
CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>Summary of Contents</i>	xi
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Statutes</i>	xxxvii
<i>Table of Delegated Legislation</i>	xliii
<i>Table of Conventions</i>	xliv
<i>Table of Model Codes and Restatements</i>	xlvi
1 Introduction	1
1.1. Tort Law Defences	1
1.2. What is a 'Defence'?	1
1.2.1. Multiple Meanings	1
1.2.1.1. To include denials of elements of the tort in which the claimant sues	2
1.2.1.2. Liability-defeating rules that are external to the elements of the claimant's action	2
1.2.1.3. Principles that diminish the claimant's relief	3
1.2.1.4. Rules in respect of which the defendant carries the onus of proof	3
1.2.1.5. The final element of the claimant's cause of action	5
1.2.2. The Meaning Given to the Word 'Defence' in this Book	5
1.3. The Neglect of Defences	7
1.4. Why are Defences Worth Investigating?	11
1.5. The Temporal Logic of Tort Law	13
1.6. Labels in Tort Law	14
1.6.1. The Purpose of Labels	15
1.6.2. On What Basis are Labels Selected?	16
1.7. Complete Defences and Partial Defences	17
1.8. Who Can Raise Defences?	18
1.8.1. Insurers	18
1.8.2. The Court	19
1.9. Multiple Defences	19
1.10. Scope of the Book	20
1.10.1. Tort Law	20

1.10.2. Equitable Wrongs	21
1.10.3. Breach of Contract	23
1.10.4. Conclusion	24
1.11. Jurisdictions	24
1.12. Methodology	25
1.12.1. Taxonomic Analysis	25
1.12.2. Criminal Law Theorising Regarding Defences	25
1.13. Outline of the Argument	27
2 Torts and Defences	29
2.1. Introduction	29
2.2. Is the Distinction Exhaustive?	29
2.2.1. Standing Rules	30
2.2.2. Affirmative Answers to Defences	31
2.2.3. Conclusion	34
2.3. Defending Defences	34
2.3.1. Mere Assertions	35
2.3.2. An Inadequate Rationale	35
2.3.3. The Efficient Administration of Justice	36
2.3.4. Procedural Justice	36
2.3.5. Relative Value of the Parties' Interests	37
2.3.6. The Rule of Law: Duties and Privileges	39
2.3.7. Promoting Rationality in Judicial Reasoning	39
2.3.8. Harmonisation with Other Departments of the Law of Obligations	40
2.3.9. Discussion	40
2.3.9.1. Arguments that depend upon the allocation of the onus of proof	41
2.3.9.2. Is the distinction between torts and defences intrinsically significant?	41
2.3.9.3. Allocation of issues	44
2.4. Conclusion	45
3 Denials	46
3.1. Introduction	46
3.2. Denials of the Act Element	48
3.2.1. Involuntariness	48
3.3. Denials of a Fault Element	49
3.3.1. Involuntariness	49
3.3.2. Infancy	50
3.3.3. Insanity	51
3.3.4. Intoxication	52

3.3.5. Inevitable Accident	53
3.3.6. Act of God	54
3.3.7. Mistake	55
3.3.8. Voluntary Assumption of Risk	55
3.4. Denials of the Causation Element	58
3.4.1. Inevitable Accident	58
3.4.2. Act of God	58
3.4.3. Act of Third Party	59
3.4.4. Claimant Default	60
3.4.5. Voluntary Assumption of Risk	60
3.4.6. Illegality	61
3.4.7. <i>Novus Actus Interveniens</i>	62
3.5. Denials of the Damage Element	62
3.5.1. Truth	62
3.6. Denials of Other Elements	65
3.6.1. Consent	65
3.6.2. Prescription	68
3.6.3. Exclusion of Liability by Contract or Notice	69
3.6.4. Voluntary Assumption of Risk	70
3.6.5. Illegality	71
3.6.6. Contributory Negligence	71
3.6.7. Truth	72
3.6.8. Common Enemy Rule	73
3.7. Conclusion	73
4 A Taxonomy of Tort Law Defences	75
4.1. Introduction	75
4.2. The Taxonomy	76
4.3. Challenges to the Taxonomy	76
4.3.1. Justifications	76
4.3.1.1. Denials of wrongdoing or explanations for wrongdoing?	76
4.3.1.2. Does tort law accept pleas of justification?	80
4.3.2. Excuses	82
4.3.2.1. Introduction	82
4.3.2.2. Excuses are assertions of responsibility	83
4.3.2.3. Justifications and excuses	85
4.3.2.4. Fletcher's analysis	86
4.3.2.5. Provocation, duress and excessive self-defence	88
4.3.2.6. Mistaken belief in the existence of justifying circumstances	90

4.3.2.7.	Defective motive	97
4.3.2.8.	Conclusion	101
4.3.3.	Denials of Responsibility	101
4.3.3.1.	Insanity	101
4.3.3.2.	Infancy	103
4.3.3.3.	Unfitness to plead	103
4.3.4.	Public Policy Defences	104
4.4.	Conclusion	104
5	Applying the Taxonomy	105
5.1.	Introduction	105
5.2.	Justification Defences	105
5.2.1.	Private Justifications	106
5.2.1.1.	Self-defence	106
5.2.1.2.	Defence of one's property	108
5.2.1.3.	Abatement	108
5.2.1.4.	Recapture of land	109
5.2.1.5.	Recapture of chattels	109
5.2.1.6.	Distress	110
5.2.1.7.	Qualified privilege	110
5.2.1.8.	Innocent dissemination	112
5.2.1.9.	Consent	113
5.2.2.	Public Justifications	114
5.2.2.1.	Public necessity	114
5.2.2.2.	Defence of another person	116
5.2.2.3.	Defence of another's property	116
5.2.2.4.	Arrest	117
5.2.2.5.	Discipline	117
5.2.2.6.	Responsible journalism	118
5.2.2.7.	Medical treatment	119
5.2.2.8.	Justification	119
5.2.2.9.	Statutory authority	121
5.3.	Public Policy Defences	122
5.3.1.	Public Policy Defences That Arise at the Time of the Tort	122
5.3.1.1.	Judicial process immunities	122
5.3.1.2.	Report of court proceedings	123
5.3.1.3.	Parliamentary and executive privilege	123
5.3.1.4.	Diplomatic, consular and related immunities	124
5.3.1.5.	Foreign State immunity	124
5.3.1.6.	Act of State	124
5.3.1.7.	Trade union immunity	125

5.3.1.8. Crown immunity	125
5.3.1.9. Honest comment	126
5.3.1.10. Illegality at common law	126
5.3.1.11. Statutory illegality defences	127
5.3.1.12. Defunct defences	128
5.3.2. Public Policy Defences That Arise After the Tort	130
5.3.2.1. Limitation bars	130
5.3.2.2. <i>Res judicata</i>	131
5.3.2.3. Abuse of process	131
5.3.2.4. Contract of settlement	132
5.3.2.5. Release	132
5.3.2.6. Offer to make amends	133
5.3.2.7. Prior criminal proceedings	133
5.3.2.8. Bankruptcy	133
5.3.2.9. Reportage	134
5.3.2.10. Death	134
5.4. Conclusion	135
6 Implications	136
6.1. Introduction	136
6.2. Unwanted Side-effects	136
6.3. Burden of Pleading	138
6.4. Burden of Proof	138
6.5. Permissible Vagueness	139
6.6. Defendant's Knowledge of the Material Facts	140
6.7. The Relevance of the Defendant's Motive	141
6.8. Benefiting from a Defence Enjoyed by a Confederate	143
6.9. Invocation by the Court	144
6.10. Bad Character Evidence	145
6.11. Non-implications	145
6.11.1. Liability for Resisting the Conduct of an Aggressor	146
6.11.2. Vicarious Liability	147
6.11.3 Costs	147
6.11.4. The tribunal	148
6.11.5. Defendant Causing the Conditions of his Own Defence	148
6.11.6. Context Sensitivity	149
6.12. Summary	150
7 Rival Taxonomies	151
7.1. Introduction	151
7.2. A Derived System of Classification	151

7.3.	General Defences and Special Defences	152
7.4.	Cane's System	153
7.5.	Wigmore's System	155
7.6.	The Division Adopted by the Restatement (Second) of Torts	157
7.7.	Defences That Apply Immediately and Delayed-onset Defences	158
7.8.	Dobbs's System	159
7.9.	Bipolar and Non-bipolar Defences	160
7.10.	Goldberg and Zipursky's System (I)	161
7.11.	Goldberg and Zipursky's System (II)	162
7.12.	Conclusion	163
8	Denials of Responsibility	164
8.1.	Introduction	164
8.2.	Unpacking the Concept of Basic Responsibility	165
8.3.	Should Insanity Be a Tort Defence?	166
8.3.1.	The Case Against Recognition	167
8.3.1.1.	The causation argument	167
8.3.1.2.	The fraud argument	169
8.3.1.3.	The imported difficulties argument	172
8.3.1.4.	The unsatisfactory evidence argument	174
8.3.1.5.	The deterrence argument	174
8.3.1.6.	The avoidance and deinstitutionalisation arguments	176
8.3.1.7.	The goal of tort law argument	179
8.3.1.8.	The self-support argument	180
8.3.1.9.	The distributive justice argument	180
8.3.1.10.	The justified expectations argument	181
8.3.1.11.	The price for membership of society argument	182
8.3.1.12.	The consistency argument	182
8.3.1.13.	The resistance and asset recovery argument	182
8.3.2.	The Case for Recognition	183
8.3.2.1.	The free will paradigm argument	183
8.3.2.2.	The sanction argument	184
8.3.3.	Conclusion	184
8.4.	Should Infancy Be a Tort Defence?	184
8.4.1.	The Case Against Recognition	184
8.4.2.	The Case for Recognition	185
8.4.3.	Conclusion	186
8.5.	Should Unfitness to Plead Be a Tort Defence?	186
8.5.1.	The Case Against Recognition	187
8.5.1.1.	The repugnant consequences argument	187
8.5.1.2.	The party autonomy argument	188

8.5.2. The Case for Recognition	188
8.5.2.1. The fairness argument	189
8.5.2.2. The decisional rectitude argument	189
8.5.3. Conclusion	190
8.6. Further Questions	190
8.6.1. Implications of a Defence being a Denial of Responsibility	190
8.6.2. The Priority Thesis	191
8.7. Conclusion	192
9 Future Directions	193
9.1. Statutes and Defences	193
9.1.1. Legislative Techniques	193
9.1.2. Reasons for the Focus of Legislatures on Defences	195
9.1.3. The Balkanisation of the Law of Defences	195
9.1.4. Challenges Created by the Statutorification of Defences	196
9.2. Reforming the Law of Tort Defences	198
9.2.1. Coherent Development	198
9.2.2. Certainty in Classification	199
9.2.3. Creation of Defences versus Abolition of Defences	200
9.3. Interactional Effects	201
9.3.1. Interactions Between the Elements of Torts and Defences	201
9.3.2. Interactions Between Defences	202
9.3.3. Interaction with the Apportionment Legislation	202
9.3.3.1. Oblique impact	202
9.3.3.2. Are complete defences anomalous?	204
9.3.4. Interaction with Criminal Law Defences	204
9.3.5. Interaction with the European Convention on Human Rights	206
9.3.5.1. Impact to date	207
9.3.5.2. Potential future impact	208
9.4. A Taxonomy of Defences to Civil Liability	211
<i>Index of Authors</i>	213
<i>Index</i>	215