

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	ix
William A Schabas	
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
1. Introduction	1
I The <i>Mens Rea</i> Enigma	1
II General Principles of Law	4
A The Determination of General Principles of Law	6
B The Process of Establishing a General Principle	8
C Abstracting a Legal Principle from National Laws	8
D Verifying whether the Principle is ‘Generally Recognised’	9
E Adapting the General Principle to the International Sphere	10
F The Role of General Principles	11
III The Study	12
2. From Vengeance to <i>Mens Rea</i> to <i>Mentes Reae</i>	13
I The Mental Requisites for Criminality in the Early Law	13
II The Babylonians – The Code of Hammurabi	15
III Ancient Hebrew Law	15
IV The Athenians – The Epic Period	16
V Plato and Aristotle	16
VI Roman Law – ‘The Twelve Tables’: Cicero	17
VII The Irish – St Patrick’s Time: Brehon Law	19
VIII Islamic Legal Tradition	22
IX Early Medieval Period	22
X Old Dutch Statutes	23
XI <i>Leges Henrici Primi</i>	23
XII Bracton	25
XIII <i>Dolus</i> and <i>Culpa</i>	26
XIV Early Critiques of <i>Mens Rea</i> in the Criminal Law of England	27
XV General Remarks	29
3. <i>Mens Rea</i> in the Common Law of England and Wales, Australia and Canada	31
I Introduction	31
A The Sources of Criminal Law: Common Law versus Statute	32
II <i>Mens Rea</i> Standards in Common Law Systems	33

Contents

III	Intention	33
	A <i>Smith</i> – The ‘Objective’ Test	35
	B <i>Hyam</i> –The ‘Probability’ Test	36
	C <i>Moloney</i> – The ‘Natural Consequence’ Test	37
	D <i>Hancock and Shankland</i> –The ‘High Probability’ Test	39
	E <i>Nedrick</i> –The ‘Virtual Certainty’ Test	40
	F <i>Woollin</i> –Adhering to the ‘Virtual Certainty’ Test	41
	G The Meaning of Intention in the Criminal Law of Ireland	43
	H The Meaning of Intention in the Criminal Law of Australia	45
	I The Meaning of Intention in the Criminal Law of Canada	46
	J A Schematic Review of the Meaning of Intention in Common Law Jurisdictions	49
IV	Recklessness	50
	A <i>Cunningham</i> – Subjective Recklessness	52
	B <i>Caldwell/Lawrence</i> – Objective Recklessness	54
	C <i>R v G and Another</i> –The Fall of Objective Recklessness	56
	D A Schematic Review of the Meaning of Recklessness in Common Law Jurisdictions	58
V	Knowledge or Awareness as to Circumstances	59
	A Does ‘Knowledge’ have a Precise Definition in the Criminal Law of England?	60
	B The Doctrine of ‘Wilful Blindness’ in England and Canada	61
	C A Schematic Review of the Meaning of Knowledge in Common Law Jurisdictions	63
VI	Further Principles of <i>Mens Rea</i>	64
	A Specific Intent versus Ulterior Intent	64
VII	Negligence	66
	A Are there Degrees of Negligence?	68
VIII	Accessory Liability	68
	A Parties to the Commission of Crimes – Principal and Accessory	68
	B Secondary Participation – Aiding, Abetting, Counselling or Procuring	70
	C Joint Enterprise	79
IX	Mistake as Denial of <i>Mens Rea</i>	91
X	Conclusion	94
4.	<i>Mens Rea</i> in the American Law Institute’s Model Penal Code	98
	I Introduction	98
	II Background of the Code	99
	III The Culpability Provisions of the Model Penal Code: In General	100
	IV Degrees of Culpability under the Model Penal Code	102
	A ‘Purposely’	104
	B ‘Knowingly’	107

Contents

C ‘Recklessly’	112
D Negligence	116
V The Model Penal Code Element Analysis	119
VI Mistake of Fact and Mistake of Law	120
A Ignorance or Mistake vis-à-vis Culpability Requirement	121
B Belief that One is Committing a Different Crime	122
C Belief in Legality of Conduct	123
VII <i>Mens Rea</i> of Accomplice Liability	124
A The Full <i>Mens Rea</i> Approach	125
B Liability for Crimes of Recklessness and Negligence	126
C Liability of the Accomplice vis-à-vis the Principal Perpetrator	127
VIII Conclusion and General Remarks	127
5. <i>Mens Rea</i> in German and French Criminal Law	130
I Introduction	130
II The German Three-stage Structure of Criminal Offences	131
A <i>Straftatbestand</i> – The Legal Elements of the Offence	131
B <i>Rechtswidrigkeit</i> – Unlawfulness, Wrongfulness or Illegality	131
C <i>Schuld</i> – Culpability/Guilt	132
III <i>Vorsatz</i> or <i>Dolus</i> in German Criminal Law	135
A <i>Absicht</i> or <i>Dolus Directus</i> of the First Degree	136
B <i>Dolus Directus</i> of the Second Degree or <i>Dolus Indirectus</i>	138
C <i>Bedingter Vorsatz</i> or <i>Dolus Eventualis</i>	139
IV <i>Fahrlässigkeit</i> or Negligence	145
V Grounds of Excluding <i>Vorsatz</i> or <i>Schuld</i>	146
A The Basic Distinction between Mistake of Fact and Mistake of Law	147
B <i>Tatbestandsirrtum</i> or Mistake of Fact	148
C Mistake of Law	151
VI <i>Täterschaft und Teilnahme</i> (Perpetration and Participation)	154
A <i>Täterschaft</i> (Perpetration)	154
B <i>Teilnahme</i> (Secondary Participation)	157
VII <i>Mens Rea</i> in French Criminal Law and other Romano Legal Systems	160
A Intention (<i>le dol</i>)	161
VIII Negligence (<i>la faute pénale</i>)	166
IX Complicity	167
A The Requirement of a Principle Offence	167
B The Act of Complicity (<i>l’élément matériel</i>)	168
C <i>Mens Rea</i> of Complicity (<i>l’élément moral</i>)	168
X Conclusion and General Observations	169
6. <i>Mens Rea</i> in Chinese and Russian Criminal Law	172
I Introduction	172
II Sources of Chinese Criminal Law	172

Contents

III	Crimes and Criminal Responsibility in Chinese Criminal Law	176
	A Categories of Crimes in Chinese Criminal Law	177
	B Criminal Responsibility	177
IV	Negligence	186
	A Negligence by being Over Confident	186
	B Careless and Inadvertent Negligence	187
V	Cognition Error	188
	A Mistake of Law	188
	B Mistake of Fact	190
	C Responsibility for a Crime Committed with Two Forms of Guilt in Russian Law	192
VI	<i>Mens Rea</i> of Joint Crimes under Chinese Criminal Law	193
	A The Intention of Enforcement	194
	B The Intention of Organisation	194
	C The Intention of Instigation	194
	D The Intention of Aiding a Crime	195
VII	Conclusion	196
7.	<i>Mens Rea</i> in Islamic Criminal Law	198
I	Introduction to Islamic Law (<i>Shari'ah</i>)	198
II	The Application of Islamic Law in Muslim States Today	199
III	Sources of Islamic Law – <i>Shari'ah</i> and <i>Fiqh</i>	200
	A Qurān	201
	B Sunnah	202
	C Consensus by Collective Reasoning (<i>Ijmā</i>)	203
	D Analogical Deduction by Individual Reasoning (<i>Qiyas</i>)	203
IV	Categories of Crimes in Islamic Criminal Law	204
V	The Leading Schools of Law (<i>Madhāhib</i>)	206
VI	Criminal Responsibility under Islamic Law	208
	A The Material Element	209
	B Motive	210
	C Intent	210
	D Different Degrees of Homicide and their Definition	211
	E Standards used for Determining Intention	215
	F Summary of Jurists' Opinions	216
VII	Mistake	220
	A Mistake of Fact	220
	B Mistake of Law	221
	C Cases Similar to Mistake	222
	D Negligence as an Element of Mistake	222
VIII	Participation in Crime	223
	A Direct Complicity	225
	B Complicity in Indirect Homicide	228
	C Causal Complicity	228

Contents

D	Ordering	229
IX	Conclusion	230
8.	<i>Mens Rea</i> in post-World War II Trials, the <i>Travaux Préparatoire</i> of the Genocide Convention and the Work of the International Law Commission	231
I	Introduction	231
II	<i>Mens Rea</i> – Guilty Knowledge	234
A	Evidence – Facts from which Tribunals Infer Knowledge	240
III	Common Plan or Conspiracy	241
IV	Membership of Criminal Organisations – Presumed Knowledge	246
V	Persons Concerned in the Killing	247
VI	Complicity	248
VII	Responsibility of Commanders	252
VIII	Mistake of Law and Mistake of Fact	255
IX	The <i>Mens Rea</i> of Genocide in the Drafting History of the 1948 Genocide Convention	258
A	The UN General Assembly and the ECOSOC Resolutions	258
B	The UN Secretary-General's Draft	259
C	The <i>Ad Hoc</i> Committee Draft	260
D	The General Assembly Sixth Committee	263
E	Analysis	267
X	The Developing Law of <i>Mens Rea</i> through the Work of the International Law Commission	268
A	Codification of the Nuremberg Principles by the International Law Commission	268
B	The 1954 Draft Code of Offences against the Peace and Security of Mankind	269
C	The 1991 Draft Code of Crimes against the Peace and Security of Mankind	270
D	The 1996 Draft Code of Crimes against the Peace and Security of Mankind	277
E	Analysis	284
XI	Conclusion and General Remarks	285
9.	<i>Mens Rea</i> of Crimes in the Jurisprudence of the the Former Yugoslavia and Rwanda	287
I	Introduction	287
II	Special Intent or Primary Purpose Crimes	289
A	The Crime of Terror against the Civilian Population	289
B	Torture	293
C	Persecution as a Crime against Humanity	295
D	Taking Civilians as Hostages	297
E	Genocide	299

Contents

III	Direct Intent Crimes, <i>Dolus Eventualis</i> and Gross Negligence Crimes	302
A	Rape – Direct Intent Crime (Intent and Knowledge)	302
B	Outrages upon Personal Dignity – Direct Intent Crime	304
C	Extermination – Direct Intent, <i>Dolus Eventualis</i> or Negligent Crime?	306
IV	Wilful Crimes	311
A	Wilful Killing	311
B	Wilfully Causing Great Suffering or Serious Injury to Body or Health	316
C	Destruction or Wilful Damage to Institutions Dedicated to Religion or Education	317
V	Wanton Crimes	319
A	Unlawful and Wanton Extensive Destruction and Appropriation of Property	320
B	Wanton Destruction of Cities, Towns or Villages, or Devastation not Justified by Military Necessity	321
VI	Premeditated Crimes – Murder under Article 3(a) of the ICTR Statute	322
VII	General Remarks and Conclusion	324
10.	The <i>Mens Rea</i> of Perpetration and Participation in the Jurisprudence of the ICTY and ICTR	326
I	Introduction	326
II	Responsibility under Articles 7(1) and 6(1) of the ICTY and ICTR Statutes	328
A	Planning	328
B	Instigating	330
C	Ordering	333
D	Aiding and Abetting	336
E	Committing	344
III	Responsibility under Articles 7(3) and 6(3) of the ICTY and ICTR Statutes	366
A	General Requirements under Articles 7(3) and 6(3) of the ICTY and ICTR Statutes	366
IV	General Remarks and Observations	379
11.	<i>Mens Rea</i> in the Jurisprudence of the International Criminal Court	382
I	Introduction	382
II	Anatomy of Article 30 of the ICC Statute	384
A	Elements Analysis – Mental Elements and their Objects	384
B	Different Culpability Terms Defined in relation to each Objective Element	385
III	Different Degrees of Mental Elements under Article 30	387
A	The Meaning of Intent	387

Contents

B	Intent in relation to Conduct	388
C	Intent in relation to Consequence – The First Alternative of Intent	390
D	Intent in relation to Consequence – The Second Alternative of Intent	391
E	The Meaning of Knowledge	398
IV	The Relationship between Article 30 and other Provisions of the ICC Statute	401
A	Article 30 vis-à-vis the Culpability Requirements stated in an Offence Definition	401
B	Article 30 vis-à-vis the Elements of Crimes	403
C	Article 30 vis-à-vis Individual Criminal Responsibility – Article 25	405
D	Article 30 vis-à-vis Superior Responsibility – Article 28	412
E	Article 30 vis-à-vis Mistake of Law and Mistake of Fact	414
V	Conclusion	416
12.	General Conclusions and Recommendations	418
I	A subjective test should be followed in ascertaining the guilt of the accused	421
II	Culpability terms should be confined to three culpable mental states	423
III	‘Special intent’, ‘ <i>dolus specialis</i> ’, ‘ulterior intent’ or ‘primary purpose’ crimes require proof of <i>dolus directus</i> of the first degree on the part of the accused	426
IV	Negligence or gross negligence does not satisfy the <i>mens rea</i> requirement for international crimes	427
V	Actual knowledge and wilful blindness are blameworthy – constructive knowledge has no place in criminal law and should be abandoned	427
VI	Element analysis versus offence analysis	428
VII	The <i>mens rea</i> of accomplice liability requires proof of cognitive and volitional components	429
VIII	The ‘reasonably foreseeable’ test of the extended form of joint criminal enterprise is fundamentally unjust	431
	<i>Epilogue</i>	433
	Roger Clark	
	<i>Bibliography</i>	436
	<i>Index</i>	471