

---

# CONTENTS

---

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	vii
<i>Note on Methodology</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	xxix

Introduction	1
1.1 Framing the scope of the argument	2
1.2 Framing the measuring stick against which the European Court's case law will be tested	5
1.3 Outlining the structure of the book and how the argument is developed	9

## Section I

A Interpreting Article 6	15
A.1 The European Court sometimes seeks guidance from the Vienna Convention in interpreting Article 6	16
A.2 Object and purpose: the Court's attempts at teleological interpretation are marked by incoherence	19
A.3 The European Court uses several techniques to overcome ordinary literal meanings	23
A.4 The European Court inconsistently cites the need for 'practical and effective' interpretation	26
A.5 The European Court's use of extrinsic materials is opaque	28
A.6 Democracy and Article 6	30
A.7 Building the foundations for a new approach to interpreting Article 6	34
B The European Court's Role in Article 6 Cases	35
B.1 The European Court adopts an ostensibly modest and deferential approach in Article 6 cases	36
B.2 The European Court states that its role is not to enunciate general doctrines	39
B.3 The European Court makes incoherent claims about avoiding abstract challenges	40
B.4 The European Court describes its role as limited by the 'fourth instance' doctrine	42
B.5 The Fourth instance doctrine is riddled with exceptions to the point of incoherence	47

B.6	The European Court's approach to the law of evidence is marked by incoherence	58
B.7	Building the foundations for a new approach to how the European Court describes its own role	62
C	Out of One, Many? The Internal Structure of Article 6	65
C.1	The European Court has adopted several approaches to the internal structure of Article 6	67
C.2	The European Commission's decision in <i>Nielsen</i> provided a reasonably clear approach	68
C.3	The European Court sometimes describes the components of Article 6 as independent minimum requirements	70
C.4	The European Court has used three approaches based on the 'specific aspects' maxim	72
C.5	Building the foundations for a new approach to the internal structure of Article 6	86
D	The Implied Rights	89
D.1	What are the implied rights?	90
D.2	The early implied rights jurisprudence was poorly explained	92
D.3	At least eight justifications for implied rights can be identified in the European Court's case law	94
D.4	The case law indicates uncertainty over the boundaries of the implied rights	106
D.5	Building the foundations for a new approach to the implied rights	110

## Section II

E	Assessing Infringements and Violations: the Puzzle of Article 6	115
E.1	Article 6 is different	116
E.2	The 'proceedings as a whole' test is used inconsistently and incoherently	124
E.3	Counterbalancing and defect-curing are attempts to provide a modest amount of structure to the European Court's balancing	139
E.4	The European Court's 'never fair' case law is inconsistent with its other case law, and internally incoherent	160
E.5	Assessing whether certain evidence was the 'sole or decisive' evidence against a defendant involves a particularly opaque form of semi-structured balancing	170
E.6	The European Court is inconsistent in approaching the extent to which the public interest may justify a restriction on Article 6	176
E.7	Building the foundations for a new approach to assessing violations of Article 6	201

Contents

xiii

Conclusion

205

*Bibliography*

209

*Index*

217

<http://www.pbookshop.com>