIM FOR MISREPRESENTATION	
I. SCOPE OF THIS PART.....	2-01
II. THE FOCUS ON INDIVIDUAL REMEDIES FOR MISREPRESENTATION.....	2-02
III. AN OVERVIEW OF THE REMEDIES FOR MISREPRESENTATION.....	2-04
3. ELEMENTS COMMON TO A CLAIM FOR MISREPRESENTATION	
I. THE CORE PROBLEM: DEFINING ACTIONABLE STATEMENTS.....	3-01
II. THE STATEMENT.....	3-03

III. STATEMENT OF FACT?	
(1) A Statement on which the Representee was Entitled to Rely	3-12
(2) Statements of Fact Contrasted with "Sales Talk", Uncertain Statements and Statements of Opinion	3-14
(3) Fact Contrasted with Law	
(a) <i>The "misrepresentation of law" rule</i>	3-20
(b) <i>The law/fact distinction</i>	3-22
(c) <i>The origins and rationale of the distinction</i>	3-28
(d) <i>Rejection of the "mistake of law" rule in restitution, and the law/fact distinction in other jurisdictions</i>	3-34
(e) <i>The future: assimilation with the rules for misrepresentations of opinion? Applying the underlying principle</i>	3-39
(4) (Present) Fact Contrasted with Future Fact or Intention	3-42
(5) Other Tests for Other Remedies	3-45
IV. THE REPRESENTOR'S STATE OF MIND	3-48
V. RELIANCE; CAUSATION	3-50
4. RESCISSION OF THE CONTRACT FOR MISREPRESENTATION	
I. THE NATURE OF THE REMEDY	
(1) Rescission at Common Law and in Equity	4-01
(2) The Effect of Rescission	4-05
(3) The Mechanics of Rescission	4-18
II. ELEMENTS OF THE CLAIM	4-21
(1) The Representation	4-23
(2) The Representor's State of Mind	4-29
(3) Reliance by the Representee	4-32
III. LIMITS TO THE REMEDY	4-38
(1) Affirmation of the Contract	4-39
(2) Lapse of Time	4-48
(3) Impossibility of Restitution	4-52
(4) Intervening Third-Party Rights	4-59
(5) Misrepresentation Act 1967, Section 2(2)	4-61
(6) Exclusion and Limitation Clauses	
IV. MISREPRESENTATIONS BY THIRD PARTIES	4-73
5. LIABILITY IN TORT FOR MISREPRESENTATION: I—FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION	
I. THE TORT OF DECEIT	5-01

II. ELEMENTS OF THE CLAIM IN THE TORT OF DECEIT	5-05
(1) The Representation	5-06
(2) The Representor's State of Mind	5-13
(3) Reliance by the Representee	5-23
III. DEFENCES	5-29
IV. THE DAMAGES RECOVERABLE	5-35
V. ALLEGING AND PROVING FRAUD	5-46
6. LIABILITY IN TORT FOR MISREPRESENTATION: II—NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION	
I. THE TORT OF NEGLIGENCE	
(1) No General Liability for "Careless" Statements	6-01
(2) Development of Liability in Tort for Careless Statements	6-06
II. ELEMENTS OF THE CLAIM IN THE TORT OF NEGLIGENCE	6-12
(1) Duty of Care	
(a) <i>General principles</i>	6-13
(b) <i>Application of general principles to representations</i>	6-16
(c) <i>Duties of care between parties to a contract</i>	6-27
(d) <i>Particular categories of duty in respect of statements</i>	6-30
(2) Breach of Duty	6-43
(3) Damage	6-46
III. DEFENCES	6-47
IV. THE DAMAGES RECOVERABLE	6-52
V. OTHER REMEDIES IN DAMAGES FOR NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION	6-64
7. STATUTORY LIABILITY FOR MISREPRESENTATION	
I. DIFFERENT FORMS OF STATUTORY LIABILITY	7-01
II. GENERAL LIABILITY: SECTION 2(1) OF THE MISREPRESENTATION ACT 1967	
(1) Elements of the Claim Under Section 2(1)	7-03
(a) <i>The remedy is limited to contract</i>	7-05
(b) <i>The representation</i>	7-07
(c) <i>Loss</i>	7-15
(d) <i>The "fiction of fraud"</i>	7-16
(2) The Statutory Defence: Honest and Reasonable Belief	7-23
(3) Other Defences	7-26

(4) The Damages Recoverable	7-31
(5) Relationship to Other Remedies for Misrepresentation	7-44
III. SPECIFIC LIABILITIES	
(1) Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, Section 90	7-49
(2) Package Travel, Package Holidays and Package Tours Regulations 1992	7-66
(3) Consumer Insurance (Disclosure and Representations) Act 2012	7-71
(4) Consumers' Rights to Redress under the Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008	7-76
(5) Liability Under Other Statutes	7-82
8. MISREPRESENTATION AS BREACH OF CONTRACT	
I. ELEMENTS OF THE CLAIM	8-01
(1) The Contractual Promise	
(a) <i>Incorporation of the representation</i>	8-04
(b) <i>Criteria to test incorporation of the representation at common law</i>	8-06
(c) <i>Incorporation of the representation by statute</i>	8-14
(d) <i>Contractual duties of care</i>	8-20
(2) Breach of Contract	8-23
II. DEFENCES	8-25
III. REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT	8-29
(1) Damages	8-30
(2) Termination	8-41
(3) Consumer Remedies for Breach of Terms in Contracts for the Supply of Goods, Digital Content and Services	8-46
9. EXCLUSION AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITY FOR MISREPRESENTATION	
I. DIFFERENT FORMS OF CLAUSE OR NOTICE EXCLUDING OR LIMITING LIABILITY	9-01
II. COMMON LAW CONTROLS OF EXCLUSION AND LIMITATION CLAUSES	
(1) The Scope of the Common Law Controls	9-08
(2) Examples of the Courts' Construction of Clauses	9-12
III. STATUTORY CONTROLS OF EXCLUSION AND LIMITATION CLAUSES	9-18
(1) Misrepresentation Act 1967, Section 3	9-19
(2) Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	9-31

(3) Consumer Rights Act 2015	9-37
------------------------------------	------

10. MISREPRESENTATION AS A DEFENCE

I. THE DEFENCE OF MISREPRESENTATION DISTINGUISHED FROM A COUNTERCLAIM BASED ON MISREPRESENTATION	10-01
II. ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE USE OF MISREPRESENTATION AS A DEFENCE	
(1) Refusal of Specific Performance	10-04
(2) Defence to Actions for Debt or Damages	10-10
(3) Defence to the Enforcement of Deeds, Written Contracts and Other Documents	10-12
III. MISREPRESENTATION AND ESTOPPEL	10-18

**11. PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE RELATING TO CLAIMS
FOR MISREPRESENTATION**

I. CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES AND PRACTICE	11-01
II. PARTICULAR RULES AND PRACTICE RELEVANT TO MISREPRESENTATION CLAIMS AND DEFENCES	11-03

**PART 2
MISTAKE****12. INTRODUCTION: CATEGORISING MISTAKES**

I. SCOPE OF THIS PART	12-01
II. WHAT IS A "MISTAKE"?	12-02
III. CATEGORISING MISTAKES	12-07
IV. THE RELUCTANCE TO REMEDY A MISTAKE	12-13
V. AN OVERVIEW OF THE REMEDIES FOR MISTAKE	12-17

13. MISTAKES ABOUT THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT

I. INTRODUCTION: "MISTAKE" ABOUT THE TERMS	13-01
II. FORMATION OF THE CONTRACT: THE "OBJECTIVE TEST"	
(1) Subjectivity and Objectivity	13-04
(2) The General Approach in English Law	13-08
III. PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE OBJECTIVE TEST	
(1) Misunderstandings Incapable of Resolution	13-20
(2) Mistake of One Party, Known (or Ought to be Known) by the Other	13-21

(3) Relevance of the Parties' Fault.....	13-24
(4) The Consequences at Common Law of a Mistake About the Terms of the Contract.....	13-27
(5) Equitable Remedies for a Mistake about the Terms.....	13-30
IV. WRITTEN CONTRACTS	
(1) The Use of Writing in the Formation of a Contract.....	13-32
(2) Remedying Mistakes by Interpretation.....	13-35
(3) Remedying Mistakes by Rectification: Common Mistakes.....	13-38
(4) Remedying Mistakes by Rectification: Unilateral Mistakes.....	13-44
(5) Limitations on the Remedy of Rectification.....	13-50
(6) The Mechanics of Rectification.....	13-53
(7) <i>Non Est Factum</i>	13-55
14. MISTAKES ABOUT THE IDENTITY OF THE OTHER PARTY	
I. INTRODUCTION: "IDENTITY" AND ITS RELEVANCE IN FORMING A CONTRACT.....	
	14-01
II. THE PRACTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF A MISTAKE OF IDENTITY: VOID AND VOIDABLE CONTRACTS AND TRANSFERS OF PROPERTY.....	
	14-08
III. THE LAW BEFORE <i>SHOGUN FINANCE LTD V HUDSON</i>	
	14-12
(1) The Claimant Must Have Made a Mistake of Identity Which Caused Him to Contract.....	14-13
(2) A Subjective Mistake is Not Sufficient.....	14-15
(3) The Cases: Mistakes of "Identity" Made in Different Circumstances.....	14-18
(a) <i>The parties communicate at a distance</i>	14-19
(b) <i>The parties deal face to face</i>	14-23
(c) <i>The contract is concluded in a single, written document</i>	14-30
(4) Summary of the position before <i>Shogun</i>	14-33
IV. THE CURRENT LAW: <i>SHOGUN FINANCE LTD V HUDSON</i>	
(1) The Issue Decided by the House of Lords.....	14-34
(2) The Decision.....	14-36
(3) The Impact of the Decision in <i>Shogun</i> on the Earlier Authorities.....	14-40

15. MISTAKES ABOUT THE SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE CONTRACT

I. INTRODUCTION: THE NATURE OF A MISTAKE ABOUT THE SUBJECT-MATTER	
(1) What is a Mistake about the Subject-Matter?.....	15-01
(2) Why Should a Mistake about the Subject-Matter be Remedied?.....	15-04
(3) Categories of Mistake about the Subject-Matter.....	15-07
II. UNILATERAL MISTAKE.....	
	15-10
III. COMMON (SHARED) MISTAKE.....	
	15-14
(1) Risk Allocation in the Contract.....	15-15
(2) The Common Law Rule: A Contract May be Void for Common Mistake.....	15-19
(3) Can a Contract be Voidable in Equity for Common Mistake?.....	15-29

PART 3 NON-DISCLOSURE

16. INTRODUCTION: NO GENERAL DUTY OF DISCLOSURE

I. SCOPE OF THIS PART.....	16-01
II. THE GENERAL RULE: NO LIABILITY FOR NON-DISCLOSURE.....	
	16-02

17. PARTICULAR DUTIES OF DISCLOSURE

I. RESCISSION OF THE CONTRACT FOR NON-DISCLOSURE.....	
	17-01
(1) Obligations of Disclosure in Particular Types of Contract	
(a) <i>Contracts "uberrimae fidei"</i>	17-03
(b) <i>Insurance contracts</i>	17-06
(c) <i>Partnership contracts</i>	17-26
(d) <i>Surety contracts</i>	17-27
(e) <i>Compromises, releases and family arrangements</i>	17-33
(f) <i>Contracts for the sale of land</i>	17-36
(g) <i>Other types of contract</i>	17-38
(2) Obligations of Disclosure Arising From Particular Relationships.....	17-39
II. LIABILITY IN TORT FOR NON-DISCLOSURE.....	
	17-43
III. NON-DISCLOSURE AS BREACH OF CONTRACT.....	
	17-45
IV. STATUTORY REMEDIES FOR NON-DISCLOSURE.....	
	17-50
(1) Misrepresentation Act 1967, Section 2(1).....	17-52

(2) Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, Section 90.....	17-53
(3) Consumer Contracts.....	17-59
(4) Remedies for Non-Disclosure Under Other Statutes.....	17-62
V. EXCLUSION OF LIABILITY FOR NON-DISCLOSURE.....	17-64
VI. NON-DISCLOSURE AS A DEFENCE.....	17-65
	PAGE
Index.....	643

TABLE OF CASES

1406 Pub Co Ltd v Hoare [2001] 23 E.G. 154 (C.S.) Ch D	9-12
4 Eng Ltd v Harper [2008] EWHC 915 (Ch); [2009] Ch. 91; [2008] 3 W.L.R. 892; [2008] Bus. L.R. 1698	5-23, 5-37, 5-39, 11-17
A v B [2007] EWHC 1246 (QB); [2007] 2 F.L.R. 1051	5-03
A v Chief Constable of Hampshire [2012] EWHC 1517 (QB); [2012] All E.R. (D) 257 (May)	6-36
A&J Fabrication (Batley) Ltd v Grant Thornton [1999] Lloyd's Rep. P.N. 863	6-32
A&J Inglis v John Buttery & Co (1878) 3 App. Cas. 552; (1878) 5 R. (H.L.) 87 HL	13-33
A Roberts & Co Ltd v Leicestershire CC [1961] Ch. 555	13-48
Aaron's Reef's Ltd v Twiss [1896] A.C. 273 HL (UK-Ire)	3-08, 4-19, 4-44, 5-06, 8-42, 10-05, 10-10, 17-43
AB Marintrans v Comet Shipping Co Ltd (The Shinjitsu Maru No.5) [1985] 1 W.L.R. 1270; [1985] 3 All E.R. 442; [1985] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 568; (1986) 83 L.S.G. 43 QBD (Comm Ct)	8-26
AB v South West Water Services Ltd [1993] Q.B. 507 CA (Civ Div)	5-42
Abbey Forwarding Ltd v Hone [2010] EWHC 2029 (Ch); [2010] All E.R. (D) 24 (Aug)	11-07
Abbott v Will Gannon & Smith Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 198; [2005] P.N.L.R. 30	6-34
Abbotts v Barry (1820) 2 Brod. & B. 369; 129 E.R. 1009	5-43
Abram Steamship Co Ltd v Westville Shipping Co Ltd [1923] A.C. 773; (1923) 16 Ll. L. Rep. 245; 1923 S.C. (H.L.) 68; 1923 S.L.T. 613 HL	4-15, 4-18, 4-44, 4-53
Aou Dhabi Investment Co v H Clarkson & Co Ltd [2007] EWHC 1267 (Comm); [2007] All E.R. (D) 448 (May)	5-17, 5-19
AC Ward & Sons Ltd v Catlin (Five) Ltd [2009] EWCA Civ 1098; [2010] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 301	11-17
Acre 1127 Ltd v De Montfort Fine Art Ltd [2011] EWCA Civ 87; [2011] All E.R. (D) 111 (Feb)	15-23
Adam v Newbigging (1888) 13 App. Cas. 308 HL	4-49, 4-56, 4-59
Adams v Thrift [1915] 2 Ch. 21 CA	7-25, 7-57
Addlestone Linoleum Co, Re (1887) 37 Ch.D. 191 CA	7-65
ADT Ltd v BDO Binder Hamlyn [1996] B.C.C. 808 QBD	6-33
AEG (UK) Ltd v Logic Resource Ltd [1996] C.L.C. 265 CA (Civ Div)	9-11, 9-27
Aer Lingus Plc v Gildacraft Ltd [2006] EWCA Civ 4; [2006] 1 W.L.R. 1173	2-15
Afovos Shipping Co SA v R Pagnan & Fratelli (The Afovos) [1983] 1 W.L.R. 195; [1983] 1 All E.R. 449; [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 335; [1983] Com. L.R. 83; (1983) 127 S.J. 98 HL	8-42, 8-44
Agapitos v Agnew (The Aegeon) (No.1) [2002] EWCA Civ 247; [2003] Q.B. 556; [2002] 3 W.L.R. 616; [2002] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 714; [2002] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 42; [2002] C.L.C. 886; [2002] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 573; (2002) 99(16) L.S.G. 38; (2002) 146 S.J.L.B. 66 CA (Civ Div)	17-04
Agip (Africa) Ltd v Jackson [1991] Ch. 547; [1991] 3 W.L.R. 116; [1992] 4 All E.R. 451; (1991) 135 S.J. 117 CA (Civ Div)	13-47
Agip SpA v Navigazione Alta Italia SpA (The Nai Genova and The Nai Superba) [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 353 CA (Civ Div)	13-38, 13-40, 13-43, 13-44, 13-45, 13-47
Agnew v Länsförsäkringsbolagens AB [2001] 1 A.C. 223; [2000] 2 W.L.R. 497; [2000] 1 All E.R. 737; [2000] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 321; [2000] C.L.C. 848; [2001] I.L.Pr. 25; [2000] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 317; (2000) 97(9) L.S.G. 39; (2000) 144 S.J.L.B. 109 HL	11-06, 17-09
Ahmad v Secret Garden (Cheshire) Ltd [2013] EWCA Civ 1005; [2013] 3 E.G.L.R. 42	13-40
Ahmed v Addy [2004] EWHC 1465 (QB); [2004] All E.R. (D) 308 (Jun)	5-46
AIB Group (UK) Plc v Mark Redler & Co Solicitors [2014] UKSC 58; [2015] A.C. 1503; [2014] 3 W.L.R. 1367; [2015] 1 All E.R. 747; [2015] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 189; [2015] P.N.L.R. 10; [2015] W.T.L.R. 187; 18 I.T.E.L.R. 216; (2014) 158(43) S.J.L.B. 49	2-07, 2-09, 2-16, 6-08, 6-11, 17-44