
Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Note to the Reader</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xix
INTRODUCTION	1
I Purpose of the Book	1
II Scope and Outline	4
III Methodology	8
PART I THEORETICAL AND HISTORICAL FOUNDATIONS	9
1 WELFARE AND OBJECTIVES OF COMPETITION LAW AND POLICY	11
I Introduction	11
II Welfare Economics, Different Understandings of Welfare and Efficiency	12
A In General	12
B Different Types of Efficiency and Efficiency Trade-Offs in Competition Policy	19
i Different Types of Efficiency	19
ii Efficiency Trade-Offs in Competition Policy	21
III Objectives of Competition Law and Policy	25
A In General	25
i The Harvard School	26
ii The Chicago School	27
iii The Post-Chicago School	29
B Consumer Welfare and Total Welfare as Standards for Competition Law and Policy	30
C Triangles, Rectangles and Trapezoids: Whose Interest Matters More?	37
D Is Welfare All That Matters?	44
IV Conclusion	47
2 THE HISTORICAL ROOTS OF ARTICLE 102 TFEU	49
I Introduction	49
II Objectives of EU Competition Law and Policy	50
III Ordoliberalism and Ordoliberal Competition Policy	55

x CONTENTS

IV	Insights from the Period leading to the Treaties of Rome	63
	A Germany	63
	B Europe	69
V	The Highlights of the History of the Competition Rules in the Treaties of Rome	74
	A Back to Basics: From Messina to the ‘Spaak Report’	74
	B The ‘Spaak Report’	75
	i An Overview	75
	ii The Common Market and the Goal of Efficiency	76
	iii The Problem of Monopolies	78
	iv ‘Fair’ Competition	79
	C Drafting of the Competition Rules	80
	D The First Signs of Struggle: Proposal for Regulation 17	85
	E Early Commission Interpretation	90
	F Early Understandings of Dominance and Abuse	93
VI	Implications for Article 102	96
VII	Conclusion	102
	PART II TWO POTENTIAL OBJECTIVES: ‘WELFARE’ AND ‘FAIRNESS’	107
3	WELFARE IN ARTICLE 102 TFEU	109
I	Introduction	109
II	Article 101(3), the Welfare Standard and the Treatment of Efficiencies under Article 102	112
	A Why is there not an Equivalent of Article 101(3) in Article 102?	115
	B Is there a Pass-On Requirement for Efficiencies in Article 102?	120
	C Does Article 101(3) Set a Standard of ‘Consumer Welfare’?	126
III	The Standard of Harm and the ‘Consumer Welfare’ Standard: A Critical Look at the Application of Article 102 by the Commission and the EU Courts	129
	A Are <i>Effects</i> Necessary for a Finding of Abuse?	130
	B On Whom or What should Harm (or Harmful <i>Effects</i>) be Demonstrated?	134
IV	Conclusion	143
4	‘FAIRNESS’ IN ARTICLE 102 TFEU	146
I	Introduction	146
II	Fairness and Ordoliberalism	151
III	EU Case Law	153
IV	Notions of ‘Fairness’	157
	A In General	157

B	Contract Law	159	
C	The Similarity of Fairness Notions in Contract Law with Article 102 and its Implications	167	
	i	Inequality of Bargaining Power	167
	ii	Duress, Economic Duress and Undue Influence	169
	a	Duress and Economic Duress	170
	b	Undue Influence	172
D	EU Consumer Law	174	
	i	Unfair Contract Terms	176
	ii	Unfair Commercial Practices	178
V	Conclusion	182	
PART III CONFLICTING OBJECTIVES IN PRACTICE: TWO CASE STUDIES		185	
5	A CASE STUDY ON 'FAIRNESS' VERSUS 'WELFARE': 'UNFAIR PRICING' AS AN ABUSE	187	
I	Introduction	187	
II	Scope of Inquiry	191	
III	EU Case Law on 'Unfair Pricing'	193	
IV	Insights from Behavioural Economics on '(Un)Fair' Prices	197	
V	Suggestions from the Literature on Operationalising the 'Unfair Pricing' Prohibition	204	
VI	Is the Prohibition of 'Unfair Pricing' Inherently Problematic?	208	
	A	Problems with Consistency, Certainty and Welfare	208
	B	Inherent Irrationality?	213
VII	A New Test	218	
	A	Business-to-Consumer Transactions	219
	B	Business-to-Business Transactions	220
	C	The Operation of the New Test	224
VIII	Conclusion	228	
6	A CASE STUDY ON 'FAIRNESS' VERSUS 'WELFARE': 'DISCRIMINATION' AS AN ABUSE	231	
I	Introduction	231	
II	Definitional Issues and the Operation of the Prohibition under Article 102 TFEU	235	
	A	Definitional Issues	235
	B	The 'Competitive Disadvantage' Requirement and the Operation of the Prohibition in Practice	240
III	Should Discrimination be an Abuse under Article 102 TFEU?	245	
	A	Welfare	246

xii CONTENTS

B	Fairness	252
C	Fairness versus Welfare	257
IV	Reconciliation	262
V	Conclusion	265
PART IV MODERNISING THE APPROACH TO 'ABUSE'		267
7	THE 'REFORMED' APPROACH TO 'ABUSE'	269
I	Introduction	269
II	The Commission's 'Reform' of its Approach to 'Abuse'	270
A	In General	270
B	The Standard for Price-Based Exclusionary Conduct: The 'As Efficient Competitor' Test	274
C	'Objective Necessity' and 'Efficiencies'	280
III	Is an Economic, Effects-Based Approach Inherently Problematic?	284
IV	Choice: The Problem with the 'Reformed' Approach?	291
V	Conclusion	298
8	A NEW APPROACH TO 'ABUSE'	300
I	Introduction	300
II	Exploitation as a Necessary Condition	301
III	Exclusion as a Necessary Condition	307
IV	A Lack of an Increase in Efficiency as a Necessary Condition	316
V	Evaluation of the New Approach	319
VI	Conclusion	325
CONCLUSION		328
<i>Bibliography</i>		331
<i>Index</i>		347