

# Table of Contents

<i>Foreword</i> .....	v
<i>Acknowledgments</i> .....	ix

## **Part I: EU Constitutional Law** ..... 1

### **1. Constitutional Law and Community Law: The Case of Portugal**..... 3

I. The Underlying Principles .....	3
II. The Constitutional Context in Portugal .....	5
III. The Supremacy of EC Law in the Constitution .....	7
IV. The First Constitutional Case on EC Law .....	9

### **2. Are there Substantive Limits to the Amendment of the Treaties?**..... 13

I. Introduction .....	13
A. Article 236 of the EEC Treaty .....	13
B. Nature and Holders of the Revision Powers .....	14
C. Community Phase of the Revision Procedure.....	14
D. Characteristics of the Revision Mechanism.....	15
E. The Real Test: The Court's Opinions on the EEA .....	16
F. Substantive Limits: A Constitutional Issue .....	17

#### II. The Revision of the Treaty, the Process of 'Constitutionalisation' and the Building of an Autonomous Community Legal Order .....

A. Building a Constitutional Order.....	18
B. First Stage: Direct Effect.....	19
C. Second Stage: Primacy.....	19
D. Third Stage: An Unwritten Catalogue of Fundamental Rights.....	20
E. Next Step: Autonomy Vis-à-Vis International Law .....	22

#### III. Opinions 1/91 and 1/92 of the Court of Justice: A New Step in the Process of Constitutionalisation of the Treaty? .....

A. The Judicial System in the Draft EEA Agreement .....	26
B. The Three Questions Examined by the Court.....	27
C. The EC Legal Order and its Autonomy.....	29
D. A New Step Towards 'Constitutionalisation'? .....	31

#### IV. Does the Maastricht Treaty Contain Substantive Limits to the Amendment of the EU Treaty?.....

A. Amendment Provisions in the Maastricht Treaty.....	33
B. Preservation of the Acquis Communautaire.....	34
C. A New Stage in the Constitutionalisation Process.....	36

V. The Present State of the Issue of Substantive Limits .....	36
A. Constitutional Identity and Implied Limits.....	36
B. The Prohibition of Regression and its Meaning.....	38
C. The Constitutional Laws of the Member States .....	39
D. Constitutional and Legal Difficulties .....	40
E. Who are the ‘Masters of the Treaties’?.....	41
<b>3. Reflections on Judicial Review of the Constitutionality of EU Legislation .....</b>	<b>44</b>
I. The Court of Justice as a Constitutional Court .....	44
II. Is There Any Need For a New Constitutional Court?.....	47
III. The New Institutional Context.....	50
IV. What Structure Should the Constitutional Court Have?.....	53
V. Conclusion.....	54
<b>Part II: The EU Judicial Architecture .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>4. The Setting Up of a New Community Court—The First Year of the Court of First Instance .....</b>	<b>57</b>
I. Introduction .....	57
A. A New Court for the Community .....	57
B. The Establishment of the CFI.....	58
C. Administrative Arrangements.....	60
D. A Gradual Implementation.....	60
E. Object of the Present Chapter .....	60
II. Problems Linked to the Establishment of the CFI.....	61
A. First Steps.....	61
B. Rules of Procedure.....	62
C. Administrative Issues.....	72
III. The Workload of the Court of Justice.....	74
IV. The Case-Law of the CFI and the Judicial Protection of Individuals—One Year After .....	77
A. The Length of the CFI’s Judgments.....	77
B. Appeals against CFI’s Judgments.....	78
C. Important Judgments in Competition Cases.....	79
D. An ECSC Case.....	80
E. CFI’s Case-Law in Staff Cases .....	80
F. A Positive Experience So Far.....	81
<b>5. The Development of the European Judicial System Before and After Maastricht .....</b>	<b>83</b>
I. The First Step: The Single European Act and the Court of First Instance.....	83
A. Setting up the CFI .....	83

B. A Gradual Improvement in Judicial Protection .....	85
C. The Initial Case-Law of the CFI .....	87
D. Amicable Settlement of Proceedings.....	88
E. Length and Accessibility of Judgments .....	90
II. The Treaty on European Union and the Community Judicial System.....	92
A. Access to Court and Allocation of Cases.....	92
B. Sanctions in Infringement Proceedings .....	92
C. Extending Jurisdiction of the CFI .....	93
D. The Three Pillars and the Protection of Rights.....	94
III. Future of the Community Judicial System Following the Entry into Force of the Treaty on European Union.....	96
A. The New Regulation on the Community Trade Mark.....	96
B. A General First Instance Administrative Court.....	99
C. A Supreme and Constitutional Court.....	101
D. Reforming the Preliminary Ruling Mechanism.....	103
E. Regional Community Courts? .....	105
F. Final Remarks .....	105
<b>6. The New Judicial Architecture of the European     Union and the Intergovernmental Conference .....</b>	<b>108</b>
I. Need for Reform and Challenges Ahead.....	108
II. Good Ideas, Bad Solutions? .....	109
III. To Strengthen a Community of Law.....	111
<b>7. The Court System of the European Communities .....</b>	<b>113</b>
I. Introduction—the Main Challenges .....	113
A. The First Challenge: A Growing Litigation.....	113
B. A Need for Legitimacy and Protection of Rights.....	115
C. The Treaty of Maastricht and the Court .....	115
II. Proposals that Should be Examined with Caution .....	116
A. Regional Courts .....	116
B. Specialised Courts .....	117
C. Access to Courts by Individuals.....	118
D. Protecting Fundamental Rights .....	121
E. A New Constitutional Court? .....	123
III. Possible Reforms—a Gradual and Pragmatic Approach.....	125
A. Reforming the CFI.....	125
B. Measures Not Requiring Treaty Changes.....	128
C. Amendments to the Treaties .....	128

<b>Part III: Judicial Protection of Individuals.....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>8. Interim Measures in Judicial Proceedings as an Instrument of Protection for Individuals in European Community Law.....</b>	<b>133</b>
I. Introduction.....	133
II. The Legal Framework on Interim Relief Proceedings and Admissibility Conditions .....	135
A. General Remarks.....	135
B. Legal Framework .....	136
C. Conditions of Admissibility of Applications for Interim Relief .....	138
III. Conditions for Interim Relief .....	144
A. Urgency.....	144
B. <i>Fumus boni juris</i> .....	150
IV. Brief Analysis of Certain Specific Questions .....	156
A. Interim Relief as an Instrument of Effective Judicial Protection .....	156
B. Interim Relief as a Signal to the Parties.....	157
C. Suspension of Operation of Interim Decisions .....	158
D. Interim Relief as a Dispute Resolution Mechanism .....	159
E. Appeals against Interim Orders of the CFI.....	161
F. Stay of Interim Relief Proceedings and Referral.....	164
<b>9. Effective Judicial Protection with Regard to Community Funds—May One be Directly Concerned by a Decision Addressed to a Member State?.....</b>	<b>166</b>
I. The Evolution of the Community Case-Law with Respect to the Requirement of ‘Direct Concern’.....	167
II. Recent Developments of the Case-Law on the Requirement of Direct Concern .....	173
III. Observations on the Current Line of Case-Law .....	178
<b>10. Application of Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights to ‘Posts in the Civil Service’.....</b>	<b>181</b>
I. Introduction.....	181
II. Difficulties of Interpretation and the Development of the Case-Law .....	182
III. The <i>Pellegrin</i> Case.....	184
IV. The Case-Law of the Court of Justice on Article 39(4) EC.....	187
V. <i>Pellegrin</i> Reassessed .....	189
VI. The Immediate Post- <i>Pellegrin</i> Period.....	192
VII. The Happy Ending: <i>Vilho Eskelinen</i> .....	197
VIII. Conclusion .....	201

<b>Part IV: Competition and State Aid .....</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>11. How Far Should National Courts Go in Drawing All the Necessary Inferences from the Last Sentence of Article 88(3) EC? .....</b>	<b>205</b>
I. Introduction.....	205
II. Direct Effect of the Last Sentence of Article 88(3) EC.....	205
III. Procedural Rules.....	207
IV. The Respective Roles of National Courts and of the Commission .....	208
A. Role of the Commission.....	208
B. Role of National Courts .....	209
V. Must National Courts Order Repayment of Aid that Has Not Been Notified to the Commission? .....	211
VI. Reimbursement of Charges Levied to Finance Unlawful State Aid .....	211
VII. The Advocates Generals' Opinions—Pushing Forward.....	213
VIII. The New Case-Law .....	216
IX. Conclusion .....	218
<b>12. Regional Selectivity and State Aid—the Azores Case .....</b>	<b>221</b>
I. Introduction.....	221
II. The General Criteria in Article 87(1) EC for Recognising State Aid .....	221
III. The Issue of Regional Selectivity in the Context of State Aid .....	222
IV. The Overall Context of the Problem.....	223
A. The Emergence of Regions in the Constitutional Framework of the EU.....	223
B. The Allocation of Powers in Tax Matters .....	223
C. The Commission Notice on Direct Taxation .....	224
D. The Case-Law of the Community Courts.....	224
V. The Azores Case: The Specific Context.....	226
VI. The Commission's Approach .....	227
VII. The Proposed Criteria for Decision-Making .....	229
A. The Arguments Underlying the Position of the Portuguese Republic .....	229
B. The Criteria Proposed by the United Kingdom.....	231
C. The Advocate General's Opinion.....	231
VIII. The Judgment of the Court in the Azores Case .....	234
IX. Conclusion .....	235
<b>13. Selectivity and Distortion of Competition in State Aid—an Unorthodox Analysis .....</b>	<b>237</b>
I. Introduction.....	237
II. Material Selectivity .....	238

A. The Current Case-Law .....	238
B. Refining the Approach.....	241
III. Regional or Geographical Selectivity.....	245
<b>14. The Duration of Non-Compete Obligations in Full-Function</b>	
<b>Joint Ventures .....</b>	<b>251</b>
I. Non-Compete Obligations as Ancillary Restraints .....	252
II. Evolution of the Commission's Practice as Regards the Duration of Non-Compete Obligations.....	257
III. Some Final Non-Ancillary Remarks.....	261
<b>Part V: Studies on EU Law and Economic Integration .....</b>	<b>267</b>
<b>15. The European Union and the Transformation of the Andean Pact     into the Andean Community: From the Trujillo Protocol to the     Sucre Act .....</b>	<b>269</b>
I. Introduction: The Reasons for Reform and the Context of the EU-Andean Group Relationship .....	269
II. The Process of Andean Integration from an Historical Perspective and the Antecedents of the Trujillo Reform .....	274
A. The Original Institutional Structure and its Later Development.....	274
B. The Ups and Downs of the Andean Project: The Development of Sub-Regional Integration .....	277
C. The Work Preceding Institutional Reform.....	279
III. The New Institutional Structure Set Up by the Trujillo Protocol.....	282
A. The Organs of the Andean Integration System .....	282
B. The Coordination Mechanism.....	282
C. The Andean Presidential Council.....	283
D. The Andean Council of Ministers of Foreign Affairs .....	283
E. The General Secretariat of the Andean Community.....	286
F. The Court of Justice of the Andean Community.....	288
G. The Andean Parliament .....	289
H. The Advisory Bodies .....	290
IV. The Range of Institutional Responses Given in the Trujillo Protocol to the Demands of Regional Integration .....	291
A. A Complex Institutional Machinery.....	291
B. The Preparatory Documents.....	291
C. The Trujillo Protocol: Improving the System .....	292
D. Institutional Problems.....	292
V. The Evolution of the Andean Community and the Relations with the EU after the Signature of the Trujillo Protocol: The Sucre Summit.....	297
A. Relaunching the Andean Process.....	297
B. The Draft Regulations .....	298

C. Ambiguities in the Draft Regulation .....	300
D. The Relations Andean Community-European Union .....	301
VI. Final Remarks .....	302
<b>16. On the Application of <i>Keck</i> in the Field of Free</b>	
<b>Provision of Services</b> .....	306
I. Introduction.....	306
II. <i>Alpine Investments</i> .....	307
III. <i>De Agostini</i> .....	311
IV. Is <i>Keck</i> Transposable into the Field of Services? .....	314
<b>17. The Precautionary Principle in EC Law .....</b>	<b>321</b>
I. The Precautionary Principle as a General Principle of EC Law .....	321
A. The Prehistory of Precaution .....	321
B. The Principle at International Level.....	322
C. The Precautionary Principle in EC Law.....	323
D. The Earlier Case-Law of the Community Courts.....	325
E. The BSE Cases.....	325
F. Other Cases before <i>Pfizer</i> .....	328
II. The Precautionary Principle in <i>Pfizer</i> .....	329
A. Scientific Uncertainty in the Commission Communication	
on the Precautionary Principle.....	329
B. Scientific Uncertainty in the <i>Pfizer</i> Judgment.....	330
C. The Risk to be Assessed.....	330
D. The Apportionment of the Burden of Proof .....	331
E. The Rejection of a Purely Hypothetical Approach;	
Risk and Hazard.....	332
F. Risk Assessment and Risk Management.....	333
G. Defining the Political Objectives .....	334
H. The Scientific Assessment of the Risks.....	336
I. The Role of Scientific Committees .....	339
J. The 'Political Committees' .....	341
K. The Importance of the Respect of Procedural Requirements.....	342
L. The Scope of Judicial Review .....	344
M. The Principle of Proportionality .....	345
III. Is there Life after <i>Pfizer</i> ? .....	348
IV. Summary.....	353
<i>Index</i> .....	355