

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	vii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxv
Introduction. The Methodology of the Research: How to Assess the Reality of Transjudicial Communication?	1
<i>Tania Groppi and Marie-Claire Ponthoreau</i>	
Part I	11
1. Reference to Foreign Precedents by the Australian High Court: A Matter of Method	13
<i>Cheryl Saunders and Adrienne Stone</i>	
2. Canada: Protecting Rights in a ‘Worldwide Rights Culture’: An Empirical Study of the Use of Foreign Precedents by the Supreme Court of Canada (1982–2010)	39
<i>Gianluca Gentili</i>	
3. India: A ‘Critical’ Use of Foreign Precedents in Constitutional Adjudication.....	69
<i>Valentina Rita Scotti</i>	
4. The Supreme Court of Ireland and the Use of Foreign Precedents: The Value of Constitutional History	97
<i>Cristina Fasone</i>	
5. Israel: Creating a Constitution—The Use of Foreign Precedents by the Supreme Court (1994–2010)	129
<i>Suzie Navot</i>	
6. Namibia: The Supreme Court as a Foreign Law Importer	155
<i>Irene Spigno</i>	
7. South Africa: Teaching an ‘Old Dog’ New Tricks? An Empirical Study of the Use of Foreign Precedents by the South African Constitutional Court (1995–2010)	185
<i>Christa Rautenbach</i>	
Part II	211
8. Austria: Non-cosmopolitan, but Europe-friendly—The Constitutional Court’s Comparative Approach.....	213
<i>Anna Gamper</i>	

9. Lifting the Constitutional Curtain? The Use of Foreign Precedent by the German Federal Constitutional Court	229
<i>Stefan Martini</i>	
10. Hungary: Unsystematic and Incoherent Borrowing of Law. The Use of Foreign Judicial Precedents in the Jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court, 1999–2010.....	253
<i>Zoltán Szente</i>	
11. A Gap between the Apparent and Hidden Attitudes of the Supreme Court of Japan towards Foreign Precedents.....	273
<i>Akiko Ejima</i>	
12. Mexico: Struggling for an Open View In Constitutional Adjudication.....	301
<i>Eduardo Ferrer Mac-Gregor and Rubén Sánchez Gil</i>	
13. Romania: Analogical Reasoning as a Dialectical Instrument.....	321
<i>Elena Simina Tanasescu and Stefan Deaconu</i>	
14. Russia: Foreign Transplants in the Russian Constitution and Invisible Foreign Precedents in Decisions of the Russian Constitutional Court	347
<i>Sergey Belov</i>	
15. Judges as Discursive Agent: The Use of Foreign Precedents by the Constitutional Court of Taiwan	373
<i>Wen-Chen Chang and Jiunn-Rong Yeh</i>	
16. United States of America: First Cautious Attempts of Judicial Use of Foreign Precedents in the Supreme Court’s Jurisprudence	393
<i>Angioletta Sperti</i>	
Conclusion. The Use of Foreign Precedents by Constitutional Judges: A Limited Practice, An Uncertain Future	411
<i>Tania Groppi and Marie-Claire Ponthoreau</i>	