

Contents

<i>Foreword by Carl Baudenbacher</i>	v
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xxi

I. The EFTA Court's Role in the Judicial Architecture of the EEA

A. The Two EEA Courts

1. The Role of the Court of Justice of the European Union in the Development of the EEA Single Market: Advancement through Collaboration between the EFTA Court and the CJEU	3
<i>Vassilios Skouris</i>	
I. Introduction	3
II. CJEU and EFTA Court Commonalities	4
III. The Homogeneity Objective	5
IV. The Judicial Dialogue between the Two Courts	8
V. The CJEU's Contribution to the Judicial Dialogue and the EEA Single Market	8
VI. The Case Law of the EFTA Court	10
VII. The Particular Added Value of the Role of Advocate General	10
VIII. Conclusions	12
2. The EFTA Court's Early Days	13
<i>Heikki Kanninen</i>	
I. The First Cases	13
II. The Painful Establishment of the EFTA Court	17
III. Downsizing and Continuation	20
3. The Style of the EFTA Court	21
<i>Ian S Forrester</i>	
I. A First Encounter	21
II. Early Choices	22
III. The Melancholy Birth	23
IV. The Single Market: A Magnificent Obsession?	26
V. Unwelcome Persons	27
VI. Controversial Matters: Gambling, Trade Union Privileges and Banking Regulation	29
VII. The Precautionary Principle	30
VIII. Trademarks and Repackaging	31
IX. <i>Paranova</i> : An Opportunity for Independent Thought Wasted	33
X. Delay and Volume of Case-load	34
XI. Due Process in Competition Cases	35

XII.	A Welcome Dissent? The Problem of Light Judicial Review	37
XIII.	The <i>Posten Norge</i> Case	38
XIV.	Rigour towards the Public Authority.....	39
XV.	The Goal of Homogeneity between the Two Regimes	39
XVI.	Conclusion	40
4.	European Courts in Dialogue.....	43
	<i>Juliane Kokott and Daniel Dittert</i>	
	I. Introduction	43
	II. Informal Types of Judicial Dialogue.....	44
	III. Formalised Types of Judicial Dialogue	48
	IV. Final Remarks.....	51
5.	The Advocates General and the EFTA Court	53
	<i>Paolo Mengozzi</i>	
	I. Introduction	53
	II. Advisory Opinions of the EFTA Court and Opinions of the Advocates General.....	54
	III. The Dialogue between the EFTA Court and EU Advocates General.....	55
	A. Recent References by Advocates General's Opinions to the EFTA Court's Judgments	56
	B. Recent References by the EFTA Court to Advocates General's Opinions	58
	IV. Conclusion	61
6.	The Immoral Choice—How Judges Participate in the Transformation of Rule of Law to Legal Evil	63
	<i>Hans Petter Graver</i>	
	I. Introduction	63
	II. Obedience to Authority	66
	III. Moral Blindness	69
	IV. The Lesser Evil.....	72
	V. Resistance to the Rule of Law.....	74
	VI. The Protection of International Courts	77
	B. Access to Justice	
7.	The Content of Requests for Preliminary Rulings to the European Court of Justice and the EFTA Court—What are the Minimum Requirements?.....	83
	<i>Allan Rosas</i>	
	I. Introduction	83
	II. The Scope of the Court's Jurisdiction.....	85
	III. The Request for a Preliminary Ruling: Minimum Requirements.....	88
	IV. The Admissibility of Requests for Advisory Opinions (EFTA Court)	90

8. Practical Issues Regarding the Application of EEA Law through the Eyes of a National Judge	95
<i>Andreas Batliner</i>	
I. Transposed Directives	95
II. Autonomous Laws.....	96
III. Non-transposed Directives.....	97
IV. Lack of National Laws.....	97
V. The Fate of Laws that are Incompatible with European Law.....	98
VI. Decision-making Powers	98
9. ‘To Refer or Not to Refer?’	101
<i>Markús Sigurbjörnsson</i>	
I. Introduction	101
II. When Do the Icelandic Courts Request an Advisory Opinion?	102
III. The Case Law of the Supreme Court of Iceland from 1994 to 2014	103
IV. The Deciding Factors for the Icelandic Courts.....	104
V. Final Remarks.....	106
10. ‘To Refer or Not to Refer?’ Confession of a National Judge	107
<i>Georges Ravarani</i>	
I. An Obligation to Refer?	108
II. Liability for not Referring?	113
11. Efficient Judicial Protection of EEA Rights in the EFTA Pillar—Different Role for the National Judge?	117
<i>Skúli Magnússon</i>	
I. A ‘Coherent System of Judicial Protection’ in the EEA?	117
II. Judicial Protection of EEA Rights—Procedural Homogeneity.....	118
III. EFTA Court Preliminary Reference Procedure—Choice or Necessity?	120
IV. Whether to Refer—Full Discretion?	122
V. ECJ or the EFTA Court?	124
VI. No Obligation to Comply?	126
VII. More Horizontal Judicial Relations in the EFTA Pillar?	129
VIII. The Role of the National Judge—Different or the Same?	130
12. The Norwegian Experience of the EEA Judiciary	133
<i>Arild O Eidesen</i>	
I. Introduction	133
II. Short General Assessment	133
III. Norway ‘ <i>Sui Generis</i> ’	135
IV. The Concrete Interactions between the EFTA Court and Norwegian Courts.....	136
V. Future Interaction and Developments.....	138

13. The Role of Individual Lawyers in EEA Law	141
<i>Thomas Nordby</i>	
I. The Tide	141
II. The Role of Individual Lawyers	141
III. The Impact of the European Courts	143
IV. The Role of the Surveillance Authority	147
V. ‘The Floor is Yours’	149
14. Reciprocity, Homogeneity and Loyal Cooperation: Dealing with Recalcitrant National Courts?	151
<i>Catherine Barnard</i>	
I. Introduction.....	151
II. Homogeneity, Reciprocity and Loyal Cooperation: The Three Pillars of EEA Law	152
A. Introduction	152
B. The Principle of Homogeneity.....	152
C. The Principle of Reciprocity.....	154
D. Duty of Loyal Cooperation	155
III. The Reference Procedure.....	156
A. Introduction	156
B. Making a Reference.....	156
i. The Situation of Courts of Last Resort	156
ii. The Willingness of Courts to Refer	158
C. Bringing the References back Home.....	159
IV. The <i>STX</i> Case.....	160
A. The Decision	160
B. Observations.....	162
i. The EFTA Court’s Judgment.....	163
ii. The Judgment of the Norwegian Supreme Court	164
C. Crisis, what Crisis?	167
V. Conclusions.....	168
15. Preliminary Rulings in the EEA—Bridging (Institutional) Homogeneity and Procedural Autonomy by Exchange of Information	169
<i>Georges Baur</i>	
I. Introduction.....	169
A. Jurisprudence in the EEA: A Blurred Picture	169
B. The Tension between Two Poles and Choices to be Made	170
II. Preliminary Reference in the EFTA Pillar: Advisory Opinions.....	173
A. General.....	173
B. Preliminary Reference Request.....	174
i. Who May Submit a Request?	174
ii. Obligation to Submit?	177
iii. Obligation to Follow?	179

III. Possible Consequences	180
A. ‘Polydor’ Jurisprudence	180
B. State Liability.....	181
IV. Cooperation	183
V. Conclusion	185

II. Decentred Integration

16. European Integration.....	189
<i>Xavier Bettel</i>	
17. Free Movement of People and the European Economic Area	193
<i>Viviane Reding</i>	
I. Introduction: The Benefits of the EEA Agreement	193
II. The Framework for the Protection of the Right to Free Movement in EEA Countries	193
III. Upholding the Right to Free Movement: The Important Contribution of the EFTA Court	196
IV. Looking Ahead.....	200
18. ‘Shall be Made Part of the Internal Legal Order’: The Legislative Approaches	203
<i>Henrik Bull</i>	
I. The Problem.....	203
II. The Solution in the Agreement	206
III. The Solution in National Law.....	210
19. Climate Change Law and Policy in the EEA—A View from the General Court	215
<i>Marc Jaeger</i>	
I. Emissions Trading Scheme.....	216
A. Widening the Scope	217
B. Harmonising and Centralising Allocation Mechanisms	220
C. The Road Ahead.....	226
II. Renewable Energy Legislation.....	227
A. The Legislative Framework.....	227
B. Support Schemes.....	228
C. Support Schemes before the Court of Justice	230
D. Support Schemes and the Commission.....	234
20. Homogeneity or Renationalisation in the European Judicial Area? Comments on a Recent Judgment of the Norwegian Supreme Court.....	237
<i>Christian Kohler</i>	
I. The EFTA Court and the Lugano Convention	237
II. The Interpretation of the Lugano Convention and the Quest for Homogeneity in the European Judicial Area.....	239

A.	Protocol 2 on the Interpretation of the Convention	239
B.	Essentials of Homogeneity in the Brussels-Lugano Area.....	241
III.	The Lugano Convention in Norway.....	242
IV.	<i>Trico Subsea AS v Raffles Shipping Projects Pte Ltd</i>	243
A.	The Case	243
B.	The Supreme Court’s Judgment.....	243
C.	Subsequent Proceedings	245
V.	The Supreme Court on the Wrong Track.....	245
A.	The Disregard for the Obligations Flowing from Protocol 2 of the Convention	245
B.	Misconceptions about Article 2 of the Convention.....	247
VI.	Renationalisation v Homogeneity?.....	247
A.	The National Bias in the Majority’s Opinion.....	247
B.	Access to Justice on the Basis of Reciprocity?.....	248
C.	The EEA Dimension	249

III. General Principles and Fundamental Rights in EEA Law

21.	General Principles	253
	<i>Carl Lebeck</i>	
I.	Introduction.....	253
II.	General Principles as a Source of Law.....	255
III.	General Principles of the EFTA Court	256
A.	Effectiveness.....	258
B.	Fundamental Rights.....	259
C.	Proportionality.....	260
D.	Autonomy as a Central Value?.....	261
IV.	The Constitutional Functions of General Principles: Internal and External Coherence.....	261
V.	Conclusions.....	262
22.	Fundamental Rights in EEA Law	263
	<i>Davíð Thór Björgvinsson</i>	
I.	Introduction.....	263
II.	The Legal Nature of the EEA Agreement	264
III.	The European Convention on Human Rights and EEA Law	266
A.	The Relevance of EEA Law when the ECHR is Applied.....	266
i.	Introduction	266
ii.	The <i>Bosphorus Airways</i> Judgment.....	267
iii.	<i>Ullens de Schooten and Rezabek v Belgium</i>	272
B.	The Relevance of the ECHR when EEA Law is Applied.....	273
IV.	Concluding Remarks	279

23. Uncharted Waters: Reflections on the Legal Significance of the Charter under EEA Law and Judicial Cross-Fertilisation in the Field of Fundamental Rights	281
<i>Nils Wahl</i>	
I. Introduction.....	281
II. The Theoretical Approach: Is there Room for More Judicial Cross-Fertilisation in the Field of Fundamental Rights?.....	282
A. Underlying Foundations.....	282
B. Hypothesis.....	285
III. The Practical Approach: How Have Stakeholders Reacted?.....	288
A. Private Parties Appearing before the EFTA Court	289
B. EFTA States.....	289
C. ESA.....	290
D. The EFTA Court.....	291
E. Confirmation of the Hypothesis?.....	293
IV. A ‘Right’ Conundrum.....	294
A. Charter Rights which Correspond to Rights in the ECHR.....	294
B. Charter Rights which do not Correspond to Rights in the ECHR	295
V. Concluding Remarks	297
24. The Essentials	299
<i>Knut Almestad</i>	
I. Homogeneity.....	299
II. Individual Rights	302
III. Loyalty	304
IV. Conclusion	308
25. Judicial Protection in the EEA EFTA States—Direct Effect of EEA Law Revisited	311
<i>Martin Johansson</i>	
I. The Development of the Case Law of the EFTA Court and the Present State of Play.....	312
II. Is a Change Required and Is It Possible?	315
III. Conclusion	317
26. The EFTA Court and the Principle of State Liability: Protecting the Jewel in the Crown	319
<i>Halvard Haukeland Fredriksen</i>	
I. Introduction—The Jewel in the EFTA Court’s Crown.....	319
II. The Legal Basis for the Principle of State Liability—Appraisal and Critique of <i>Sveinbjörnsdóttir</i>	322
III. The Reach of the Principle.....	325
IV. The Conditions for the Liability of the States.....	328

V.	The Practice of the Highest Courts of the EEA EFTA States: Attempting to Sideline the EFTA Court?.....	330
VI.	The Reaction of the EFTA Court: Protecting the Jewel in the Crown.....	333
VII.	Outlook—Will the Principle of State Liability ‘Square the Circle’?.....	335
27.	Fine-tuning Transparency	337
	<i>Fergal O’Regan</i>	
I.	Introduction	337
II.	Access to ‘Legislative Documents’	340
III.	Refusing Access to Legislative Documents	345
IV.	Access to Documents Used in Investigatory Procedures	348
V.	General Presumptions Based on the Need not to Modify the Nature of a Procedure	349
VI.	Access to Internal Documents after a Procedure has Been Completed	351
VII.	General Presumptions Based on the Need to Protect Information-gathering Powers	353
VIII.	The Approach of the EFTA Court: <i>Schenker</i>	357
IX.	The Approach of the EU Courts	357
X.	Rebuttals of the General Presumption	359
XI.	Further Fine-tuning the Balancing Exercise	364
XII.	Legislative Developments	365
XIII.	Conclusions	367
	IV. EEA Business and Economic Law	
28.	The Free Movement of Goods in EEA Law: The <i>Philip Morris Norway, Commission v Italy</i> and <i>Mickelsson and Roos</i> Cases.....	371
	<i>Koen Lenaerts</i>	
I.	Introduction	371
II.	Historical Background	372
III.	<i>Commission v Italy</i> and <i>Mickelsson and Roos</i>	377
IV.	<i>Philip Morris Norway</i>	381
V.	Concluding Remarks	383
29.	The EFTA Court—A Court of Business Law?.....	387
	<i>Eric Morgan de Rivery and Alexandre Fall</i>	
I.	The EFTA Court Issues Judgments with a Direct Impact on Business Operations	388
A.	The Court Deals with a Wide Array of Issues Having a Direct Impact on Business	389
i.	Lifting Barriers to the Free Movement of Goods.....	389

ii.	Lifting Barriers to the Free Movement of Services	391
iii.	Lifting Barriers to the Free Movement of Capital.....	393
B.	The EFTA Court Provides Advice to Other Courts or Decides Last Resort Cases on Subjects which Have an Indirect but Clear Impact on Business Operators.....	394
II.	The EFTA Court through its Original Methodology and Dispensation of a High Degree of Judicial Protection Positively Affects Economic Operators outside the EFTA Pillar.....	396
A.	An Approach Introducing a Higher Standard of Judicial Review in Order to Correct any Imbalance or Disadvantage which Would Play against Private Operators	397
i.	Better Control of Administrative Decisions Imposing Fines.....	397
ii.	Facilitate Access to Documents, in Particular for the Purpose of Private Enforcement Actions	399
B.	An Approach Providing Clear and Practical Decisions Thanks to an Original Methodology	401
i.	The Court Judgments are Easily Acceptable throughout the EEA because the Court Provides Useful Information or Suggests Reforms, in Particular through Commonsense Solutions and Subtle Obiter Dicta	403
ii.	A Court Achieving a <i>Realpolitik</i>	403
III.	Conclusions.....	405
30.	<i>Icesave</i>—Limited Homogeneity and Unlimited Judicial Interpretation.....	407
	<i>Damian Chalmers</i>	
I.	Introduction.....	407
II.	The Limits of Homogeneity after <i>Icesave</i>	408
A.	The Homogeneity Tradition in EEA Law	408
B.	Homogeneity and the CJEU Counterfactual in <i>Icesave</i>	410
C.	<i>Icesave</i> and the Limits of Homogeneity.....	412
III.	Homogeneity, Limited Integration and Mutual Accommodation	413
IV.	Conclusion	416
31.	Standard of Review in Competition Law Cases: <i>Posten Norge</i> and Beyond.....	417
	<i>Eric Barbier de La Serre</i>	
I.	<i>Posten Norge</i> : A Milestone	418
A.	‘The Long and Winding Road’ (A Short History of Limited Review)	418
B.	‘Dear Prudence’ (<i>Menarini</i> , <i>KME</i> and <i>Chalkor</i>).....	421
C.	‘We Can Work it Out’ (<i>Posten Norge</i>)	422
II.	Beyond <i>Posten Norge</i> —And Beyond Standards	424
A.	Standards Will Always be Standards	425

B.	Why Varying a Standard May not be Enough.....	427
C.	Why, Beyond a Change of Standard, Strong Judicial Review Is Needed More Than Ever	428
III.	Conclusion—Why, Without Proper Regard for the Applicants’ Procedural Rights, Increased Judicial Review May Lead to Less Effective Judicial Review	431
32.	Third Party Access to File in Competition Cases	435
	<i>Romina Polley</i>	
I.	Introduction.....	435
II.	Legal Framework for Third Party Access to Documents in the EEA	437
A.	Third Party Requests for Access to the Commission’s or ESA’s file	437
i.	Request for Access to Documents under EU/EEA Competition Law Rules	437
ii.	Request for Access to Documents on the Basis of Regulation 1049/2001 or the RAD	439
B.	Third Party Requests for Access to the Case File of an NCA	441
III.	Case Law of the EU Courts and the EFTA Court on Third Party Access to Documents in Competition Cases.....	441
A.	Indirect Third Party Access to File through Article 15 Reg 1/2003	441
i.	The <i>Alstom</i> Order of the GC	441
ii.	The <i>Pilkington</i> Order of the ECJ.....	442
B.	Third Party Access to Documents on the Basis of Regulation 1049/2001.....	443
i.	The GC’s Case Law from <i>CDC</i> and <i>EnBW</i> to <i>Dutch Bitumen</i>	444
ii.	The ECJ’s Case Law: <i>EnBW</i> and the General Presumption against Disclosure.....	445
iii.	The EFTA Court’s Case Law: <i>Schenker</i> and Private Enforcement as Overriding Public Interest.....	447
iv.	Differences between the <i>EnBW</i> and the <i>Schenker</i> Judgments.....	449
C.	Third Party Access to Documents on the Basis of National Law.....	450
i.	The ECJ Judgment in <i>Pfleiderer</i>	451
ii.	The ECJ Judgment in <i>Donau Chemie</i>	451
IV.	The Directive on Antitrust Damages and the Case Law on Third Party Access to Documents	453
A.	The Commission’s Proposal	453

B.	The Final Text Adopted by the European Parliament.....	454
C.	Compatibility of the Antitrust Damages Directive with EU Primary Law.....	455
V.	Conclusions.....	457
33.	To Tax or Not to Tax: Reflections on the Case Law of the EFTA Court.....	459
	<i>Alexander Rust</i>	
I.	<i>Fokus Bank</i>	460
A.	Facts of the Case (Simplified)	460
B.	Reasoning of the EFTA Court	460
C.	Comparison with the Jurisprudence of the Court of Justice	461
D.	Conclusion.....	463
II.	<i>Seabrokers</i>	463
A.	Facts of the Case (Simplified)	463
B.	Reasoning of the EFTA Court	464
C.	Comparison with the Jurisprudence of the Court of Justice	465
D.	Conclusion.....	465
III.	<i>Arcade Drilling</i>	466
A.	Facts of the Case (Simplified)	466
B.	Reasoning of the EFTA Court.....	466
C.	Comparison with the Jurisprudence of the Court of Justice	467
D.	Conclusion.....	468
IV.	<i>EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland</i>	468
A.	Facts of the Case (Simplified)	468
B.	Reasoning of the EFTA Court	469
C.	Comparison with the Jurisprudence of the Court of Justice	469
D.	Conclusion.....	470
V.	Final Thoughts.....	471

V. Actors in the EEA

34.	The EEA Joint Committee—A Political Assessment.....	475
	<i>Nikolaus von Liechtenstein</i>	
I.	Introduction.....	475
II.	Historical Background.....	475
III.	Treaty Base.....	477
IV.	Functioning of the EEA Joint Committee.....	478
A.	Relationship to Other Bodies in the EEA	478
i.	The EEA Council	478

ii.	The EEA Joint Parliamentary Committee	478
iii.	The EEA Consultative Committee	478
iv.	The EFTA Bodies of the EEA	478
v.	EU Bodies Concerned with the EEA	479
B.	Daily Running of the EEA Joint Committee	480
V.	Challenges	480
A.	Changes in the Political Environment	480
B.	Updating the Agreement	481
C.	Reducing the Backlog of New <i>Acquis</i>	481
D.	Speaking with One Voice.....	481
E.	Streamlining the Working Methods of the EEA Joint Committee.....	481
35.	The EEA Surveillance Mechanism	483
	<i>Sven Norberg</i>	
I.	Introduction.....	483
II.	Background	484
III.	The Surveillance System	488
A.	Treaty Provisions.....	488
B.	Political Challenges	489
C.	Practical Challenges	491
i.	Recruitment, Training of Staff, etc	491
ii.	Differences in Employment Conditions.....	492
IV.	Some Observations on the Functioning of EEA Surveillance	493
A.	The EU Commission	493
B.	The EFTA Surveillance Authority	496
V.	Concluding Remarks	498
36.	The EFTA Surveillance Authority and the Surveillance of the EEA Agreement	501
	<i>Oda Helen Sletnes</i>	
I.	A Role Similar to that of the European Commission.....	501
II.	ESA at the Heart of the Surveillance System.....	502
III.	Enforcement by States and Economic Operators	503
IV.	Similar Role but Different Powers	504
V.	Similar but not Identical Procedures.....	505
37.	The EFTA Secretariat: Steward of the EEA.....	507
	<i>Kristinn F Árnason</i>	
I.	Introduction.....	507
II.	From a Small Listening Post to the Centre of EEA Activities	508
III.	The EFTA Pillar of the EEA	510
A.	Coordinator and Intermediary	511
B.	Advice and Analysis	512

IV. The Secretariat’s Role in Securing a Successful
Future for the EEA Agreement513

VI. A Look from the Outside

38. EEA Law, Unexpected Success: A Japanese Perspective 517
Yoichi Ito

I. Introduction.....517

II. EEA, Unexpected Success518

III. The Secret of Success.....522

A. Structural Specificity of the EEA522

B. Multi-level Judicial Dialogue.....524

IV. Conclusion526

**39. EU Law, EEA Law and International Law—The Myth
of Supranational Law and Its Implications for
International Law 529**
Takao Suami

I. Introduction.....529

II. EU Law versus Public International Law530

A. The Birth of a Supranational Legal Order.....530

B. National Responses to the Supranational Understanding.....530

III. EU Law versus EEA Law, International Law versus
EEA Law531

A. EU Law versus EEA Law531

i. Identical Provisions, Same Interpretation and
Homogeneity.....531

ii. The ECJ’s Historical Understanding of EEA Law531

iii. The EFTA Court’s Understanding on EEA Law.....532

B. International Law versus EEA Law533

i. More Subtle Issue than Other Distinctions.....533

ii. The ECJ’s Perspective.....533

iii. The EFTA Court’s Perspective.....534

C. EEA Law as a Hybrid of International Law and
EU Law.....534

IV. Uncertainty within Three Distinct Legal Orders535

A. Continuous Discussion on the Position of EU Law535

B. Recent Phenomena in the EU Legal Order536

i. Constitutional Tension between EU law and National
Constitutions.....536

ii. Combination of EU Law Measures and International
Law Measures537

C. High Level of Effectiveness of EEA law538

V. Conclusions.....539

40. A Look at the EEA from Switzerland	541
<i>Christa Tobler</i>	
I. Introduction: Switzerland and the EEA	541
II. The Institutional Issues of the Bilateral Law in Comparison to EEA Law	543
A. The Wishes of the EU	543
B. Updating the Law	545
C. Homogeneity in the Interpretation of the Law	547
D. Supervision	549
E. Dispute Resolution	550
III. The Present Situation: Impact of the Initiative on Mass Immigration	551
IV. In Conclusion: The EEA—Directly or Indirectly an Option for Switzerland?	553
<i>Index</i>	555

<http://www.pbookshop.com>