

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Foreword to the First Edition</i>	vii
<i>Authors' Preface to the Second Edition</i>	xi
<i>Authors' Preface to the First Edition</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	lxv
<b>1. INTRODUCTION, SCOPE OF APPLICATION, AND BASIC FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES OF ARTICLE 102 TFEU	5
1.3 SCOPE OF APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 102 TFEU	11
1.3.1 Territorial Application of Article 102 TFEU	12
1.3.2 The Definition Of An Undertaking	14
1.3.2.1 Generally	14
1.3.2.2 Public bodies as undertakings	15
1.3.2.3 Sporting and cultural activities	20
1.3.3 State Action Defence	21
1.3.4 Parent Liability For Subsidiary Actions Under Article 102 TFEU	27
1.4 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ARTICLE 102 TFEU AND OTHER LEGAL INSTRUMENTS	30
1.4.1 Article 102 TFEU And General Principles Of EU Law	31
1.4.2 The Relationship Between Articles 101 And 102 TFEU	34
1.4.3 Article 102 TFEU And Merger Control Laws	37
1.4.4 Article 102 TFEU And The Rules On State Action	41
1.4.5 Article 102 TFEU And Regulation	44
1.4.6 Article 102 TFEU And National Abuse Of Dominance Laws	47
1.4.7 Article 102 TFEU And Arbitration	51
<b>2. HISTORY, DEVELOPMENT, AND REFORM</b>	<b>55</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION	55
2.2 HISTORY OF ARTICLE 102 TFEU	55
2.3 DEVELOPMENT OF ARTICLE 102 TFEU	63
2.4 THE REFORM OF ARTICLE 102 TFEU	67
2.4.1 The Road To Reform	67
2.4.2 The Discussion Paper And Its Antecedents	70
2.4.3 The Guidance Paper	73
2.4.4 Looking Further Ahead	83
<b>3. MARKET DEFINITION</b>	<b>94</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION	94
3.2 PRODUCT MARKET DEFINITION: BASIC CONCEPTS	100
3.2.1 Demand-Side Substitution	100
3.2.2 Supply-Side Substitution	102

3.2.3	Chains Of Substitution	106
3.3	RELEVANT PRODUCT MARKETS: FROM THEORY TO PRACTICE	107
3.3.1	Hypothetical Monopolist Test: Overview	107
3.3.2	Assessing Demand-Side Substitution Under The HMT	109
3.3.2.1	Quantitative techniques	110
3.3.2.2	Qualitative evidence	119
3.3.2.3	Other sources of evidence	120
3.4	GEOGRAPHIC MARKET DEFINITION	124
3.4.1	Key Concepts	124
3.4.2	Defining Geographic Markets In Practice	125
3.5	SELECTED ISSUES ON MARKET DEFINITION	130
3.5.1	Impact Of Price Discrimination On Market Definition	130
3.5.2	Market Definition In Tying And Bundling Cases	133
3.5.3	Aftermarkets	135
3.5.4	Market Definition In Two-Sided Industries	139
<b>4.</b>	<b>DOMINANCE</b>	141
4.1	INTRODUCTION	141
4.2	SINGLE FIRM DOMINANCE	143
4.2.1	Basic Approach	143
4.2.2	The Starting Point: Market Shares	143
4.2.3	Barriers To Entry And Expansion	151
4.2.3.1	Definition of barriers to entry	152
4.2.3.2	Characteristics inherent in the relevant market	155
4.2.3.3	Characteristics specific to the allegedly dominant firm	160
4.2.3.4	Conduct of the allegedly dominant firm	165
4.2.4	Countervailing Buyer Power	166
4.2.5	Evidence Of Actual Competition On The Relevant Market	171
4.2.6	Conclusion	173
4.3	COLLECTIVE DOMINANCE	174
4.3.1	Introduction	174
4.3.2	The Economics Of Collective Dominance	177
4.3.2.1	Firms have the incentive to avoid competing	178
4.3.2.2	Reaching and maintaining a tacit agreement is feasible	180
4.3.2.3	Conclusion	184
4.3.3	Legal Principles Governing Collective Dominance	185
4.3.3.1	Evolution	185
4.3.3.2	Establishing collective dominance under Article 102 TFEU	189
4.3.4	Selected Issues On Collective Dominance	201
4.4	DOMINANT BUYERS	205
4.5	“SUPERDOMINANCE”	206
4.6	COMPARING DOMINANCE UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU AND OTHER EU LEGISLATION	208
4.7	SUBSTANTIAL PART OF THE COMMON MARKET	213

<b>5. THE GENERAL CONCEPT OF AN ABUSE</b>	214
5.1 INTRODUCTION	214
5.2 THE ECONOMICS OF ABUSIVE UNILATERAL CONDUCT	220
5.2.1 Evolution Of Economic Thinking On Unilateral Conduct	220
5.2.2 Designing Economically Optimal Rules For Unilateral Conduct	224
5.2.3 Advances In Defining Exclusionary Conduct	227
5.2.3.1 The profit sacrifice test and its close relations	227
5.2.3.2 Equally efficient competitor test	231
5.2.3.3 Consumer welfare test	234
5.3 THE CATEGORIES OF ABUSE UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	237
5.3.1 Exploitative Abuses (Article 102(a))	238
5.3.2 Exclusionary Abuses (Article 102(b))	239
5.3.3 Discriminatory Abuses (Article 102(c))	245
5.3.4 Tying Abuses (Article 102(d))	249
5.3.5 Leveraging Abuse	250
5.3.6 List Of Abuses In Article 102 TFEU: Illustrative Or Exhaustive?	256
5.3.7 Case Study: <i>Google</i>	258
5.4 ANTICOMPETITIVE EFFECTS UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	262
5.4.1 The Need For Causation Between Dominance And The Abuse	262
5.4.2 The Standard For Anticompetitive Effects Under Article 102 TFEU	267
5.4.3 The Role Of Consumer Harm	272
5.4.4 The Role Of Intent Evidence	279
5.5 OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION	282
<b>6. PREDATORY PRICING</b>	291
6.1 INTRODUCTION	291
6.2 THE ECONOMICS OF PREDATORY PRICING	292
6.2.1 Basic Cost Definitions	293
6.2.2 Strategic Considerations	299
6.3 THE BASIC RULES ON BELOW-COST PRICE CUTTING UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	301
6.3.1 Pricing Below AVC	302
6.3.2 Pricing Above AVC/AAC But Below ATC	306
6.4 SPECIFIC ISSUES WITH BELOW-COST PRICING UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	311
6.4.1 Recoupment	311
6.4.2 Dealing With Joint And Common Costs	319
6.4.3 Cross-Subsidies	324
6.4.4 Situations Involving High Fixed And Low Variable Costs	328
6.4.5 Situations In Which A Product Incurs Inevitable Start-Up Losses	331
6.5 EXCLUSIONARY ABOVE-COST PRICE CUTS UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	334
6.6 OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION	343

6.6.1	Introduction	343
6.6.2	Meeting Competition	344
6.6.3	Short-Term Promotional Offers	351
6.6.4	Market-Expanding Efficiencies	352
6.6.5	Loss-Leading And “Follow On” Revenues	357
6.6.6	Excess Capacity And Loss-Minimising	361
6.6.7	Miscellaneous Defences	362
<b>7.</b>	<b>MARGIN SQUEEZE</b>	<b>364</b>
7.1	INTRODUCTION	364
7.2	THE ECONOMICS OF MARGIN SQUEEZE	366
7.2.1	Definition	366
7.2.2	Basic Economic Conditions For A Margin Squeeze	366
7.2.3	Motivation For A Margin Squeeze	368
7.3	MINIMUM LEGAL CONDITIONS FOR A MARGIN SQUEEZE	371
7.3.1	Vertical Integration	372
7.3.2	Control Over An Important Upstream Input	374
7.3.3	The Imputation Test(s)	376
7.3.3.1	The Basic Legal Test	376
7.3.3.2	“Reasonably Efficient” Competitor Test	378
7.3.4	The Relevant Costs In A Margin Squeeze Case	382
7.3.5	Assessing Profitability In Margin Squeeze Cases	383
7.3.6	The Need For Comparable Wholesale And Retail Products	388
7.3.7	Anticompetitive Effects	390
7.3.8	Objective Justification	393
7.4	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MARGIN SQUEEZE AND OTHER ABUSES	395
7.4.1	Margin Squeeze And Excessive Pricing	395
7.4.2	Margin Squeeze And “Pure” Predatory Pricing	397
7.4.3	Margin Squeeze And Refusal To Deal Under Article 102 TFEU	399
7.4.4	Margin Squeeze And Cross Subsidies	404
7.5	DIFFICULTIES WITH IDENTIFYING AN ANTICOMPETITIVE MARGIN SQUEEZE IN PRACTICE	404
7.6	CONFLICTS BETWEEN REGULATION AND COMPETITION LAW IN MARGIN SQUEEZE CASES	415
<b>8.</b>	<b>EXCLUSIVE DEALING AND RELATED PRACTICES</b>	<b>423</b>
8.1	INTRODUCTION	423
8.2	ECONOMICS OF EXCLUSIVE DEALING	424
8.2.1	The “Chicago School” View Of Exclusive Dealing	424
8.2.2	Post-Chicago Theories Of Exclusive Dealing	426
8.2.3	Evaluating The Competing Theories On Exclusive Dealing	428
8.3	EVOLUTION OF THE APPROACH TO EXCLUSIVE DEALING UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	429
8.3.1	The Historic Approach: <i>Per Se</i> Illegality	429
8.3.2	Towards A Rule-Of-Reason: <i>Van den Bergh Foods</i>	431
8.3.3	Commission Policy Favouring A Rule-Of-Reason Approach	432

---

8.4	ASSESSMENT OF EXCLUSIVE DEALING UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	432
8.4.1	Exclusive And Near-Exclusive Dealing	434
8.4.2	Anticompetitive Foreclosure And Anticompetitive Effects	435
8.5	OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION	450
8.6	PRACTICES FALLING SHORT OF OUTRIGHT EXCLUSIVITY	455
8.6.1	English Clauses	455
8.6.2	Slotting Allowances	456
8.6.3	Equipment Placement	457
8.6.4	Category Management	458
<b>9.</b>	<b>LOYALTY REBATES AND RELATED PRACTICES</b>	<b>461</b>
9.1	INTRODUCTION	461
9.2	ECONOMICS OF LOYALTY REBATES	464
9.2.1	Procompetitive Reasons For Using Loyalty Rebates	464
9.2.2	Possible Anticompetitive Effects Of Loyalty Rebates	468
9.2.3	Conclusion	470
9.3	ASSESSMENT OF LOYALTY REBATES UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	471
9.3.1	Taxonomy	471
9.3.2	Rebates Linked To Exclusive Or Near-Exclusive Dealing	473
9.3.3	Individualised All-Unit Rebates	478
9.3.4	Incremental Rebates	484
9.3.5	Standardised Or Quantity Rebates	486
9.3.6	The Approach Proposed In The Guidance Paper	489
9.4	ANTICOMPETITIVE EFFECTS IN REBATE CASES	500
9.5	OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION	504
<b>10.</b>	<b>REFUSAL TO DEAL</b>	<b>509</b>
10.1	INTRODUCTION	509
10.2	THE ECONOMICS OF REFUSAL TO DEAL	518
10.2.1	IP Rights	518
10.2.2	Physical Property	524
10.3	THE DUTY TO DEAL WITH COMPETITORS	527
10.3.1	Evolution Of The Decisional Practice And Case Law	527
10.3.2	The Legal Conditions For A Duty To Deal With Rivals Under Article 102 TFEU	537
10.3.2.1	First contracts or licences	538
10.3.2.2	How many contracts must be concluded by the dominant firm	569
10.3.2.3	Terminating a course of dealing	574
10.3.2.4	Relevance of the source or perceived value of the property right	578
10.4	DUTY TO DEAL WITH CUSTOMERS UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	580
10.4.1	The Duty To Supply Inputs To Customers	581

10.4.2	Refusals To Deal Arising At The Level Of Distribution Or Resale	585
10.4.3	Refusal To Deal And Parallel Trade	589
<b>11.</b>	<b>TYING AND BUNDLING</b>	596
11.1	INTRODUCTION	596
11.2	THE ECONOMICS OF TYING AND BUNDLING	599
11.2.1	Efficiency Motivations	599
11.2.2	Possible Anticompetitive Motivations	602
11.2.3	Empirical Evidence	608
11.2.4	Conclusions	609
11.3	TYING AND BUNDLING UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	610
11.3.1	Evolution Of The Decisional Practice And Case Law	610
11.3.2	Legal Conditions For Tying And Bundling	615
11.4	TYING IN AFTERMARKETS	632
11.5	MIXED BUNDLING	635
11.5.1	Overview	635
11.5.2	The Legal Treatment Of Mixed Bundling	637
11.5.3	Suggested Framework	643
<b>12.</b>	<b>EXCLUSIONARY NON-PRICE ABUSES</b>	645
12.1	INTRODUCTION	645
12.2	EXAMPLES OF EXCLUSIONARY NON-PRICE ABUSES	648
12.2.1	Predatory Design Changes/Product Introduction	648
12.2.2	Vexatious Litigation	651
12.2.3	Use And Abuse Of Regulatory Or Government Procedures	655
12.2.4	Abuses and Pharmaceutical Regulatory Procedures	656
12.2.4.1	Background	656
12.2.4.2	Misleading representations to patent offices	660
12.2.4.3	Product substitution/deregistration as an abuse	666
12.2.4.4	Abuses and divisional patents	671
12.2.4.5	Settlement agreements and reverse payments	673
12.2.5	Miscellaneous Practices	680
<b>13.</b>	<b>ABUSIVE CONDUCT AND STANDARDS</b>	684
13.1	INTRODUCTION	684
13.2	THE MEANING OF FRAND TERMS	689
13.3	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND FRAND TERMS	695
13.4	DECEPTION/MISREPRESENTATION	711
13.5	ABUSIVE ACQUISITION OR ACCUMULATION OF IPRs	725
<b>14.</b>	<b>EXCESSIVE PRICING</b>	732
14.1	INTRODUCTION	732
14.2	THE ECONOMICS OF EXCESSIVE PRICING	736
14.3	THE LEGAL TEST(S) FOR EXCESSIVE PRICING	741
14.3.1	The Basic Legal Test	742
14.3.2	The Concept Of “Economic Value”	745

---

14.3.3	Application Of Benchmarks	748
14.4	DIFFICULTIES WITH THE CURRENT APPROACH TO EXCESSIVE PRICING	757
14.5	ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES TO EXCESSIVE PRICING UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU	765
14.6	CONCLUSION	775
<b>15.</b>	<b>ABUSIVE DISCRIMINATION</b>	778
15.1	INTRODUCTION	778
15.2	THE ECONOMICS OF PRICE DISCRIMINATION	781
15.2.1	Conditions For Price Discrimination	782
15.2.2	Welfare Effects Of Price Discrimination	784
15.2.3	Conclusion	788
15.3	LEGAL CONDITIONS FOR ABUSIVE DISCRIMINATION	789
15.3.1	Equivalent Transactions	789
15.3.2	Dissimilar Conditions	795
15.3.3	Competitive Disadvantage	796
15.4	EXAMPLES OF ABUSIVE DISCRIMINATION	803
15.4.1	Discrimination By A Vertically-Integrated Dominant Firm	803
15.4.2	Pure Secondary-Line Discrimination	810
15.4.3	Nationality Or Residence Discrimination	814
15.4.4	Discrimination Intended To Partition National Markets	817
15.4.5	Most-Favoured Company Clauses	821
15.4.6	Discriminatory Supplies In Times Of Shortage	827
15.5	OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION	827
<b>16.</b>	<b>OTHER EXPLOITATIVE ABUSES</b>	839
16.1	INTRODUCTION	839
16.2	ABUSE OF MONOPSONY PURCHASING POWER	840
16.2.1	Basic Economics Of Monopsony Power	840
16.2.2	Conditions For A Possible Abuse	842
16.3	UNFAIR AND EXPLOITATIVE CONTRACT TERMS	846
16.3.1	Reasons For A Limited Case Law	846
16.3.2	Legal Test For Abusive And Unfair Contract Terms	849
16.3.3	Conclusion	859
<b>17.</b>	<b>EFFECT ON TRADE</b>	861
17.1	INTRODUCTION	861
17.2	BASIC LEGAL CONDITIONS FOR EFFECT ON TRADE	863
17.3	SPECIFIC APPLICATIONS OF THE EFFECT ON TRADE CONCEPT	869
17.3.1	Abuses Covering Several Member States	869
17.3.2	Abuses Covering A Single Member State	870
17.3.3	Abuses Covering Only A Part Of A Member State	874
17.3.4	Abuses Concerning Trade Outside The EU	877

<b>18. REMEDIES</b>	880
18.1 INTRODUCTION	880
18.2 GENERAL PRINCIPLES GOVERNING REMEDIES	881
18.2.1 Objectives Of Remedies	881
18.2.2 Remedies Must Be Effective	884
18.2.3 Remedies Must Be Proportionate	886
18.3 PRINCIPAL TYPES OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS	888
18.3.1 Interim Measures	888
18.3.2 Commitment Decisions	895
18.3.2.1 Overview	895
18.3.2.2 Commitment decision procedure	901
18.3.2.3 Legal effect of commitment decisions	908
18.3.3 Non-Applicability Decisions	916
18.3.4 Undertakings	918
18.3.5 Guidance Letters	919
18.3.6 Final Infringement Decisions	921
18.4 PRINCIPAL TYPES OF REMEDIES	921
18.4.1 Fines	921
18.4.2 Behavioural Remedies	927
18.4.2.1 Exclusionary pricing abuses	927
18.4.2.2 Remedies for excessive pricing	928
18.4.2.3 Remedies in discrimination cases	930
18.4.2.4 Compulsory dealing remedies	931
18.4.2.5 Remedies in tying cases	942
18.4.3 Structural Remedies	943
18.4.3.1 Introduction and overview	943
18.4.3.2 Conditions for ordering a structural remedy	946
18.4.3.3 Case studies	948
18.4.4 Effect Of Article 102 TFEU On Contractual Validity	952
18.5 PRIVATE LITIGATION AND REMEDIES	954
18.5.1 Introduction	954
18.5.2 Goals Of Private Enforcement	955
18.5.3 Legal Basis For Private Enforcement	956
18.5.4 Key Legal Issues In The Development Of Private Enforcement	958
18.5.5 Quantification Of Damages In Article 102 TFEU Cases	963
18.5.5.1 Overview	963
18.5.5.2 Commission Guidance	965
18.5.5.3 Decisional practice	966
18.5.5.3 A suggested conceptual framework	969
18.5.6 Procedural Issues	975
<i>Index</i>	981