## Contents

Preface to the Seventh Edition	xxvii
Preface to the Section Lawrence	xxix
Preface to the Sixth Edition	xxxi
Preface to the Fifth Edition	xxxiii
Preface to the Fourth Edition	
Preface to the First Edition	xxxv
	1
Chapter 1. The Setting for Antitrust Analysis	î
¶100 Prologue	1
¶101 Organization; caveat	
¶102 Bibliographic note	2 3 3 3
Antitrust Study, Generally	2
¶103 Economic power	9
¶104 The antitrust laws:	3
(a) Nature of the statutes	4
(b) Legislative history; congressional role	4
#105 Feonomic theory uncertainty, and the judicial role	4
1A. The Role of Competition: Analytic Model and Userul Tendency	5
The Value of Perfect Competition	4 5 5 5 5
¶106 Perfect competition defined	5
¶107 Competition and efficiency	6
¶108 The dynamic adjustment process	7
¶109 Consumer decisions as the basis for market choice:	7 7 7
(a) Consumer preterences weighted by wealth	7
(b) Consumer choice "mistaken"	8
¶110 Competition unhelpful or limited:	0
(a) Externalities	8
(b) Public goods	8
(c) Tecnomies of scale	9
(d) Additional imperfections	
¶111 The value of perfect competition and the role of antitrust policy	9
Perfect and Imperfect Competition Compared	10
¶112 Price and output decisions:	10
(a) Monopoly	10
(b) Perfect competition compared	12
(c) Oligopoly	13
¶113 Allocative efficiency	14
¶114 Barriers to entry	15
¶115 Cost minimization	18
¶116 Promotion and product differentiation:	18
(a) Product identification	18
(b) Product differentiation	19
¶117 Other values of competition:	20
(a) Income distribution	20
(b) The distribution of opportunities	2
(c) The control of unchecked power	2
N 0	

(d) Fairness in economic behavior	22	(a) Nature and significance
(e) Economic stabilization	22	(b) Modification
Possible Grounds for Deemphasizing Competition	23	(c) Third-party interests
¶118 The example of public restraints	23	(d) Judicial role
¶119 Economies of scale:	24	¶142 Cease and desist orders:
(a) Efficiency and firm size	24	(a) FTC jurisdiction
(b) Data	25	(b) FTC proceedings
(c) Implications	25	(c) FTC remedial powers
¶120 Invention and innovation:	26	(d) Judicial review
(a) The argument	26	(e) Rulemaking
(b) Size	26	(f) Intragovernmental coordination
(c) Concentration	26	Private Actions
(d) Synthesis	27	¶143 Treble damages:
¶121 Adjustment pains	27	(a) Generally
¶122 Social responsibility	28	(b) Proving damages
¶123 Countervailing power	28	(c) Joint and several liability; contribution
¶124 The theory of second best	28	¶144 Standing and related doctrines: an introduction
Workable Competition	29	¶145 Standing and limitations concerning the directness of injury:
¶125 The problem	29	(a) Introduction
¶126 Criteria	30	(b) Passing on and consumer recoveries
¶127 Summary	32	
IB. Enacting the Antitrust Laws	32	(c) Employees (d) Derived injuries
¶128 Common-law background:	32	
(a) Middleman offenses	32	
(b) Monopoly	33	
(c) Restraints of trade		(g) Parens patriae (h) Equity suits compared
(d) Conspiracy	33 34	¶146 Antitrust injury:
(e) Limiting corporate powers	34	(a) Introduction; relationship of antitrust injury doctrine
¶129 Political background:	34	to standing
(a) Reform sentiment		(b) Brunswick
(b) Political manifestations	34 35	(c) Cargill
¶130 Enactment of the Sherman Act: legislative history	33	(d) Atlantic Richfield (ARCO)
and contemporary political understanding:	96	¶147 Class actions
(a) Relationship of Sherman Act and common law	36 36 37	¶148 Plaintiff participation in defendant's antitrust violation
(b) Senator Sherman	27	148 Frankin participation in defendant's and disk violation  [149] Unclean hands in nonantitrust suit
(c) H. Thorelli		Preparing and Trying Antitrust Cases
(d) R. Hofstadter	38	Freparing and Trying Amurusi Cuses
(e) Antitrust policy goals in light of legislative history	39	¶150 Venue and jurisdiction
¶131 Sherman Act: procedure and early cases:	41	¶151 Investigations and discovery ¶152 Dispositive motions:
(a) Procedure	42	(a) Motions to dismiss
(b) Early cases	42	
¶132 The 1914 legislation	43	(b) Summary judgment
¶133 Later statutes and guidelines	43	¶153 Jury trial
C. Procedures for Enforcing the Antitrust Laws	45	¶154 The big case
¶134 Sanctions generally	45	¶155 Appeals
Criminal Punishment	45	Repose Silver and clearances
¶135 Which statutes?	46	¶156 Advisory opinions and clearances
¶136 Frequency; government practice	46	¶157 Statutes of limitation:
¶137 Appropriateness of criminal law	46	(a) Private suits: generally
¶138 Differentiating antitrust offenses according to remedy?:	47	(b) Government suit tolls statute of limitation
(a) Statutory language	48	(c) Government suits
(b) Criminal offense distinguished	48	¶158 Res judicata:
(c) Behavioral prerequisite for damages	48	(a) Meiger and sai
(d) Denving some private conitration	50	(b) Collateral estoppel
(d) Denying some private equity actions  Equitable Relief	51	(c) Government judgments and private suits
¶139 Proceeding in equity	51	(d) Res judicata and state law
	51	1D. The Reach of the Antitrust Laws
¶140 Private suits in equity ¶141 Consent decrees:	52	¶159 Introduction
TILL CONSCIL UCCICES.	53	Exemptions

¶160 Regulated industries:	82	Dow	nedies
(a) Background	82	Ken	¶178 Patent misuse doctrine
(b) "Public interest" mandate to regulators	83	21	¶179 Antitrust remedies
(c) Primary jurisdiction and pervasive regulation	84	(01	er 2. Horizontal Restraints: Collaboration Among
(d) Where regulation is less pervasive	85	Chapte	er 2. Horizontal Restraints. Contabolation landing
¶161 Labor unions	87	200	Competitors
¶162 Other exemptions:	88	100	¶200 Prologue
(a) Agricultural organizations	88	2A.	Development of the Rule of Reason and the Per Se Illegality
(b) Bank mergers	88	100.2	of Price Fixing
(c) Professional sports	88	500	¶201 Anticompetitive effect of cartels:
State Law and State Action	88	200	(a) The cartel problem
¶163 State law: primacy, invalidity, or accommodation:	88	200	(b) Cheating in cartels
(a) Generally	88	0.0	(c) Cartel justifications
(b) Express primacy for state law	89		(d) Preventing cutthroat competition
(c) Invalid state laws	89	AVE.	(e) Preserving needed capacity
¶164 Preemption by the antitrust laws:	91		(f) Reducing uncertainty
(a) State antitrust laws	91	2075	(g) Financing desirable activities
(b) State laws limiting competition		10.00	(h) Countervailing power
¶165 Antitrust immunity for state action:	92	1 22	(i) Assessment
(a) Initial development	93	1 10	Sherman Act §1
(b) Adequate supervision and closely estimated	93	No.	¶202 Trans-Missouri
<ul> <li>(b) Adequate supervision and clearly articulated purpose to displace competition</li> </ul>	0.4	AUCHA	Addyston Pipe & Steel
(c) Compulsion	94	200	¶203 Joint Traffic
(c) Compulsion	95		¶204 Standard Oil
(d) Immunity of government bodies	96		¶205
(e) Local Government Antitrust Act of 1984	97		Chicago Board of Trade
(f) Distinguishing private from governmental action	97	1 · 2 · 2 · 2	¶206
Interstate Commerce	98		Trenton Potteries
¶166 Sherman Act	98	一一一	¶207
¶167 Clayton Act; FTC Act	99	<b>9</b>	¶208 Appalachian Coals
Foreign Commerce	99	20	Socony-Vacuum Oil
¶168 Extraterritorial reach:	99	205	¶209
(a) Statutory development	99	212	¶210
(b) Comity	100	977	¶211
(c) Act of state	101	317	¶212 Per se rules:
(d) Sovereign immunity	102	100	(a) Rationale
(e) Extraterritoriality and other jurisdictions' competition laws	102		(b) Meaning: scope of inquiry
(e) Extraterritoriality and other jurisdictions' competition laws  ¶169 Restraints affecting exports  The Patent System  ¶170 Prologue:	103	200	(c) Meaning: coverage of category
E. The Patent System	104	2B.	
¶170 Prologue:	104	0.51	¶213 Introduction
(a) Relevance of patent laws to antitrust	104	N. 1	¶214 Cartel variations:
(b) Introduction to patents	104	100	(a) Allocating markets
(c) Trade secret and copyright protections	104		(b) Other limits on price competition
Premises of the Patent System	105	100	Topco Associates
¶171 Rationale	105	755	¶215
¶172 Costs of a patent system	105	963	¶216 Palmer
¶173 Patents as incentives:	106	003	Broadcast Music (BMI)
(a) Generally	106	276	¶217
(b) Need for protection	107	292	Maricopa County Medical Society
(c) Nonpatent incentives	107	Sixer.	1218 National Society of Professional Engineers
(d) Development incentives	107	120	National Society of Professional Engineers  ¶219
Operation of the Patent System	107	955	
¶174 Patentability:	107	902	¶220 National Collegiate Athletic Association
(a) Patentable subject matter	108	250	¶221
(b) Conditions of patentability	108	1376	California Dental
¶175 Standard of invention	108	100	1222
¶176 Obtaining a patent	109	Glex.	Dagher
¶177 Patent validity	110	930	¶223
		No.	¶224 Joint ventures—introduction

					255
	¶225 Government health care enforcement policy statements	197	182	¶249	256
	¶226	198	1707	¶250 Data dissemination	256
	¶227 National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993	199	1002	American Column & Lumber	260
	¶228	199	038	Maple Flooring	263
	¶229 Guidelines for Collaborations Among Competitors	200	1000		264
	¶230	201	AAA	¶252 Historical notes on information exchange	264
2C.	When Does an Agreement Exist?	201	100	Container Corp.	268
	¶231 Introduction	201	100	¶253	269
Oli	igopoly Theory	202	100	¶254	
Ou			100 500 .	¶255 General Motors	270
	¶232 The oligopoly or shared monopoly problem	202	0.5	¶256 Basing point pricing:	273
	¶233 Oligopoly—basic model and theory:	203	E30	(a) Description	273
	(a) Simple case	203	all I	(a) Description	274
	(b) Elements of successful oligopoly	204	70%	(b) Economic effects	274
	(c) Cartels compared	205	477	(c) Collusion or competition?	275
	(d) Relevance of number of firms	205	MAR Ro	(d) Legal issues	276
	(e) Oligopoly pricing in the absence of cooperative behavior	206	100	Cement Institute	279
	¶234 Factors affecting likelihood of oligopolistic coordination:	206	0.00	¶257	280
	(a) Introduction	206	7000	¶958	280
	(b) Divergent interests		1	¶259 Remedies for basing point pricing	
	(b) Divergent interests	206	100	¶260 du Pont	280
	(c) Available channels of verbal and nonverbal communication	207	lat.	1 D C Late Deel	284
	(d) Price comparability of products	208	2E.	Concerted Retusals to Deal	284
	(e) Nonprice competition	209	50E ~	¶261 Introduction	284
	¶235 Factors affecting the potential gains from successful coordination,		200	¶262 Early cases:	284
	express or tacit:	210		(a) Eastern States	285
	(a) Fringe expansion	210		(b) Cement Manufactuers	286
	(b) Substitute products or new entry	210		(c) Motion picture cases	286
	¶236 Evidence on oligopoly pricing in the economy:	210		(d) McCann	287
	1250 Evidence on ongopoly pricing in the economy.			Fashion Originators' Guild (FOGA)	
	(a) Measuring economic performance and concentration	211	905	¶263	290
	(b) The empirical evidence on concentration and oligopoly	Apr. 487007	A Det	¶264	290
	pricing	212	No. of the second	Klor's	291
Ta	cit and Inferred Express Agreements	213	102		293
	¶237 What constitutes an agreement?	213	292	¶265	293
	¶238 Eastern States	216	200	¶266	293
	Înterstate Circuit	216	308	(a) American Medical	294
	Theatre Enterprises	220	200	(b) Molinas	295
	American Tobacco	222	200	(c) Proposition	295
	¶239	224	770	¶267	295
	¶240	224	1870	Associated Press (AP)	299
	¶241 Later Cases:		1776	¶268	
		226	E19	¶269	300
	(a) Matsushita Electric	226	ESE.	Northwest Wholesale Stationers	300
	(b) Brooke Group	227	255	¶270	306
	(c) Twombly	227	878		306
	¶242 The object of courts' inquiry:	229	374	¶271	306
	(a) What issue?	229	100	Indiana Federation of Dentists	31
	(b) Indications of express collusion	230	175	¶272	31
	(c) Motivation and acts against self-interest	230	1,500	¶273 NYNEX	31
	(d) High prices or profits	232	31759	¶274 Additional varieties of concerted refusals to deal	31
		232	5315	¶275 Summary	314
	(e) Summary		2F		31
	Text Messaging	233	116	¶276 Introduction	
1944 80	¶243	235	898	Noerr Motor Freight	31
Int	raenterprise Conspiracy	236	595	¶277	31
	¶244 Introduction	236	396	California Motor Transport	31
	Copperweld	236	200		32
	¶245	243	896	9278  Performed Perd Fetate	32
	¶246 Additional intraenterprise conspiracy issues	244	No.	Professional Real Estate	32
	American Needle	245		¶279	32
	¶247	253	7900	Omni Outdoor Advertising	33
9D	Facilitating Practices	<b>254</b>	392	¶280	33
4.0.	¶248 Introduction		10.	Indian Head	30
	14TO IIII OUUCUOII	254	1.00		

F001		(c) American Can	398
¶281	338	(d) United States Steel	399
¶282 National Organization for Women (NOW)	339		400
Superior Court Trial Lawyers Association (SCTLA)	340	¶304 Aluminum (Alcoa)	400
¶283	350		406
2G. Intellectual Property Licensing and Settlements	350	¶305	407
¶284 Introduction	350	¶306 ¶307 Remedies in Alcoa	407
Price-Restricted Licenses and the IP-Antitrust Tension Generally	351		409
General Electric	351	¶308	409
¶285 The IP–antitrust conflict:	353	¶309 American Tobacco	410
(a) Is there a conflict?	353	Leverage and the Single Monopoly Profit Theory  ¶310 Is leverage an act of monopolization or a way to enjoy monopoly	
(b) Formalistic resolutions	353	1310 Is leverage an act of monopolization of a way to easy,	410
(c) Resolving the conflict	354	profits?	412
¶286 Use, assignment, or licensing	355	Griffith	414
¶287 Price and related restrictions in IP licenses:	357	¶311	414
(a) Reasons for refusing to license without a price restriction	357	Refining the Notion of Exclusionary Behavior United Shoe Machinery Corp.	414
(b) Output limitations	358	¶312 The monopolization test: Grinnell	421
(c) Territorial limitations	359		422
(d) Exclusive licenses	359	¶313	422
(e) IP licenses and vertical restraints	361	¶314	423
¶288 Suppression; compulsory licensing:	361	¶315	424
(a) Nonuse explained	361	¶316	425
(b) Precedent	362	¶317	426
(c) De facto compulsory licensing	363	¶318	426
(d) Compulsory licensing	363	Microsoft Corp.	443
¶289	364	¶319	443
¶290	365	¶320	444
¶291 Post-GE developments:	366	¶321 Microsoft remedies	446
(a) Does GE survive?	366	Legislative Deconcentration	446
(b) Unpatented product or nonmanufacturing patentee	366	¶322 Deconcentration: no-fault monopoly and oligopoly:	446
(c) Multiple patents	366	(a) S. 2614	446
(d) Multiple licenses	367	(b) S. 1167	447
Use Restrictions	367	(c) Rationale	448
¶292 Introduction	367	¶323 Oligopoly and single-firm monopoly compared	449
¶293 Price discrimination, patent exploitation, and use restrictions:	368	¶324 Sherman Act §2 coverage	450
(a) Discrimination's nature and prerequisites	368	Vertical Integration and Dealing with Competitors	450
(b) Discrimination's consequences	369	¶325 Vertical integration in brief:	450
(c) Discrimination and patent exploitation	371	(a) Overview	451
(d) Use restrictions and discriminatory royalties	371	(b) A second monopoly? Or is it "only" price discrimination?	452
¶294 The legality of use restrictions		(c) Avoiding successive monopoly	453
(a) General Talking Pictures	372 372	(d) Avoiding inefficient input substitution	453
(b) Limiting use of purchased patented product		(e) Long-run effects on market structure and performance	453
¶295	373	(f) Summary	454
IP Settlements	373	¶326 Otter Tail Power	454
¶296 The problem:	374	¶327 Berkey Photo	455
(a) Private benefits of IP settlement	374	Aspen Skiing Co.	461
	374	¶328	463
(b) Social benefits of settlement	374	$\P 329$	464
¶297 The legality of IP settlements	375	¶330 AT&T divestiture	465
¶298	376	Trinko	472
Actavis	377	1331 Language and	473
Chapter 3. Monopoly	395	¶332	474
¶300 Prologue	395	Linkline	
Sherman Act §2	395	¶333	480
¶301 Useful definitions	395	Predatory Pricing	481
3A. Monopolization	396	Barry Wright Corp.	481
The Distinction Between Monopoly and Monopolization	396	¶334 °	488 488
¶302 The bad conduct element	396	¶335	
¶303 Early landmarks	396	Brooke Group Ltd.	490
(a) Standard Oil	397	¶336	506 507
(b) American Tobacco	398	ÅMR	513
All to the second secon		¶337	513

	¶338	£19	and a Comb
	Weyerhaeuser Co.	513 514	Microsoft Corp.
	¶339	519	¶361
M	onopolization with Patents: Standards-Essential Patents and Patent	319	¶362
	Accumulation	520	3C. Attempt to Monopolize
	¶340 Standards-essential patents and patent accumulation	520	¶363 Lorain Journal
	(a) Standards-essential patents	520	¶364
	(b) Patent accumulation: development and acquisition	522	¶365
	¶341 The authorities on accumulation	523	¶366 American Airlines
	¶342	524	Spectrum Sports
	¶343	525	¶367
3B.	Monopoly Power	<b>526</b>	Nortical Postraints
	¶344 Introduction	526	Chapter 4. Vertical Restraints
	¶345 Monopoly and market power:	527	¶400 Prologue
	(a) Defining monopoly in terms of market power	527	4A. Restricted Distribution
	(b) The price of monopoly	528	¶401 Introduction
	(c) Market power as power over price	528	The Per Se Era
	(d) Market power and the goals of the antitrust laws	528	¶402 Introduction
	¶346 Ways of measuring market power:	529	Dr. Miles Medical Co.
	(a) Introduction	529	¶403
	(b) Difficulty of direct measurement	529	¶404 Fair trade laws
	(c) Unexploited power	530	¶405 Albrecht
	(d) Prospective power	530	¶406 Arnold, Schwinn
	(e) Power inferred from conduct	531	The Rule of Reason Revolution  ¶407 The basic differences between vertical relationships and horizontal
	¶347 Determinants of market power:	531	
	(a) Buyer responsiveness determines seller's power	531	ones
	(b) Price at which buyers' alternatives are assessed	532	¶408 Why manufacturers sometimes limit dealer markups to
	(c) High margins under monopolistic competition	533	increase sales ¶409 Higher distributor markups could purchase better distribution
	¶348 Market definition, the relevant market, and market share:	534	for a manufacturer, which is a potential precompetitive justification
	(a) The market definition concept	534	for a manufacturer, which is a potential precompetitive justification
	(b) Market share and market power	535	for many distributional restraints:  (a) High markups may buy dealer salesmanship and marketing
	(c) Group power	535	(a) High markups may buy dealer salesmansing and marketing (b) Absent RPM, territorial or other restraints, free riding could
	(d) Recent developments	556	limit dealer efforts
	¶349 More about product market substitution:	537	(c) Markups may purchase market penetration
	(a) Differentiated products, different brands	537	(d) What is good for the manufacturer is not necessarily good for
	(b) Physically different products	538	consumers
	(c) Elasticity versus cross-elasticity	538	¶410 Dealer-inspired restrictions
	¶350 Geographic market definition	539	¶410 Dealer-inspired restrictions ¶411 RPM could facilitate price coordination among manufacturers
	¶351 Competitors, supply substitution, and entry:	541	
	(a) Expansion by immediate competitors	541	GTE Sylvania ¶412
	(b) Supply substitution	541	¶413
	(c) Entry	542	¶413 ¶414
	¶352 Summary of market power: unavoidable approximations	542	Khan
	¶353 Market definition and measurement under 2010 government	344	¶415
	horizontal merger guidelines	543	
Hor	izontal Merger Guidelines	543 543	$Leegin = \P416$
	¶354 Issues in determining the relevant market and monopoly power in	747	Agency and Similar Relationships
	practice:	551	¶417 Agency and partial vertical integration
	(a) The hypothetical monopoly test	551	418
	(b) Interpretting market shares		Refusal to Deal and Vertical Agreement
	Aluminum (Alcoa)	552 553	¶419 The vertical agreement puzzle
	¶355		Colgate & Co.
	¶356	556 557	420 Telephone Colgular Col.
	du Pont (Cellophane)	558	1420 1421 Parke Davis
	¶357 du Pont's profits	564	Monsanto Co.
	¶358	565	1422 ¶422
	¶359	566	423
	¶360	566	4B. Tying Arrangements
		300	Lynig minigunding

	¶424 Introduction and variations	643	3	¶454	4	726
	¶425 Business reasons for tying:	644	4D	Rum	odled Discounts and Loyalty Discounts	726
	(a) Monopoly in the tied product	644	4D.	Ø45	5 Similarities to tying and exclusive dealing	726
	(b) More "efficient" pricing of tying product	646		945	Similarities to typing and exclusive dearing	727
	(c) Price discrimination				6 Loew's	728
	(c) The discrimination	646			age's Inc.	737
	(d) Disguising price	647		¶45′	7 1,4 2,5 4,5 1,5 1,5	
	(e) Cost savings	647	7	Peac	reHealth	737
	(f) Quality control or improvement	648	3	¶458	8	747
	(g) Summary	648		¶45		748
	¶426 Development in patent cases:	648	3	_ E	Mergers: Horizontal, Vertical, and Conglomerate	749
	(a) Motion Picture Patents	648	Chapt	ter 5.	Mergers. Horizontal, vertical, and congromerate	749
	(b) Later elaboration			¶50	O Prologue provide all the process and the pro	713
		649	5A.	Cor	ncentration Levels and Merger Motivations	751
	(c) Tying by patentees and the antitrust laws	649	YES	¶50	1 The extent of concentration	751
	¶427 Tying under the Clayton Act	650		¶50	2 Merger motives:	754
	Clayton Act §3 International Salt Co.	650		1100	(a) Anticompetitive reasons	754
	International Satt Co.	650	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		(b) Easier expansion or entry	754
	¶428	652	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		(b) Masici expansion of city	755
	Northern Pacific Railway Co.	653	SEC.		(c) Operating efficiencies and scale economies	755
	¶429	656	A STATE OF THE STA		(d) Financial gains without new efficiencies	
	¶430	657			(e) Financial gains with new efficiencies	755
	¶431 Mandatory package licensing of patents:	658			(f) Management goals	756
	(a) Justifications for packages				(g) Substituting better management	756
		658			(h) Defensive mergers	757
	(b) Findings of illegality	658				757
	(c) Related patents	659				758
	(d) Identifying a mandatory package	659	5B.	Inti	roduction to Merger Law	
	¶432 Patent royalty base	659		¶50	3 Early Sherman Act cases:	758
	(a) Duration of payments	659			(a) Northern Securities	758
	(b) Sale price of unpatented products	660			(b) Union Pacific	758
	¶433 International Business Machines				(c) United States Steel	759
	Jerrold Electronics Corp.	660			(d) Columbia Steel	759
		661	13	C1-		760
	¶434	665		Cia	yton Act §7	760
	¶435	666		150	4 Legislative history of amended §7:	760
	¶436	666	AAM		(a) Brown Shoe Co.	
	¶437 Fortner Enterprises	603			(b) D. Bok	763
	¶438	670	274	¶50	5 Market definition; multiple markets involved:	763
	¶439 Coping with noninjurious ties	670			(a) Importance; monopoly and merger compared	763
	Jefferson Parish Hospital		2110		(b) Multiple markets	764
		672	Service .	al E O	6 Modern merger practice and premerger notification	765
	¶440	684		100	o Modern merger practice and premerger nouncation	767
	Eastman Kodak Co.	686	1100		rizontal Mergers	767
	9441	700	300	¶50	17	
	Microsoft Corp.	701	088		wn Shoe Co.	768
	¶442	706	588	¶50	08	772
	Illinois Tool Works	707		¶50		773
	¶443	711	486		ladelphia National Bank	774
AC	Exclusive Dealing	/11	Add to	¶51		779
TU.		711				779
	¶444 Introduction	711		¶51		
	¶445 Objects of exclusive dealing:	712	1000	¶51		780
	(a) Preempting outlets	712	7500	¶51	3	780
	(b) Assured markets or prices for sellers and buyers	712		¶51	4 Continental Can	780
	(c) Promoting dealer loyalty and investment	713			5 Von's Grocery	785
	(d) Other cost savings				6 Reduced antagonism to mergers	783
		714	Project Company			783
	Standard Oil Co.	714	1000		neral Dynamics Corp.	788
	¶446	719	E88	¶5]		
	¶447	719	284	¶5]	18 The failing company defense	788
	¶448 Motion Picture Advertising Service	720	- E88	¶5]	19 Horizontal merger guidelines: introduction	79
	¶449	720		orizoni	tal Merger Guidelines	79
	¶450 Tampa Electric	721	988.1	¶59	20 Horizontal merger guidelines: basic operation	80
	¶451			Sta		80
		722				82
	102	722		¶52		82
	$\P453$	723		¶52	22	04
	Barry Wright Corp.	793				

	¶523			822
			es of the <i>Philadelphia Bank</i> holding	822
	¶525	Age	ency investigation and settlements	823
	¶526	Ho	spital mergers	825
	Rutte	ruort	h Health Corp.	825
	¶527		i Heissin Gorp.	
	¶528			838
	¶529			838
			ciencies:	838
	1330			839
		1222	Introduction Francisco Control	839
		(b)		840
		(c)		840
		(d)	Financing efficiencies	841
	#F × 0.1	(e)	Acquiring efficiencies through contract, not merger	841
	¶531			842
	¶532			842
	¶533			843
	¶534			844
	$H\mathcal{G}F$	R Bloc	k	845
	¶535			862
	¶536	Inte	erlocking directorates	862
5D.	Verti	cal I	Mergers	863
	¶537	Ear	ly development:	863
		(a)		863
		(b)		864
	Brown	1 Show		865
	¶538			870
	¶539	Ver	tical mergers under 1984 government guidelines:	871
	.7	(a)		872
			Vertical mergers facilitating collusion	873
		(c)	Evasion of rate regulation	873
		(d)		873
		(e)	Application of the guidelines to vertical mergers	873
	¶540	(0)	representation of the guidelines to vertical mergers	874
	¶541			875
5E.		dom	erate Mergers	876
<i>y</i> <u></u> .	¶549	The	conglomerate problem	876
			Gamble Co. (Clorox)	
	¶543		Sumote Co. (Glorox)	877
		Flin	ninating potential competition:	882
		(a)		883
		100		883
		(b)	The relationship between present and potential future	0.0
		(0)	competition	884
	WE 1E	(C)	Potential competition and joint ventures	884
	¶545		sequent potential competition decisions:	885
		(a)	The toehold doctrine	885
		(b)	Bendix	885
		(c)	Falstaff Brewing	886
	<b></b>	(d)		887
	¶546		lation, pricing discipline, and the powerful firm:	887
		(a)	Predation	887
	-		Pricing discipline or leadership	888
	¶547	Con	glomerate mergers under 1984 government guidelines:	889
		(a)	Market concentration	889
		(b)	Entry conditions	889
		(c)	Other acquirers	889
		(d)	Other factors	889
	¶548			889
	¶549	Reci	procity:	800

		(a)	Generally	890
			Purposes	891
		(c)	Relevant questions	891
-		(d)	Agreements	892
		(e)	Mergers	892
	Comso		d Foods Corp.	892
	¶550	iuuici	William Conf.	894
	¶551	Inter	rnational Telephone & Telegraph:	895
	1331	(2)	Claims concerning Grinnell's dominance and the competitive	
		(a)	advantages it would gain through the merger	895
		(b)	The economic concentration claim	896
		(c)	The settlements	897
	WEE O	(c)	The settlements	898
	¶552	Late	er conglomerate mergers and government enforcement	899
		Late	Conglomerate mergers and government emotions.	901
Chapte	er b.		crimination Under the Robinson-Patman Act	901
	¶600	Prol	ogue	
	Robin	son-P	atman Act §2(a) and §2(b)	902
			neral requirements of §2(a)	903
	¶602		development of §2:	904
		(a)	The original provision	904
		(b)	Background of the 1936 legislation	905
6A.	Prim	ary-I	Line Injury	906
	¶603			906
	¶604	Utai	h Pie	906
MENTAL	¶605	Brook	oke Group	907
6B.	Seco	ndar	y-Line Injury	909
	Morto	m Sal	i Co.	909
	¶606			911
	¶607			912
	Texac	0		913
	¶608			920
	¶609			920
	¶610	Bore	den	920
	¶611			921
	Volvo	Truc	ks	922
	¶612			928
6C.	Affin	mati	ve Defenses Under §2(a) and §2(b)	929
	st Justif			929
			roduction:	929
	11.	(a)	Scope of defense; burden of proof	929
		(b)		930
	Borde			930
	¶614			932
Me			tition in Good Faith	933
IIV Table	-		roduction	933
	III SECTION		od faith and knowledge of rivals' prices:	934
	1010	(a)		934
		200	United States Gypsum	934
		(c)		936
	¶617		Great Mathia & Large Lea do. (1191)	937
	-		od faith and pricing systems:	938
	¶618		od faith and pricing systems:	938
		(a)		939
	<b>C</b> C10		Falls City Industries	940
	¶619			940
CD	¶620		n	940
6D.			entary Provisions	940
Bu	yer Lia			940
	1021	Co	ngressional concern with buyers	940

			tomatic Canteen	941
	Great	Atla	ntic & Pacific Tea Co. (A&P)	942
	¶623			945
177	Brokerage			945
	Robin	son-F	Patman Act §2(c)	945
	¶624	The	brokerage prohibition:	945
		(a)	Statutory purpose as stated by the Supreme Court	945
		(b)	Requirements for violation and availability of defenses	946
		(c)	When brokerage is "for services rendered"	947
		(d)	Identifying "a commission, brokerage, or other	
			compensation, or any allowance or discount in lieu thereof"	948
		(e)	Application to commercial bribery	949
		(f)	Buyer liability	950
1	Discrimina	tory A	Allowances or Services	950
	Robin	son-P	atman Act §2(d) and §2(e)	950
	¶625	The	prohibition on discriminatory allowances or services:	950
		(a)	The statutory concern	950
		(b)	Requirements for violation and availability of defenses	950
		(c)	When is an allowance or service "available on proportionally equal terms"?	
		(d)		951
		(e)	Distinguishing price discrimination for the competing customer requirement	952
		(0)	Distinguishing price discrimination from promotional allowances	
		(f)	Buyer liability	953
		(1)	Bayer hability	953
Appe	endix.	Sele	ected Statutes	955
	herman A			955
0	layton Act			957
			ommission Act	
				976
Table	of Cases			0113
Index	,			1005
				1000

## Preface to the Seventh Edition

Much has happened since the sixth edition. Eleven Supreme Court antitrust cases have been decided, some overturning existing doctrine and others refining it. Leegin, in particular, completed the rule-of-reason revolution with respect to vertical distribution restraints, taking resale price maintenance out of the per se rule. In June 2013, the Supreme Court decided Actavis, providing that reverse payment settlements are subject to antitrust scrutiny. In addition to incorporating these and other Supreme Court cases, we have added two circuit court decisions on bundled discounts and loyalty discounts: Le Page's and Peace Health. Also of note is that we have included the 2010 Horizontal Merger Guidelines and the H&R block case, which applies the new Guidelines and nicely illustrates the analysis of differentiated product markets in mergers. The book has been updated throughout. As in previous editions, the book pays special attention to the intersection of antitrust and intellectual property law.

We are grateful to our many colleagues around the world who have contributed to our understanding of industrial organization and antitrust. We want to particularly thank Professor Jonathan Rose for comments on the sixth edition.

With this edition we introduce a new tradition of thanking research assistants who made significant contributions to the current edition. With apologies to any we have inadvertently omitted, we thank Meaghan Banks, Norma Cerros, Jake Feltham, Omari French, and Nadezhda Nikonova.

Finally, and most of all, we wish to thank our wives, Jody and Jenn; our children Leah, Irene, Cole, and Adam; and the rest of our families for all their love.

L.K. and A.E.

June 2013

Disclaimers: Louis Kaplow and Aaron Edlin occasionally consult on antitrust matters for government agencies and private parties. Louis Kaplow's wife is in the legal department of a financial services firm.