# Valuation Case Study Exercises 

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this chapter is to highlight and discuss important concepts in valuation through a series of exercises. These exercises have been intermittently placed in excerpts of a valuation report. You should attempt to complete hese exercises as you read the report with reasoning and emphasis on an explanation of your conclusion. The authors' solutions to these exercises can be found ir, Chapter 2.

The following case presents selected excerpts from a business valuation report that, in its entirety, was in full compliance with the A'CPA's Statements on Standards for Valuation Services VS Section 100 and the Iniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. For more information on eports and standards compliance, see Chapters 11 and 12 of Financial Valuation Applications and Models, 4th edition. This report format is one of many that analysts can use in presenting business valuations. The schedules have been included and are referenced throughout. Some of the terms, numbers, sources, and other data have been changed for ease of presentation.

### 1.2 THE VALUATION R[PBA:

January 2, 20X6

Sherman E. Miller, Esq.

Miller \& Hanson
4747 Washington Street, Suite 1740
St. Louis, Missouri 12345
Re: Fair Market Value of a 100\% Equity Interest in National Fastener \& Machine Co. as of September 1, 20X5

Dear Mr. Miller:
At your request XYZ Appraisal Associates LLC (XYZ) was retained to prepare a valuation analysis and appraisal (valuation engagement and conclusion of value) and detailed/comprehensive appraisal report (the report) to assist you and your client, Ms. Louise Atkins, in the determination of the fair market value of a 100 percent
equity interest in National Fastener \& Machine Co. (National Fastener or the Company). This interest is a controlling interest and is therefore marketable. The value conclusion is considered as a cash or cash-equivalent value. The valuation date is September 1, 20X5 (the Valuation Date). This valuation and report are to be used only as of this date and are not valid as of any other date.

EXERCISE 1 Which of the following is the as of date for valuation?
a. Any time within one year
b. As of a single point in time
c. As of a single point in time or six months later
d. Date that the report is signed

We have performed a valuation engagement and present our detailed report in conformity with the Statements on Standards for Vaituation Services VS Section 100 (SSVS) of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). SSVS defines a valuation engagement as "an engagement to estimate value in which a valuation analyst determines an estimate of the valut of a subject interest by performing appropriate procedures, as outlined in the AITDA Statements on Standards for Valuation Services, and is free to apply the valaction approaches and methods he or she deems appropriate in the circumstances The valuation analyst expresses the results of the valuation engagement as a conclusion of value, which may be either a single amount or a range." ${ }^{1}$

SSVS addresses a detailed report as follows: "The detailed report is structured to provide sufficient information to permit intended users to understand the data, reasoning, and analyses rinderlying the valuation analyst's conclusion of value."

EXERCISE 2 This is a detailed report per SSVS. What other types of reports are allowed under SSVS?
${ }^{1}$ Statements on Standards for Valuation Services VS Section 100, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Appendix C, Glossary of Additional Terms, Section .82, p. 40.
Note: The American Society of Appraisers uses the term estimate as part of a limited appraisal. The AICPA usage of the term is equivalent to the result of the highest scope of work specified by the ASA, which is for an Appraisal.

This valuation was performed to assist in the determination of the value solely for purposes of internal operational and tax planning, and the resulting estimate of value should not be used for any other purpose, or by any other party for any purpose, without our express written consent.

EXERCISE 3 The purpose of the valuation of National Fastener is to assist management in internal operational and tax planning. What other purposes are there?

Our analysis and report are in conformance with the 20X6-20X7 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation, ${ }^{2}$ and the ethics and standards of the American Society of Appraisers (ASA) and IRS business valuation development and reporting guidelines, the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA), and the Institute of Business Appralsers (IBA). ${ }^{3}$

EXERCISE 4 If the analyst belongs to more than one valuation organization with standards, that analyst must comply with the standards of each organization he or she belongs to.
a. True
b. False

[^0]Our analysis is also in conformance with Revenue Ruling 59-60, which outlines the approaches, methods, and factors to be considered in valuing shares of capital stock in closely held corporations for federal tax purposes. Revenue Ruling 59-60 is often also considered as useful guidance in valuations performed for nontax purposes.

The standard of value is fair market value defined in Revenue Ruling 59-60 as "the price at which the property would change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller when the former is not under any compulsion to buy and the latter is not under any compulsion to sell, both parties having reasonable knowledge of relevant facts." Revenue Ruling 59-60 also defines the willing buyer and seller as hypothetical as follows: "Court decisions frequently state in addition that the hypothetical buyer and seller are assumed to be able, as well as willing, to trade and to be well informed about the property and concerning the market for such property." Furthermore, fair market value assumes that the price is transacted in cash or cash equivalents. Revenue Ruling 59-60, while used in tax valuations, is also used in many nontax valuations.

Fair market value is also defined in a similar way in the $\mathrm{SSVS}^{4}$ as "the price, expressed in terms of cash equivalents, at which property would change hands between a hypothetical willing and able buyer and a hypothetical willing and able seller, acting at arm's length in an open and unrestricied market, when neither is under compulsion to buy or sell and when both have reasonable knowledge of the relevant facts."

-     - 

EXERCISE 5 Which of these are stardards of value?
a. Fair market value, fair value financial reporting, investment value
b. Fair value investment reporting, fair value state actions, intrinsic value
c. Investment value, intrmsic value, equal value
d. Fair market value equal value, investment value

The premise of value is going concern. ${ }^{5}$ The liquidation premise of value was considered and rejected as not applicable, as the going concern value results in a higher value for the interest than the liquidation value, whether orderly or forced.

[^1]In our conclusion of value, we considered the following relevant factors, which are specified in Revenue Ruling 59-60:

- The history and nature of the business
- The economic outlook of the United States and that of the specific industry in particular
- The book value of the subject company's stock and the financial condition of the business
- The earning capacity of the company
- The dividend-paying capacity of the company
- Whether or not the firm has goodwill or other intangible value
- Sales of the stock and size of the block of stock to be valued
- The market price of publicly traded stocks or corporations engaged in similar industries or lines of business

Our analysis included, but was not limited to, the above-mentioned factors.

### 1.2.1 Understanding with the Client and Scope of Work

Per SSVS, the valuation analyst should establish an maerstanding with the client. "The understanding with the client reduces the passibility that either the valuation analyst or the client may misinterpret the needs or expectations of the other party. The understanding should include, at aninimum, the nature, purpose, and objective of the valuation engagement, theclient's responsibilities, the valuation analyst's responsibilities, the applicable assemptions and limiting conditions, the type of report to be issued, and the standard of value to be used. ${ }^{" 6}$

Furthermore, "A restriction or limitation on the scope of the valuation analyst's work, or the data available for analysis, may be present and known to the valuation analyst at the outset of the valuation engagement or may arise during the course of a valuation engagement. Such a restriction or limitation should be disclosed in the valuation report (see veragraphs $.52 m, .68 e$, and $.71 n$ )." ${ }^{7}$

Our appraisal is in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) promulgated by the American Society of Appraisers and the Appraisal Foundation. "The objective of an appraisal is to express an unambiguous opinion as to the value of a business, business ownership interest, or security, which opinion is supported by all procedures that the appraiser deems to be relevant to the valuation." ${ }^{8}$ It is based on all relevant information available to the appraiser as of the valuation date; the appraiser conducts appropriate procedures to collect and analyze all information expected to be relevant to the valuation, and the appraiser "considers all conceptual approaches deemed to be relevant." ${ }^{9}$

[^2]In accordance with the Scope of Work Rule in USPAP, we must:

1. Identify the problem to be solved;
2. Determine and perform the scope of work necessary to develop credible assignment results; and
3. Disclose the scope of work in the report. ${ }^{10}$

To gain an understanding of the operations of National Fastener, we reviewed Company financial information as provided by management and interviewed Company management. To understand the environment in which National Fastener operates, we researched the status of and trends in the various industries that have an impact on it. We also studied economic conditions as of the valuation date and their impact on National Fastener and the industry. To understand the Company's financial condition, we analyzed its financial statements as available.

We considered all valuation approaches and methods and applied the most appropriate methods from the income, asset, and market approaches to value to derive an opinion of value of the subject equity interest ( 100 percent controlling, marketable interest). Our conclusion of value reflects these findings, our judgment and knowledge of the marketplace, and our expertise in valuation.

Our valuation is set out in the report, which contain the following sections:

- History and Nature of the Business
- General Economic and Industry Outlook
- Book Value and Financial Position
- Approaches to Value
- Income Approach
- Market Approach
- Reconciliation of Valuation 1 1 ethods
- Conclusion of Value
- Appendixes
- Appendix A-Assunptions and Limiting Conditions
- Appendix B-Vatuation Representation/Certification
- Appendix C-Professional Qualifications of the Appraiser
- Appendix D-Other Sources Consulted
- Appendix E-Exhibits

In performing our work, we were provided with and/or relied upon various sources of information, including (but not limited to):

- Audited financial statements for National Fastener for the fiscal years ended December 31, 20X0, through December 31, 20X4
- Internal interim financial statements for National Fastener for the eight months ending August 31, 20X5, and August 31, 20X4

[^3]- Tax returns for the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 20X0, through 20X4
- Information regarding the management and shareholders of National Fastener
- Information regarding the Company's history and current operations
- National Fastener's Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws
- Data from Duff \& Phelps LLC, 20X5 Valuation Handbook—Guide to Cost of Capital
- Federal Reserve statistical releases
- Current and future economic conditions as forecast by various sources, listed in the Appendix
- Miscellaneous other information

The procedures employed in valuing the subject interest in National Fastener included such steps as we considered necessary, including (but not limited to):

- An analysis of National Fastener's financial statements
- An analysis of National Fastener management's 20X5 expectations and other information supplied by management
- Discussions with management
- An analysis of the fastener industry, as well as the domestic automotive industry
- An analysis of the general economic enviroment as of the valuation date, including investors' equity and debt-return expectations
- An analysis of other pertinent facts and data resulting in our conclusion of value

There were no restrictions or limitatiens in the scope of our work or data available for analysis.

Based on our analysis as described in this valuation report, and the facts and circumstances as of the valuation aate, the estimate of value as of September 1, 20X5, of a 100 percent equity interest in National Fastener \& Machine Co., on a control, marketable basis is $\$ 30,100,000$.

This conclusien is subject to the Statement of Assumptions and Limiting Conditions and to the Valuation Analyst's Representation/Certification found in Appendixes A and B of this report. We have no obligation to update this report or our conclusion of value for information that comes to our attention after the date of this report.

EXERCISE 6 Valuation conclusions can be presented as:
a. A range of values
b. A single value
c. An estimate of value
d. All of the above

EXERCISE 7 This valuation is being done on a marketable, control interest basis. It is also on a control standalone basis. Name six levels of value that are considered in a valuation.
1.
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4.
5. $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$

Distribution of this letter and report and associated results, which are to be distributed only in their entirety, is intended and restricted to you ara your client, solely to assist you and your client in the determination of the fail market value of the subject interest for internal operational and tax planning purposes and is valid only as of September 1, 20X5. This letter and accompanying report are not to be used with, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to in whoie or in part for any other purpose, or to any other party for any purpose, without our express written consent.

As is usual in appraisal practice, the appreaches and methodologies used in our work did not comprise an examination $\mathrm{O}_{i}$ any attest service in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles the objective of which is an expression of an opinion regarding the fair presentatio it of financial statements or other financial information, whether historical or prospective, presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or auditing standards. We express no opinion and accept no responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the financial information (audited, reviewed, cempiled, internal, prospective, or tax returns), or other data provided to us by ofhers, and we have not verified such information unless specifically stated in this report. We assume that the financial and other information provided to us is accurate and complete, and we have relied upon this information in performing our valuation.

If you have any questions concerning this valuation, please contact Ms. Margaret E. Smith, CPA/ABV, ASA, CVA, CBA, at (800) 000-1234.

Very truly yours,
XYZ Appraisal Associates LLC

### 1.3 INTRODUCTION

### 1.3.1 Description of the Assignment

XYZ Appraisal was retained by Mr. Sherman Miller to determine the fair market value of a 100 percent equity interest in National Fastener \& Machine Co. (National Fastener or the Company) on a marketable, control basis, as of September 1, 20X5, for internal operational and tax planning purposes.

### 1.3.2 Summary Description and Brief History of the Company

The Company was incorporated in 1927 in the State of Missouri. National Fastener operates in two segments: fasteners and assembly equipment. The Company's products are sold to the North American automotive industry by employees of the Company and by independent sales representatives. Revenues are primarily derived from sales to customers involved directly or indirectly in the manufacture of automobiles and automotive components. The Company is legally structured as a C corporation.

EXERCISE 8 The subject of this exercise is a C corporation, but analysts will frequently be required to value noncontrolling interests in S corporations. Valuation of $S$ corporations is one of the most controversial issues in business valuations today. The main issue is how to tax-affect $S$ corporation income and, if appropriate, compute an $S$ corporation adjustment. What five models are often considered or used in valuing $S$ corporations?


National Fastener serves a variety of customers; however, sales to two major companies accounted for approximately 33 percent of revenues during 20X4. Sales to BI Automotive Systems̊, LLC, accounted for approximately 20 percent and 18 percent of the Compary' consolidated revenues in 20X4 and 20X3, respectively. Sales to Hunter \& Company accounted for approximately 13 percent and 14 percent of the Company's consolidated revenues in 20X4 and 20X3, respectively. Recently, the Company executed a manufacturing contract with a new customer, which is expected to generate significant revenue growth in the near future.

The Company maintains alternative sources for raw materials. The market is served by multiple suppliers, so prices for raw materials are generally competitive. The Company is not under any long-term contracts for raw materials. Orders are made through purchase orders based on pricing sheets negotiated biannually.

As of December 20X4, the Company had 236 full- and part-time employees. The employees are party to a collective bargaining agreement. The Company is on good terms with the union representing its employees and has recently renegotiated the union contract that now has an X-year term. There are no employment or noncompete agreements.

As of the valuation date, management and key personnel of National Fastener include the following individuals, with their titles shown in Exhibit 1.1.

The fastener and assembly equipment markets are characterized by active and significant competition. No single company in particular dominates the industry. The

EXHIBIT 1.1 Executive Management

| Name | Title | Years with Company |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Tony Atkins | Chief Executive Officer | 34 |
| Michael Johanson | Chief Operating Officer | 16 |
| Kimberly A. Kirhofer | Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer | 13 |

Company's competitors include both larger and smaller manufacturers, and segments or divisions of large, diversified companies with substantial financial resources. The primary competitive factors for the Company's products in the market are price, quality, and service. Based on discussions with management, National Fastener's primary competitors include The Eastern Co., Twin Disc, Inc., Midwest Fasteners Corp., National Fastening Systems, and Haven Fastener, Inc.

The competitive environment has changed considerably in recent years as the Company's customers have experienced intense international competition and pressure to reduce costs. As a result, these customers have expandec their sourcing of components beyond domestic boundaries. National Fasterio's competition now includes suppliers in other parts of the world that enjoy economic advantages, such as lower labor costs, lower health care costs, and fewer regulatory burdens.

### 1.3.3 Ownership and Capital Structure of the Compaii.

The Company is legally structured as a C cosporation. National Fastener is a privately held company owned by the same itmily that founded the company many years ago. The Company has a single lass of common stock with 10,000 shares issued and outstanding. Exhibit 1.2 presents the ownership of the shares of the Company as of the valuation date:

EXHIBIT 1.2 Orriership

| Stockholder | Number of Shares | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tony A+kino | 5,500 | 55.00\% |
| Louise Atkins | 1,500 | 15.00\% |
| Veronica Atkins | 1,500 | 15.00\% |
| Anthony Atkins | 1,500 | 15.00\% |
|  |  | $\underline{\underline{100.00 \%}}$ |

EXERCISE 9 We are valuing a 100 percent control interest in National Fastener. The percentage of ownership of individual shareholders is not an issue here. However, assume we are valuing the 55 percent interest of Tony Atkins as opposed to the 100 percent in National Fastener. The value of a 55 percent interest in National Fastener would be calculated as 55 percent of the 100 percent control value in National Fastener.
a. True
b. False

### 1.3.4 Standard of Value

The standard of value used in this report is fair market value. Fair market value is defined as:

The price, expressed in terms of cash equivalents, at which property would change hands between a hypothetical willing and able buyer and a hypothetical willing and able seller, acting at arm's length in an open and unrestricted market, when neither is under compulsion to buy nor sell and when both have reasonable knowledge of the relevant facts. ${ }^{11}$

Among other factors, this valuation report considers elements of appraisal listed in the Internal Revenue Service's Revenue Ruling 59-60, which "outline[s] and review $[s]$ in general the approach, methods, and factors to be considered in valuing shares of the capital stock of closely held corporations." ${ }^{12}$ Specifically, Revenue Ruling 59-60 states that the following factors should be carefully considered in a valuation of closely held stock:

EXERCISE 10 Revenue Ruling 59-60 is only arolicable to estate, gift, and income tax valuations.
a. True
b. False

1. The nature of the business and history of the enterprise from its inception. National Fastener \& Mactine Co. began its history as a manufacturer of brake linings and harness rivets in 1920, under the name National Fastener \& Specialty Co. In 1927, he Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Missouri and changed its name to its current form. The Company has grown since its inception, and its customers have remained loyal.
2. The economic outlook in general and condition and outlook of the specific industry in particular. The consideration of the economic outlook on a national level, as well as on a regional and local level, is important in performing a valuation. How the economy is performing has a bearing in part on how the Company performs. Overall, the Company outlook is stable.
3. The book value of the stock and the financial condition of the business. The Company has a relatively strong balance sheet with a majority of its assets in these categories: cash, certificates of deposit, accounts receivables, inventory, and fixed assets. The fixed assets consist primarily of production equipment with some land and buildings.

[^4]4. The earning capacity of the Company. Revenue increased at a CAGR of 4.2 percent from fiscal 20 X 2 to 20 X 4 and 6.8 percent from 20X0 to 20X4. Growth during these periods reflects recovery of domestic auto production. Adjusted income before taxes for the year ended December 31, 20X4, was $\$ 2.9$ million or 7.8 percent of revenue, down by approximately 18 percent from the income before tax of $\$ 3.5$ million ( 9.5 percent of revenue) in the prior fiscal year. With the recovery of the automotive industry, the Company has demonstrated a good ability to generate profits.
5. The dividend-paying capacity of the Company. The Company has strong dividend-paying capacity. However, the Company has generally retained earnings to support capital investment requirements and internal growth.
6. Whether the enterprise has goodwill or other intangible value. It is generally acknowledged that goodwill is often measured by the earnings ability of an enterprise being valued. Goodwill can be broadly defined as characteristics that induce customers to continue to do business with the Company and to attract new customers. The Company has intangible assets such as long-term relationships with customers, its proprietary trademark, and assenbled workforce.
7. Sales of the stock and size of the block to be valued. There have been no sales of stock of the Company that would provide an indication of value during the period being analyzed.
8. The market prices of stock of corporations engaged in the same or a similar line of business having their stocks actively traded in a free and open market, either on an exchange or over the counter. The narket approach was considered in this valuation. A search for guideline companies that are similar in nature and size to the Company was performet.

EXERCISE 11 These are the only eight tenets of value in Revenue Ruling 59-60 that need to be considered.
a. True
b. False

### 1.4 NATIONAL ECONOMIC OUTLOOK ${ }^{13}$

The financial success of investments in National Fastener as of the valuation date is dependent upon conditions within the economy and financial/capital markets. A prospective investor tempers the use of historical financial statistics on the basis of anticipated general economic conditions. An analysis of these factors as of the valuation date is therefore incorporated into this valuation analysis. Certain items in the following discussion have been extracted from the cited sources and/or substantially

[^5]paraphrased based upon them. In conjunction with the preparation of our opinion of fair market value, we have reviewed and analyzed the economic conditions as of September 1, 20X5, the date of valuation. This report includes summary discussions and analysis of the national economy for the second quarter of 20X5.

### 1.4.1 General Economic Overview

According to advance estimates released by the Department of Commerce's Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), Real Gross Domestic Product (GDP), the output of goods and services produced by labor and property located in the United States, increased at an annualized rate of 2.3 percent during the second quarter of 20X5. GDP performance during the second quarter of 20X5 was slightly lower than economists' expectations of 2.6 percent and follows increases of 2.1 percent and 0.6 percent in the fourth quarter of 20X4 and the first quarter of 20X5, respectively. GDP growth was driven largely by consumer spending, which increased 2.9 percent in the second quarter of 20 X 5 , relative to increases of 4.3 percent and 1.8 percent in the fourth quarter of 20X4 and the first quarter of 20X5, resperively. Durable goods growth increased 7.3 percent, following an increase of 6.1 percent in the fourth quarter of 20X4 and an increase of 2.0 percent in the firsi quarter of 20X5. A survey of economists conducted by The Wall Street Journal relects a consensus GDP forecast of 3.1 percent GDP in the third quarter of 20X5.

The Conference Board (TCB) reported that the Leading Economic Index (LEI), the government's primary forecasting gauge, increased 0.6 percent in June 20X5 to 123.6, after increases of 0.6 percent and 0.5 percent in April and May, respectively. Traditionally, the index is thought to gauge economic activity six to nine months in advance. Multiple consecutive moves in the same direction are said to be indicative of the general direction of the economy. The LEI increased or remained level in each of the past 18 months. Beginning in January 20X5, the base year of the index was changed from 2004 to 2010 .

Conference Board economists view the LEI's recent movements as indicative of continuing economic growth in the second half of 20X5. According to TCB economist Ataman Ozyildirim, "The upward trend in the US LEI seems to be gaining more momentum with another large increase in June pointing to continued strength in the economic outlooks for the remainder of the year." He added, "Housing permits and the interest rate spread drove the latest gain in the LEI, while labor market indicators such as average workweek and initial claims remained unchanged."

Six of the LEI's 10 leading economic indicators rose during June 20X5. The positive contributors to the LEI (largest to smallest) included the interest rate spread, building permits, average consumer expectations for business conditions, the Leading Credit Index (inverted), manufacturers' new orders for nondefense capital goods excluding aircraft, and the ISM new orders index. Stock prices declined, and average weekly manufacturing hours, average weekly initial claims for unemployment insurance (inverted), and manufacturers' new orders for consumer goods and materials were unchanged. The rolling six-month percentage change in LEI increased in June 20X5. In June, the Coincident Economic Index increased 0.2 percent and the Lagging Economic Index increased 0.7 percent.

### 1.4.2 Consumer Spending and Inflation

According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), the Consumer Price Index (CPI) increased 0.3 percent in June 20X5 (on a seasonally adjusted basis), following increases of 0.1 percent and 0.4 percent in April and May, respectively. Over the previous 12 months, the CPI increased 0.1 percent and Core CPI increased 1.8 percent, on an unadjusted basis.

The Producer Price Index (PPI), which is generally recognized as predictive of near-term consumer inflation, increased 0.4 percent in June 20X5 (PPI for final demand, seasonally adjusted), after a decline of 0.4 percent in April and an increase of 0.5 percent in May.

### 1.4.3 The Financial Markets

Due to the Greek financial crisis spurring a sell-off at the end of the quarter, the Dow Jones, the S\&P, and the NASDAQ experienced losses during June 20X5. The Dow Jones and the S\&P also posted losses for the second quarter of 20X5, while the NASDAQ posted its 10th consecutive quarterly gain. Driven by signs of an improving economy and the anticipation of the Federal Reserve increasing rates, most U.S. Treasury yields rose during the second quarter of 20X5

### 1.4.4 Interest Rates

The yield on 10-year Treasury securities ore a historic low in 20X1 before falling even further in 20X2. Although 10-yea yields recovered somewhat in 20X3, yields declined consistently throughout $2 \Omega X 4$. During the second quarter of 20 X 5 , all yields for terms greater than one year increased.

EXERCISE 12 W/hat types of industries would most likely be affected by anticipated changes in interest rates?

### 1.4.5 Unemployment

According to the Labor Department's Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), the unemployment rate was 5.3 percent in June 20X5, down slightly from 5.4 percent and 5.5 percent in April and May, respectively. While the June unemployment rate is lower than rates observed over the past several years, the labor force participation rate is also lower at 62.6 percent (relative to mid- to high-60s prior to the recession). As job availability increases, the labor force could increase due to individuals reentering
the workforce, which could lead to periodic increases in the unemployment rate in the foreseeable future. Economists surveyed by the Wall Street Journal anticipate an unemployment rate of 5.1 percent by year-end 20 X 5 and a further decline to 4.9 percent by June 20X6.

### 1.4.6 Summary and Outlook

Although the aptly named Great Recession reached its official end in mid-2009, economic growth continues but remains slow in some sectors. Although the housing market has strengthened, growth in the market remains modest. The unemployment rate reached pre-recession levels in December 20X4, but labor force participation remains low. Economic growth is expected to remain positive, though political uncertainty, rising interest rates, and continuing low labor force participation rates are causes for concern. GDP growth expectations from private economists surveyed by The Wall Street Journal are on the order of 3.1 percent for the third quarter of 20X5 and 3.0 percent for the fourth quarter of 20X5. Although the Federal Reserve ended its asset purchases, a significant tightening of monetary Policy (via an increase in the target federal funds rate) is unlikely in the short run but increasingly likely in the coming quarters and will likely coincide with inflation tabilization. According to the Livingston Survey published on June 10, 2015 the ong-term (10-year) forecast for the CPI Inflation Rate was a mean and median of 2.20 percent in a range from 1.60 percent to 2.80 percent. ${ }^{14}$ The Livingston survey long-term (10-year) forecast for real GDP was a median 2.50 percent ani mean 2.42 percent in a range from 1.80 percent to 3.10 percent.

EXERCISE 13 What two economic indicators are probably the most important in valuation?
a. Unemployment levels and gross domestic product (GDP)
b. Dow Jones Incustrial Average and Producer Price Index
c. GDP and inflation
d. Inflation and unemployment levels

### 1.4.7 National Economic Impact on Valuation

Analyzing the national economy is an important step in performing a valuation because it helps to identify any risk that the economy may have in relation to the Company. In this case, the economy appears to be in recovery with expected slow growth.

[^6]EXERCISE 14 In valuing a small geographically concentrated business, which of these types of economic data should be considered?
a. International, national, regional, local
b. National, regional, local
c. Regional, local
d. Local only

### 1.5 REGIONAL ECONOMIC DATA (AS OF SEPTEMBER 1, 20X5) ${ }^{15}$

The economy remained strong in July and August, but was expanding more slowly than earlier in the year. Reports on consumer spending were mixed.

Construction activity generally was strong, despite softening on the residential side. Overall manufacturing output remained strong, but condiaions were varied across industry segments. The labor markets remained much tighter than the rest of the nation, and seasonal demand put additional strain on sone sectors of the market.

### 1.5.1 Consumer Spending

Reports on consumer spending activity were mixed. Sales of appliances, electronics, and lawn and garden goods continued to be strong. Retailers reported that inventories for most goods were in line with their planned levels. Auto dealers reported heavier floor traffic and increases in light vehicle sales. One large auto group noted that service activity was also up and that used car prices strengthened.

### 1.5.2 Manufacturing

The manufacturing sector generally remained strong, although activity varied by industry segment. According to most automakers, orders for light vehicles remained strong nationwide. Inventories were generally in good shape, although they were reportedly lean for select models. Despite these conditions, the pricing environment remained soft, with an increase in incentive spending noted by some analysts. Producers of agricultural and heavy construction equipment reported further softening in output in recent weeks, and most planned to reduce inventories further next year, although not as aggressively as this year. Reports expected domestic demand would be relatively soft in the coming year, while foreign demand was expected to pick up.

### 1.5.3 Banking and Finance

Lending activity continued to be mixed. Business lending remained robust, and most bankers suggested that growth was steady. A few reports indicated that overall asset quality on commercial loans might have deteriorated slightly, since intense competition for customers led some lenders to relax standards slightly. Some bankers

[^7]appeared to be less optimistic about the near-term commercial lending outlook than they had been in recent months. Household loan demand softened further, according to most lenders, as new mortgage and refinancing activity continued to slow. Reports noted that asset quality on consumer loans improved as existing bank and store credit-card balances were paid down, delinquencies slowed, and personal bankruptcies decreased. A report from one large money center bank attributed this improvement to a lagged effect from strong refinancing activity earlier in the year, and as a result, did not expect the improvement to endure. None of the bankers contacted noted any unusual borrowing by businesses that would indicate an inventory buildup, nor was there any noticeable increase in the demand for cash by consumers.

### 1.5.4 Labor Markets

Labor markets remained very tight. Demand for workers in most sectors remained strong. Temporary help firms in some metro areas reported increasing demand for manufacturing workers, while there were a few reports of slackening demand for financial service professionals, partly as a result of slowing mertgage applications. On balance, reports suggested that overall wage pressures hacinot intensified further in recent weeks. Staffing services reports indicated that wages were increasing fastest in the administrative/clerical occupations while a sion down in wage growth was noted for information technology professionals. Reports from a large trucking firm noted the continued shortage of drivers was esperially serious during high seasonal demand for transporting goods. Most reports continued to argue that worker shortages were hampering the economic expansion.

### 1.5.5 Regional Economic Impact on Val!uation

The regional economy should also be analyzed in performing a valuation to help to determine specific risks associated with the particular region in which the Company operates. In this instance the regional economy is performing very well in many areas.

### 1.6 LOCAL ECONOMY

Anycity, Anystate was founded in 1810. It has an estimated population of 2,800,000 citizens. The economy is made up primarily of trade, services, and manufacturing. Anycity has the 12 th-strongest economy in the nation, according to a 20 X 5 economic analysis. The analysis studied factors such as employment, per capita personal income and construction, and retail employment.

According to a 20X5 study, Anycity, Anystate was one of the top 10 metropoli$\tan$ areas in the nation as a hot spot for starting and growing young companies. The survey measured the number of significant start-up firms created during the last 10 years and the number of 10 -year-old firms that grew substantially during the past four years. Also, in April 20X5, a national magazine named Anycity one of the top 10 "most improved cities" for business in the United States. Anystate was ranked seventh based on cost of living, educational opportunities, quality of life, and business issues. Construction activity also remained good.

The local economy is another important aspect to consider when performing a business valuation. The local economy represents the immediate environment in which the Company operates. The economy of Anycity, Anystate appears to be doing very well. Thus, in our opinion, there is little risk associated with the local economy that will affect the Company.

### 1.7 INDUSTRY OUTLOOK: FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS AND TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT

We employed Porter's ${ }^{16}$ model of analysis to examine more closely the fastener industry defined by SIC 34, Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and SIC 37, Transportation Equipment, specifically focusing on subcategory SIC 3714, Motor Vehicle Parts and Accessories.

### 1.7.1 Fabricated Metal Products Industry

"The fastener industry is remarkably decentralized, with hundreds of small shops producing the majority of fasteners." ${ }^{17}$ Over the years, U.S.companies in this industry have experienced significant competition from overseas manufacturers. Manufacturers serving the automotive sector have benefited trom improvement in automotive sales, but some analysts are concerned with hov long the growth will continue.

### 1.7.2 Transportation Equipment and Auto Ph.ts Industry

"The automotive industry is the largest manufacturing sector in the United States." ${ }^{18}$ From 20X0 to 20X5 (five-year period), the automotive parts industry almost doubled. ${ }^{19}$ Original equipment production represents the majority of total automotive parts production, with the remainder being aftermarket equipment.

Demand for auto parts is directly related to automotive sales and production. Since the 2008 recession, production and sales of autos have improved dramatically in North America. in liay 20X5, the annualized selling rate of light-vehicle sales was 17.8 million units, which was the highest rate since 10 years prior, July 20X5. ${ }^{20}$ Sales are expected to continue to grow as the economy improves with a positive outlook for the next few years. "The average vehicle age (which is over 11 years), the number of vehicles in operation, and miles driven should also drive demand for both replacement parts and new vehicles." ${ }^{21}$

[^8]Global competition in this industry is intense, but U.S. exports are restricted in many countries by governmental regulation and tariffs. Auto manufacturers generally prefer to source parts from manufacturers located in close proximity to their production locations in order to reduce inventory through just-in-time delivery of parts. Tier 1 suppliers include large global manufacturing companies, but Tier 2 suppliers tend to be small to medium-size enterprises without export business. These businesses may see increasing price competition from foreign companies. "There are more and more parts suppliers entering the market offering lower price points, quality products, and/or advanced technologies. In addition, some of these suppliers receive or have received subsidies provided by their local governments. U.S. manufacturers with aftermarket products that are easy to produce and fairly low tech will face the greatest challenges." ${ }^{22}$ To remain competitive, suppliers are focusing on "new products and technologies that improve safety, enhance fuel economy, lower emissions, and support in-car connectivity." ${ }^{23}$

### 1.7.3 Porter's Five Forces Analysis

1.7.3.1 Industry Competition The domestic automotive industry is highly competitive with many independent domestic and international suppliers competing on price, quality, and service.
1.7.3.2 Threat of Substitute Products The threat of substitute products is low, but existing products compete primarily on price, not in innovation.
1.7.3.3 Threat of New Entrants Based on inastry data, foreign companies have been entering the U.S. market, increasing connpetition for existing market share. As the dollar strengthens against the rest of the world currencies, pricing from foreign suppliers becomes even more attractive. Just-in-time manufacturing requiring that supplier facilities have close proximity to manufacturing facilities will somewhat limit this international competition for certain products.
1.7.3.4 Bargaining Power 0; Sappliers Bargaining power of suppliers is low because of the competitive alternatives available to buyers.
1.7.3.5 Bargaining Power of Buyers Bargaining power of buyers is very high because the smaller parts manufacturing companies are part of a chain serving very large auto manufacturers with multiple alternative sourcing options.

### 1.8 IMPACT ON VALUATION OF ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRY OUTLOOK

Based on analysis of the industry and economic outlook, the requirement for aging vehicles to be replaced and repaired should support stable growth for the Company, with continuing price pressures from offshore competition. The median 10-year

[^9]forecast CPI and real GDP estimates from the Livingston Survey imply a combined forecast 10 -year nominal GDP of 4.76 percent. ${ }^{24}$ Based on the industry and economic data, a long-term perpetuity growth rate of 4.0 percent was assumed for the Company.

EXERCISE 15 Which industry outlook factors are generally the most important in supporting valuation assumptions?
a. Growth rates, profit margins, and risk
b. Regulatory and legal issues
c. Unemployment figures
d. Minority discounts and/or control premiums

### 1.9 HISTORICAL FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND OVERVIEW OF THE COMPANY

Five years of financial data are presented for the fis eal years from 20X0 through 20X4 and the 12 trailing months (TTM) ended Alugust 31, 20X5. See Exhibits 1.3 and 1.4 for the detailed comparative incorne statement and balance sheet of the Company, respectively. See Exhibit 1.5 Ю1 the adjusted comparative income statement.

EXERCISE 16 What is the inost important use of historical financial data?
a. To determine how the company has performed
b. To assist in suppering anticipated performance
c. To highlight rrofitability
d. To determine average profits

EXERCISE 17 Analysts typically spread five years of financial statements because:
a. Revenue Ruling 59-60 requires five years.
b. USPAP and SSVS require five years.
c. An economic cycle is often captured in five years.
d. Most business plans are based on five years of projections.

[^10]EXHIBIT 1.3 Comparative Income Statement

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. Comparative Income Statement Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{Yr} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { 'X2 to 'X4 } \\ \mathrm{S} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Compound Growth Rate 'X2 to 'X4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 Months Ended 8/31/20X5 \$ | \% | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 20X4 (1) |  | 20X3 (1) | 20X2 (1) |  |  | 20X1 (1) | 20X0 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$ | \% |  | \% | S | \% |  | \% | \$ | \% |  | \% | \% |
| Net Revenues | 35,853,691 | 100.0 | 37,135,207 | 100.0 | 37,117,830 | 100.0 | 34,223,772 | 100.0 | 30,915,122 | 100.0 | 28,520,510 | 100.0 | 36,158,936 | 100.0 | 4.2 |
| Total Cost of Goods Sold | 27,425,725 | 76.5 | 25, 845,702 | 77.7 | 28,254,775 | 76.1 | 26,572,370 | 77.6 | 24,265,598 | 78.5 | 22,886,772 | 80.2 | 27,890,949 | 77.1 | 4.2 |
| Gross Profit | 8,427,966 | 23.5 | 8,289,505 | 22.3 | 8,863,055 | 23.9 | 7,651,402 | 22.4 | 6,649,524 | 21.5 | 5,633,738 | 19.8 | 8,267,987 | 22.9 | 4.1 |
| Total Selling, General \& Admin Expenses | 5,423,009 | 15.1 | 5,439,555 | 14.6 | 5,397,861 | 14.5 | 5,186,760 | 15.2 | 5,033,451 | 16.3 | 4,801,641 | 16.8 | 5,341,392 | 14.8 | 2.4 |
| Net Operating Profit | 3,004,957 | 8.4 | 2,849,950 | 7 | 3,465,194 | 9.3 | 2,464,642 | 7.2 | 1,616,073 | 5.2 | 832,097 | 2.9 | 2,926,595 | 8.1 | 7.5 |
| Other Expense (Income) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Expense | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |  | - 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | N/M |
| (2) Other Expense (Income) | $(57,190)$ | -0.2 | $(56,939)$ | -0.2 | - $(150,835)$ | -0.4 | $(118,099)$ | -0.3 | $(249,804)$ | -0.8 | $(61,928)$ | -0.2 | $(111,958)$ | -0.3 | N/M |
| Total Other Expense (Income) | $(57,190)$ | -0.2 | $(56,939)$ | -0.2 | (i50,035) | -0.4 | $(118,099)$ | -0.3 | $(249,804)$ | -0.8 | $(61,928)$ | -0.2 | $(111,958)$ | -0.3 | N/M |
| Income Before Taxes | 3,062,147 | 8.5 | 2,906,889 | 7.8 | 3,626,(29) | 9.8 | 2,582,741 | 7.5 | 1,865,877 | 6.0 | 894,025 | 3.1 | 3,038,553 | 8.4 | 6.1 |
| Income Tax Provision | 824,333 | 2.3 | 955,000 | 2.6 | 1,147,000 | 3.1 | 837,000 | 2.4 | 611,000 | 2.0 | 288,000 | 1.0 | 979,667 | 2.7 | 6.8 |
| Net Income | 2,237,813 | 6.2 | 1,951,889 | 5.3 | 2,479,029 |  | 1,745,741 | 5.1 | 1,254,877 | 4.1 | 606,025 | 2.1 | 2,058,886 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| Net Income to Invested Capital | 2,237,813 | 6.2 | 1,951,889 | 5.3 | 2,479,029 |  | 1,745,741 | 5.1 | 1,254,877 | 4.1 | 606,025 | 2.1 | 2,058,886 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes | 3,062,147 | 8.5 | 2,906,889 | 7.8 | 3,626,029 | 9.8 | 2,0\% 741 | 7.5 | 1,865,877 | 6.0 | 894,025 | 3.1 | 3,038,553 | 8.4 | 6.1 |
| Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | 4,336,524 | 12.1 | 4,169,614 | 11.2 | 4,719,091 | 12.7 | 3,576,692 | 10.5 | 2,837,373 | 9.2 | 1,894,379 | 6.6 | 4,155,132 | 11.5 | 8.0 |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 1,274,377 | 3.6 | 1,262,725 | 3.4 | 1,093,062 | 2.9 | 993,951 | 2.9 | 971,496 | 3.1 | 1,000,354 | 3.5 | 1,116,579 | 3.1 |  |
| Capital Expenditures | 1,873,113 | 5.2 | 1,735,041 | 4.7 | 3,474,858 | 9.4 | 1,187,746 | 35 | 1,611,789 | 5.2 | 687,108 | 2.4 | 2,132,548 | 5.9 | 20.9 |
| Effective Tax Rate | 26.9\% |  | 32.9\% |  | 31.6\% |  | 32.4\% |  | 32.7\% |  | 32.2\% |  | 32.3\% |  | N/M |

[^11]$\infty_{0}^{\infty}$
$c_{1}^{\infty}$
$o_{0}$
$0_{0}$

53,501
$(6,651)$
15,078


34,138
67,946
16,015

30,802
114,658
15,375

25,904
15,659
15,376
EXHIBIT 1.4 Comparative Balance Sheet

Compound 3 Yr. Avg. Growth Rate

950,803 $\quad 3.4 \begin{array}{lll}-4.1\end{array}$ $n$
$i$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
i
in
in

$\sum$
$Z$
0
0


| $1,071,275$ | 3.6 | $1,107,275$ | 3.8 | $1,065,275$ | 3.8 | 952,275 | 3.7 | 785,275 | 3.2 | 745,275 | 3.2 | $1,041,608$ | 3.8 | 7.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1,138,096 & 3.8 & 1,138,096 & 3.9 & 1,138 & \text { J9; } & 4.0 & 1,138,096 & 4.4 & 1,138,096 & 4.6 & 1,138,096 & 4.8 & 1,138,096 & 4.1 & 0.0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}447,134 & 1.9 & 447,134 & 1.6 & 0.0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}28,521,884 & 95.5 & 28,077,791 & 96.9 & 27,207,970 & 9, .6 & 25,337,604 & -97.8 & 24,461,381 & 98.4 & 23,699,232 & 100.2 & 26,874,455 & -97.1 & 5.3 \\ (3,922,098) & -13.1 & (3,922,098) & -13.5 & (3,922,098) & -1, & 9 & (3,922,098) & -15.1 & (3,922,098) & -15.8 & (3,922,098) & -16.6 & (3,922,098) & -14.2 & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{M}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll} & 26,185,016 & 87.6 & 25,740,923 & 88.9 & 24,871,102 & 88.3 & 2,3,000,736 & 88.8 & 22,124,513 & 89.0 & 21,362,364 & 90.3 & 24,537,587 & 88.7 & 5.8\end{array}$ | $29,880,047$ | 100.0 | $28,967,635$ | 100.0 | $28,157,346$ | 100.0 | 25,91 | 1,503 | 100.0 | $24,858,264$ | 100.0 | $23,658,845$ | 100.0 | $27,678,828$ | 100.0 | 5.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


Notes
(1) Source: Audited financial statements
EXHIBIT 1.5 Adjusted Comparative Income Statement

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. <br> Adjusted Comparative Income Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { Months } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 8 / 31 / 20 \times 5 \\ \$ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3 Yr. Avg. } \\ \text { 20X2 to } \\ \text { 20X4 } \\ \$ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Compound Growth Rate 20X2 to 20X4 |  |
|  |  |  | 20X4 (1) |  | 20X3 (1) |  | 20X2 (1) |  | 20X1 (1) |  | 20X0 (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | - \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% |  | \% | \% |
| Net Revenues | 35,853,691 | 100.0 | 3),135,207 | 100.0 | 37,117,830 | 100.0 | 34,223,772 | 100.0 | 30,915,122 | 100.0 | 28,520,510 | 100.0 | 36,158,936 | 100.0 | 4.2 |
| (2) Costs of Goods Sold | 26,151,348 | 72.9 | 27,582,977 | 74.3 | 27,161,713 | 73.2 | 25,578,419 | 74.7 | 23,294,102 | 75.3 | 21,886,418 | 76.7 | 26,774,370 | 74.0 | 3.8 |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 1,274,377 | 3.6 | 1,262,7,5 | 3.4 | 1,093,062 | 2.9 | 993,951 | 2.9 | 971,496 |  | 1,000,354 |  | 1,116,579 | 3.1 | 12.7 |
| Total Selling, General \& Admin. Expenses | 5,423,009 | 15.1 | 5,439,555 | 14.6 | 5,397,861 | 14.5 | 5,186,760 | 15.2 | 5,033,451 | 16.3 | 4,801,641 | 16.8 | 5,341,392 | 14.8 | 2.4 |
| Net Operating Profit | 3,004,957 | 8.4 | 2,849,950 | 7.7 | 3,465,194 | 9.3 | 2,464,642 | 7.2 | 1,616,073 | 5.2 | 832,097 | 2.9 | 2,926,595 | 8.1 | 7.5 |
| Total Other Expense (Income) | $(57,190)$ | -0.2 | $(56,939)$ | -0.2 | $(160,835)$ | -0.4 | $(118,099)$ | -0.3 | $(249,804)$ | -0.8 | $(61,928)$ | -0.2 | $(111,958)$ | -0.3 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M}$ |
| Income Before Taxes | 3,062,147 | 8.5 | 2,906,889 |  | 9.655,029 | 9.8 | 2,582,741 | 7.5 | 1,865,877 | 6.0 | 894,025 | 3.1 | 3,038,553 | 8.4 | 6.1 |
| Adjustments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) (Gain) Loss on Sale of Equipment | 14,233 | 0.0 | $(15,659)$ | 0.0 | (114,658) | -0.3 | $(67,946)$ | -0.2 | $(192,544)$ | -0.6 | 6,651 | 0.0 | $(66,088)$ | -0.2 | N/M |
| (4) Interest Income Adjustment | $(6,730)$ | 0.0 | $(4,597)$ | 0.0 | $(3,150)$ | O.0 | $(9,974)$ | 0.0 | $(17,006)$ | -0.1 | $(24,249)$ | -0.1 | $(5,907)$ | 0.0 | N/M |
| Total Adjustments | 7,503 | 0.0 | $(20,256)$ | -0.1 | $(117,808)$ | $-13$ | $(77,920)$ | -0.2 | $(209,550)$ | -0.7 | $(17,598)$ | -0.1 | $(71,995)$ | -0.2 | N/M |
| Adjusted Income Before Taxes | 3,069,650 | 8.6 | 2,886,633 | 7.8 | 3,508,221 |  | 2. 04,821 | 7.3 | 1,656,327 | 5.4 | 876,427 | 3.1 | 2,966,558 | 8.2 | 7.4 |
| Estimated Income Tax | 826,353 | 2.3 | 948,345 | 2.6 | 1,109,735 | 3.0 | 811,748 | 2.4 | 542,381 | 1.8 | 282,331 | 1.0 | 956,609 | 2.6 | 8.1 |
| Adjusted Net Income | 2,243,297 | 6.3 | 1,938,288 | 5.2 | 2,398,487 | 6.5 | 1,693,073 | $\underline{4.9}$ | 1,113,946 | 3.6 | 594,096 | 2.1 | 2,009,949 | 5.6 | 7.0 |
| Adjusted Net Income to Invested Capital | 2,243,297 | 6.3 | 1,938,288 | 5.2 | 2,398,487 | 6.5 | 1,693,073 | 4.9 | 1,113,946 | 3.6 | 594,096 | 2.1 | 2,009,949 | 5.6 | 7.0 |
| Adj Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes | 3,069,650 | 8.6 | 2,886,633 | 7.8 | 3,508,221 | 9.5 | 2,504,821 | 7.3 | 1,656,327 | 5.4 | 876,427 | 3.1 | 2,966,558 | 8.2 | 7.4 |
| Adj Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | 4,344,027 | 12.1 | 4,149,358 | 11.2 | 4,601,283 | 12.4 | 3,498,772 | 10.2 | 2,627,823 | 8.5 | 1,876,781 | 6.6 | 4,083,138 | 11.3 | 8.9 |
| Interest Expense | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | N/M |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 1,274,377 | 3.6 | 1,262,725 | 3.4 | 1,093,062 | 2.9 | 993,951 | 2.9 | 971,496 | 3.1 | 1,000,354 | 3.5 | 1,116,579 | 3.1 | 12.7 |
| (5) Estimated Tax Rate | 26.9\% |  | 32.9\% |  | 31.6\% |  | 32.4\% |  | 32.7\% |  | 32.2\% |  | 32.3\% |  |  |

Notes
1）Source：Audited financial statements
1）Source：Audited financial statements
2）Excludes Depreciation and Amortization expense．
3）Sales of equipment used in the fastener segment．Amounts in 20X1 and 20X0 rerresent the gain／loss on the sale of equipment and property．
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### 1.9.1 Income Statements

1.9.1.1 Revenues Revenues are generally the first component to be reviewed by financial analysts. All other things equal, trends in revenues will translate into trends in profit margins, as well as the Company's ultimate fate. Increases in revenues, all things equal, should lead to higher profitability as the Company's fixed costs are spread over a wider revenue base, leading to lower fixed costs per dollar of revenue. Table 1.1 represents the actual revenues of the Company for each year and the growth trend associated with each year.

TABLE 1.1 Actual Revenues and Growth Trend

|  | Dec-X0 | Dec-X1 | Dec-X2 | Dec-X3 | Dec-X4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | \$28,520,510 | \$30,915,122 | \$34,223,772 | \$37,117,830 | \$37,135,207 |
| \% Change |  | 8.4\% | 10.7\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% |

As illustrated, the Company's revenues increased from fiscal 20X0 to 20X3, but growth leveled off in 20X4. Revenues for the 12 months trailing August 31, 20X5 were lower than for the fiscal year ended December 31, 20X4. Over the three-year period from 20X2 to 20X4, the compondgrowth rate in revenues was approximately 4 percent. Lower revenues in 20\%0 and 20X1 were the result of the overall economy of the United States, whin was in the process of recovering from the contraction that occurred in the prior two years. Revenues leveled off in 20X4, but capital expenditure investmerts made in 20X3 will improve production capacity and efficiency such that the facilities can support future growth in production.
1.9.1.2 Cost of Goods Sold As picsented in Table 1.2, the Company's cost of goods sold as a percentage of revernues improved with the recovery of revenues in 20X2 and stabilized. Cost of goods sold was 77.7 percent of revenues for fiscal year 20X4, approximately the saine as the 77.1 percent three-year average for the years ended 20X2 through 20X4. Cost of goods sold grew at the same compound annual growth rate as revenues of approximately 4 percent over the three-year period from 20X2 to 20X4.

TABLE 1.2 Cost of Goods Sold and Percentage of Revenues

|  | Dec-X0 | Dec-X1 | Dec-X2 | Dec-X3 | Dec-X4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of Goods Sold | \$22,886,772 | \$24,265,598 | \$26,572,370 | \$28,254,775 | \$28,845,702 |
| \% of Revenues | 80.2\% | 78.5\% | 77.6\% | 76.1\% | 77.7\% |

1.9.1.3 Selling, General, and Administrative Expense As presented in Table 1.3, the Company's selling, general, and administrative expense as a percentage of sales was 14.6 percent in 20X4, approximately the same as the three-year average such expense of 14.8 percent for the fiscal years ended 20X2 through 20X4.

TABLE 1.3 Selling, General, and Administrative Expense and Percentage of Revenues

|  | Dec-X0 | Dec-X1 | Dec-X2 | Dec-X3 | Dec-X4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG\&A | \$4,801,641 | \$5,033,451 | \$5,186,760 | \$5,397,861 | \$5,439,555 |
| \% of Revenues | 16.8\% | 16.3\% | 15.2\% | 14.5\% | 14.6\% |

1.9.1.4 Adjusted Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization (EBITDA) Adjustments were made to the historical financial data to eliminate nonrecurring income and expense items from National Fastener's reported income before tax.

Adjustments included:

- The gains and losses on the sale of tooling equipment used in the fastener segment. No adjustment accounted for more than 0.6 percent of revenues for the year the gain or loss was recorded.
- Excess working capital was identified at National Fastener as of the valuation date. The value of excess working capital is added separately to the operating value of the equity of the Company. To avoid double-counting the value of this working capital, we have reduced interest income in each year by an amount equal to each year's effective interest rate earned times the amount of working capital in excess of the estinated normal working capital requirement.

Depreciation and amortization were added to the adjusted income before taxes to calculate adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA).

To compare the Company to the industry, we used guideline public company data. The mean and median EBITIA as a percentage of sales for the guideline public companies in this industry were 9.6 percent and 9.9 percent, respectively. As presented in Table 1.4, the Company's EBITDA as a percentage of sales was approximately 11.2 percent in $20 \times 4$.

TABLE 1.4 Adjusted EBITDA and Percentage of Revenues

|  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X0 }}{}$ |  | Dec-X1 |  | Dec-X2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec-X3 |  | Dec-X4 |  |  |
| Adjusted EBITDA | $\$ 1,876,781$ | $\$ 2,627,823$ | $\$ 3,498,772$ | $\$ 4,601,283$ | $\$ 4,149,358$ |  |
| \% of Revenues | $6.6 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |  | $12.4 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ |

### 1.9.2 Balance Sheets

1.9.2.1 Current Assets Current assets usually consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, inventory, and other current assets, which usually consist of prepaid expenses.
1.9.2.2 Asset Mix Over the period, the majority of the Company's assets has been in certificates of deposit, accounts receivable, inventory, and fixed assets. Table 1.5 illustrates the Company's asset mix as a percentage of total assets.

TABLE 1.5 Asset Mix Percentages

|  | Dec-X0 | Dec-X1 | Dec-X2 | Dec-X3 | Dec-X4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and Equivalents | 3.1\% | 2.8\% | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% |
| Certificates of Deposit | 27.0\% | 23.7\% | 27.4\% | 22.0\% | 20.9\% |
| Accounts Receivable | 17.0\% | 17.7\% | 17.7\% | 19.6\% | 19.6\% |
| Inventories | 18.2\% | 21.0\% | 19.1\% | 17.3\% | 17.8\% |
| Deferred Income Taxes | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.6\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% |
| Prepaid Income Taxes | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Other Current Assets | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Net Fixed Assets | 31.6\% | 31.8\% | 31.2\% | 37.0\% | 37.6\% |

The Company's asset mix was stable for the most part. Inventory was a slightly higher-than-normal percent of assets at December 31, 20X0, and 20X1 in the years following the recession. The working capital requirements of the Company are high, so cash is held in short-term certificates of deposit to be available to fund operations.
1.9.2.3 Liabilities The majority of the liabilities consisted of accounts payable and deferred income taxes. Total current liabilities as a percent of total liabilities and stockholders' equity increased from 6.6 percent at December 31, 20X0, to 7.3 percent at December 31, 20X4. Historically, the Company has not relied on interestbearing debt. Table 1.6 illustrates the Company's liabilities mix as a percentage of total liabilities and stockholders' equity.

TABLE 1.6 Liability Mix Percentagé

| $1 \sim$ | Dec-X0 | Dec-X1 | Dec-X2 | Dec-X3 | Dec-X4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounts Payable | 3.2\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.2\% |
| Accrued Wages and Salarres | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% |
| Other Accrued Expenses | 1.3\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 2.2\% | 1.8\% |
| Unearned Revenue and Customer Deposits | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% |
| Deferred Income Taxes | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 3.7\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% |
| Equity | 90.3\% | 89.0\% | 88.8\% | 88.3\% | 88.9\% |

The liability section of the balance sheet was also stable.
1.9.2.4 Equity Stockholders' equity refers to the difference between the book value of a company's assets and its liabilities. The stockholders' equity as a percent of total liabilities and stockholders' equity declined from fiscal year 20X0 to 20X4, but on a dollar basis grew during this period.

### 1.9.3 Financial Ratio Analysis

Ratios for the 12 -month period ending September 1, 20X5, are not presented. See Exhibit 1.6 for the adjusted ratio analysis of the Company.
EXHIBIT 1.6 Adjusted Ratio Analysis

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. Adjusted Ratio Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { 20X2 to 20X4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 Months Ended 8/31/20X5 | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20X4 | 20X3 | 20X2 | 20X1 | 20X0 |  |
| Liquidity Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Ratio | 7.1 | 8.54 | 7.99 | 9.11 | 8.71 | 10.43 | 8.54 |
| Debt-Free Current Ratio | 7.14 | 8.54 | 7.99 | 9.11 | 8.71 | 10.43 | 8.54 |
| Quick Ratio | 4.89 | 5.64 | 5.48 | 6.16 | 5.64 | 7.17 | 5.76 |
| Debt-Free Quick Ratio | 4.89 | 5.64 | 5.48 | 6.16 | 5.64 | 7.17 | 5.76 |
| Leverage Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (1) to Assets | 0.00 | 000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - |
| Debt (1) to Equity | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - |
| Debt (1) to Total Capital (2) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Preferred Stock to Total Capital | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Stockholders' Equity to Total Capital (2) | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Interest Coverage | N/M | N/M | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}$ | N/M | N/M | N/M | N/M |
| Asset Management Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Collection Period | 60.13 | 54.95 | 49.60 | 47.87 | 49.68 | 51.41 | 50.81 |
| Working Capital Turnover | 2.22 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.22 | 2.09 | 1.95 | 2.31 |
| Debt-Free Working Capital Turnover | 2.22 | 2.36 | 2.36 | 2.22 | 2.09 | 1.95 | 2.31 |
| Inventory Turnover | 5.22 | 5.49 | 5.53 | 5.04 | 4.89 | 5.08 | 5.36 |
| Fixed Asset Turnover | 3.22 | 3.49 | 4.02 | 4.29 | 4.02 | 3.81 | 3.93 |
| Total Asset Turnover | 1.20 | 1.30 | 1.37 | 1.35 | 1.27 | 1.21 | 1.34 |
| Accum. Depr. to Gross Fixed Assets | 73.3 \% | 73.4\% | 73.6\% | 78.2\% | 78.2\% | 79.0\% | 75.1\% |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Debt defined as Total Interest-Bearing De <br> (2) Capital defined as Total Interest-Bearing | Total Stockho | $\text { Equity }+\mathrm{T}$ | ferred Stock |  |  |  |  |

EXHIBIT 11.6 (Continued)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { Mrinths } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & 8 / 31 / 20 \times 5 \end{aligned}$ | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { 20X2 to 20X4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20X4 | 20X3 | 20X2 | 20X1 | 20x0 |  |
| Profitability Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Profit Margin | 23.5\% | 22.3\% | 23.9\% | 22.4\% | 21.5\% | 19.8\% | 22.9\% |
| EbITDA Profit Margin | 12.1\% | 11.2\% | 12.4\% | 10.2\% | 8.5\% | 6.6\% | 11.3\% |
| EBIT Profit Margin | 8.6\% | \%\%\% | 9.5\% | 7.3\% | 5.4\% | 3.1\% | 8.2\% |
| Net Profit Margin | 6.3\% | 5.2\% | 6.5\% | 4.9\% | 3.6\% | 2.1\% | 5.5\% |
| Return on Equity | 8.6\% | 7.7\% | 10.0\% | 7.5\% | 5.1\% | 2.8\% | 8.4\% |
| Return on Assets | 7.5\% | 6.8\% | 8.9\% | 6.7\% | 4.6\% | 2.5\% | 7.4\% |
| Growth Rates (Year-to-Year and Compound Annual)Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  | CAGR |
|  |  | 0.0\% | 85\% | 10.7\% | 8.4\% | N/A | 4.2\% |
| Gross Profit |  | -6.5\% | 15.8\% | 15.1\% | 18.0\% | N/A | 4.1\% |
| Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. |  | -9.8\% | 31.5\% | 33.1\% | 40.0\% | N/A | 8.9\% |
| Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes |  | -17.7\% | 40.1\% | 51.2\% | 89.0\% | N/A | 7.4\% |
| Net Income |  | -19.2\% | 41.7\% | 52.0\% | 87.5\% | N/A | 7.0\% |
| Total Assets |  | 2.9\% | 8.7\% | C4.2\% | 5.1\% | N/A | 5.7\% |
| Debt-Free Net Working Capital |  | 2.9\% | -2.2\% | 6.7\% | 2.6\% | N/A | 0.3\% |
| Total Interest-Bearing Debt |  | N/M | N/M | N.M | N/M | N/A | N/M |
| Total Stockholders' Equity |  | 3.5\% | 8.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | N/A | 5.8\% |

EXERCISE 18 The main drawbacks of publicly available benchmark financial ratios are:
a. There are very few SIC codes.
b. They calculate the ratios incorrectly.
c. The companies that make up the data cannot be used to determine pricing ratios or capitalization rates.
d. The information is from public companies.

The industry statistics used in the ratio analysis were taken from benchmark data and guideline public company data. The median statistics are for businesses whose primary North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code is 332722, Bolt, Nut, Screw, Rivet, and Washer Manufacturing.

Ratios are divided into four groups, each representing an inportant aspect of the Company's financial position. The groups are liquidity aciivity, leverage, and profitability.

### 1.9.4 Liquidity Ratios

Liquidity analysis assesses the risk level and ability of a company to meet its current obligations. It represents the availability of casir and the company's ability to eventually convert its liquidity into cash.
1.9.4.1 Current Ratio The current ratio compares current assets to current liabilities. It measures the margin of safety company has for paying short-term debts in the event of a reduction in currentassets. It also gives an idea of a company's ability to meet day-to-day payment obligations. Generally, a higher ratio is better.

The Company's curre tratio and debt-free current ratio were consistently above the industry average ever the period and the guideline public companies, as shown in Table 1.7. The Company's ratios were higher than the industry because of its high level of cash, cash equivalents, and certificates of deposit and lower level of current liabilities.

TABLE 1.7 Current Ratios

|  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X2 }}{}$ |  | Dec-X3 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | 9.11 |  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X4 }}{}$ |  |
| Industry | 2.65 |  | 2.59 | 3.54 |
| Guideline Public Companies |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean |  | Median |  |
| Latest Twelve Months | 4.63 |  | 4.41 |  |

1.9.4.2 Quick Ratio The quick ratio adds accounts receivables to cash and short-term investments and compares the sum to current liabilities. The resulting ratio measures a company's ability to cover its current liabilities without having to convert inventory to cash. Generally, a higher ratio is better.

As shown in Table 1.8, the Company's ratios fluctuated over the period. The basic difference between the current and quick ratio is that the quick ratio includes only cash and receivables as the numerator. Thus, inventory is not included. The Company's debt-free quick ratio was significantly higher than the industry average. The collection period for the Company's receivables is longer than its peers. The Company has been very liquid and could easily cover its current maturities.

TABLE 1.8 Debt-Free Quick Ratios

|  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X2 }}{}$ |  | Dec-X3 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | 6.16 |  | 5.48 | $\frac{\text { Dec-X4 }}{5.64}$ |
| Industry | 0.70 |  | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Guideline Public Companies |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean |  | Median |  |
| Latest Twelve Months | 2.27 | 2.24 |  |  |

1.9.4.2.1 Conclusion of Liquidity Ratios The Company appears to have lower risk than that of the industry. The current ratio and the quick ratio are above the industry average for the most part. Thus, the Company would have little difficulty covering its obligations when compared to other companies wethin the industry.
1.9.4.3 Activity Ratios Activity ratios, also known as efficiency ratios, describe the relationship between the Company's level of operations and the assets needed to sustain the activity. The higher the ratio, the more efficient the Company's operations, as relatively fewer assets are required to mantain a given level of operations. Although these ratios do not measure profitability or liquidity directly, they are ultimately important factors affecting those performance indicators.
1.9.4.3.1 Collection Period Ratio The collection period ratio, also known as the day's sales in receivables, multiplies accounts receivable at the year end by 365 , then divides the result by net sales for the year. This ratio measures how much control a company has over its accounts receivable, and indicates how many days, on the average, it takes that company to convert accounts receivable to cash. Generally, the smaller the number of days, the better.

The Company's average collection period has increased slightly from 20X0 to 20X4. Compared to the industry, the Company was worse at collecting receivables. For the years represented in Table 1.9, the Company converted its accounts receivable to cash more slowly than the other companies within the industry.

TABLE 1.9 Collection Period Ratios

|  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X2 }}{}$ |  | Dec-X3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | 47.87 |  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X4 }}{49.60}$ |
|  | 45.63 | 43.45 | 47.40 |
| Industry | 45.95 |  |  |

1.9.4.3.2 Fixed Assets Turnover Ratio The fixed assets turnover ratio compares net sales to fixed assets. It indicates a company's ability to generate net sales from the use
of its fixed assets. Largely depreciated fixed assets or a labor-intensive operation may cause a distortion of this ratio. Generally, a higher ratio is better.

The Company appears worse than the industry average during the period, as demonstrated in Table 1.10. The Company appears to have not used its fixed assets in generating revenues as effectively as the industry. However, the Company owns all of its equipment and machinery, as opposed to renting. Thus, the higher amount of fixed assets causes the ratio to be low as opposed to the industry figures. Most companies of this nature do not own all of their equipment. The industry averages most likely represent companies that both rent and own their respective equipment and machinery.

TABLE 1.10 Fixed Assets Turnover Ratios

|  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X2 }}{}$ |  | Dec-X3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | 4.29 |  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X4 }}{3.02}$ |
| Industry | 8.90 | 8.30 | 8.70 |

1.9.4.4 Asset Turnover Ratio The asset turnover ratio compares net sales to total assets. It measures a company's ability to generate sales volume using its assets. It is useful in comparing companies within specific indestry groups on their effective employment of assets. Generally, a higher ratio is better.

The Company's average improved slightly duing the period analyzed. The Company's trend (as shown in Table 1.11) was aprioximately the same as the industry.

TABLE 1.11 Asset Turnover Ratios

|  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X2 }}{1.35}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X3 }}{1.37}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | 1.31 |  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X4 }}{1.30}$ |
| Industry |  | 1.42 | 1.38 |
| Guideline Public Companies |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | Median |  |
| Latest Twelve Mínths | 1.40 | 1.20 |  |

1.9.4.4.1 Conclusion of Activity Ratios The Company seems to be doing better than the industry in this category. The Company does collect its receivables more quickly than other companies within the industry, for the most part. However, the Company is not as effective as other companies within the industry with fixed assets, but this may be affected by the high level of fixed assets it owns.
1.9.4.5 Leverage Ratios Leverage ratios measure the relative exposure of the creditors versus the shareholders of a business. Leveraged companies accrue excess returns to their shareholders as long as the rate of return on the investments financed by debt is greater than the cost of debt. However, financial leverage brings additional risks, primarily in the form of fixed costs that would adversely affect profitability if revenues decline. Additionally, the priority of interest and debt can have a severe negative impact on a company when adversity strikes. The inability to meet these obligations may lead to default and possibly bankruptcy.

Historically, the Company has not relied on interest-bearing debt to finance operations, so leverage ratios for the Company are basically 0 percent. The mean and median debt-to-capital (book) of the guideline public companies were 14 percent and 10.7 percent, respectively. The Company should have little trouble supporting the purchase of fixed assets with retained earnings. The Company also has the capacity to take on some long-term debt if necessary. Management has indicated that the Company will obtain some debt financing in the near future.
1.9.4.6 Profitability Ratios Profitability ratios measure the ability of a company to generate returns for its stockholders.
1.9.4.6.1 Return on Equity The return on equity ratio compares pre-tax income to equity. It measures a company's ability to generate a profit on the owner's investment. Generally, a higher ratio is better.

The Company's return on equity ratio improved during the period under analysis. It is approximately the same as the median ratio of the guideline public companies of 8.8 percent, but lower than the average of 10.4 percent, as presented in Table 1.12.

TABLE 1.12 Return on Equity Ratios

|  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X2 }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Dec-X3 }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Dec-X4 }}{7.7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | 11.50 | $10.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Industry | $11.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Guideline Public Companies | Mean | Median |  |
| Latest Twelve Months | $10.4 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |  |

1.9.4.6.2 Return on Assetco Ratio The return on assets ratio is calculated by dividing pre-tax income by tothi assets. This ratio expresses the pre-tax return on total assets and measures the cffectiveness of management in employing available resources. Generally, a higher ratio is better.

Table 1.13 shows the Company's ratio was better than the industry average based on the guideline public company data.

TABLE 1.13 Return on Assets Ratios

|  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X2 }}{}$ |  | Dec-X3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | $6.7 \%$ |  | $\frac{\text { Dec-X4 }}{}$ |
| Industry | $8.1 \%$ |  | $6.1 \%$ |
| Guideline Public Companies |  |  | $8.9 \%$ |
|  | Mean | Median |  |
| Latest Twelve Months | $6.1 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |  |

1.9.4.6.3 Conclusion of Profitability Ratios The Company is profitable and appears to be outperforming the industry.

EXERCISE 19 Indicate whether you believe that National Fastener is a better or worse performer based on the financial ratios and trends previously presented.

### 1.10 PROJECTIONS

Management provided projections for the Company for the years ending December 31, 20X5, 20X6, 20X7, and 20X8, which are presented in tixhibit 1.7. Management's projections reflect higher revenue growth in the neat few years (relative to 20X2 to 20X4) due to the recent execution of a manifacturing agreement with a new customer. Cost of sales is difficult for managenent to project because of volatility in raw material pricing. Management assumed that cost of sales before depreciation and amortization expense will remain the sorne as a percent of sales throughout the projected period. Management assumed that operating expenses would grow to support future operations, but due to the increase in sales volume operating expenses decline as a percent of revenues throughout the projected period.

Future capital expenditures to maintain the operating facilities were assumed to be approximately 3.5 percent of revenues. Management provided projected tax depreciation associated with cevsting assets and future purchases.

### 1.11 APPRAISAL OF F4!

### 1.11.1 Valuation Approaches and Methods

Three traditional approaches can be used to value an interest in an operating business such as National Fastener: the income approach, the market approach, and the asset approach. ${ }^{19}$
1.11.1.1 Income Approach "Income (Income-Based) Approach—a general way of determining a value indication of a business, business ownership interest, security, or intangible asset using one or more methods that convert anticipated economic benefits into a present single amount."

The application of the income approach establishes value by methods that discount or capitalize earnings and/or cash flow, by a discount or capitalization rate that reflects market rate of return expectations, market conditions, and the relative risk of the investment. Generally, this can be accomplished by the capitalization of earnings or cash flow method and/or the discounted cash flow method.
EXHIBIT 1.7 Management's Projections

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. <br> Management's Projections <br> Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumptions for Projections: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue Growth, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20X5 8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20 \mathrm{X6}$ - 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20X7 8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20X8 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Expenditures <br> $\%$ of Revenues $3.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { 8/31/20X5 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Implied SeptemberDecember 20X5 |  | 20X6 | Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d Years Ended } \\ & 20 \mathrm{X} 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Decem | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per 31, } \\ & 20 \times 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Revenues | \$40,106,024 | 100.0 | \$24,653,287 | 100.0 | \$15.452,737 | 100.0 | \$44,116,626 | 100.0 | \$47,645,956 | 100.0 | \$50,028,254 | 100.0 |
| Growth | 8.0\% |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0\% |  | 8.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  |
| Cost of Sales (excluding D\&A) | 28,836,231 | 71.9 | 17,674,439 | 71.7 | 11,162. 2 | 72.2 | 31,719,854 | 71.9 | 34,257,442 | 71.9 | 35,970,314 | 71.9 |
| Gross Profit | 11,269,793 | 28.1 | 6,978,848 | 28.3 | 4,290,545 | 27.8 | 12,396,772 | 28.1 | 13,388,514 | 28.1 | 14,057,939 | 28.1 |
| Operating Expenses | 5,975,798 | 14.9 | 3,708,705 | 15.0 | 2,263,513 | 14.6 | 6,214,829 | 14.1 | 6,463,423 | 13.6 | 6,721,959 | 13.4 |
| EBITDA | 5,293,995 | 13.2 | 3,270,143 | 13.3 | 2,027,432 | 13.1 | 6,181,942 | 14.0 | 6,925,091 | 14.5 | 7,335,980 | 14.7 |
| Adjustments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Gain) loss on sale of equipment | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Interest income adjustment | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| EBITDA | 5,293,995 | 13.2 | 3,270,143 | 13.3 | 2,027,432 | 13.1 | 6,181,942 | 14.0 | 6,925,091 | 14.5 | 7,335,980 | 14.7 |
| Capital Expenditures | 1,403,711 | 3.5 | 1,158,140 | 4.7 | 245,571 | 1.6 | 1,544,082 | 3.5 | 1,667,608 | 3.5 | 1,750,989 | 3.5 |
| Depreciation, Tax | 1,194,947 | 3.0 | 734,537 | 3.0 | 460,410 | 3.0 | 1,570,118 | 3.6 | 1,871,816 | 3.9 | 2,114,139 | 4.2 |

1.11.1.2 Market Approach "Market (Market-Based) Approach—a general way of determining a value indication of a business, business ownership interest, security, or intangible asset by using one or more methods that compare the subject to similar businesses, business ownership interests, securities, or intangible assets that have been sold."

Generally, this can be accomplished by a comparison to publicly traded guideline companies or by an analysis of actual transactions of similar businesses sold. It may also include an analysis of prior transactions in the company's stock, if any.
1.11.1.3 Asset Approach "Asset (Asset-Based) Approach—a general way of determining a value indication of a business, business ownership interest, or security, using one or more methods based on the value of the assets net of liabilities."

This approach can include the value of both tangible and intangible assets. However, this approach is often unnecessary in the valuation of a profitable operating company as a going concern, as the tangible and intangible assets are automatically included, in aggregate, in the market and income approaches to value.

### 1.11.2 Summary of the Valuation Approaches and Methods

In our valuation of National Fastener, we considered ail three approaches to value. Under the income approach, we utilized the discounted cash flow method. We also considered, but rejected, the capitalized cash fons method [Learning Illustration Only]. Under the market approach, we prepared an analysis using the guideline public company method and the guideline company transactions method. We did not rely on the underlying asset approach for the valuation of National Fastener, as the business enterprise value exceeds the value of the underlying tangible and financial assets and captures the value of all intangible assets and goodwill. National Fastener is worth more as a going concern than in liquidation, whether orderly or forced.

EXERCISE 20 hree approaches to value must be applied in all valuations.
a. True
b. False

### 1.11.3 Asset Approach

1.11.3.1 Adjusted Book Value Method The adjusted book value method consists of determining the fair market value of a company's assets and subtracting the fair market value of its liabilities to arrive at the fair market value of the equity. Both tangible and intangible assets are valued. Appraisals are used to value certain assets, and the remaining assets and liabilities are often included at book value, which is sometimes assumed to approximate fair market value. This method does not provide a strong measure of value for goodwill or other intangible assets, which are more reasonably supported through the Company's income stream. In this case, the
value under the adjusted book-value method (net tangible assets) was less than the values calculated under the income and market approaches. Thus, this method was not utilized in the determination of a conclusion of value for the Company.
1.11.3.2 Excess Cash Flow Method The excess cash flow method, which is sometimes referred to as the excess earnings or formula method, is based on the excess cash flow or earnings available after a percentage return on the net tangible assets used in a business has been subtracted. This residual amount of cash flow is capitalized at a percentage return for intangible assets of the business to derive the intangible asset value. This method is often used for very small businesses and in marital dissolution proceedings. The Internal Revenue Service's position on this method is that it should only be used when no better method exists. ${ }^{20}$ It was not used in the valuation of National Fastener since more appropriate methods were available.

EXERCISE 21 In what type of valuation setting is the exces cash flow method most often used?
a. ESOPs (employee stock ownership plans)
b. Estate tax
c. Dissenting rights
d. Marital dissolution

EXERCISE 22 On which Revenue Ruling is the excess cash flow method based?
a. Revenue Ruling 59-60
b. Revenue Ruling 83-120
c. Revenue Ruling 68-609
d. Revenue Ruling 77-287

### 1.11.4 Income Approach

1.11.4.1 Capitalized Cash Flow Method (Invested Capital Basis) For illustration purposes only; the DCF method is the proper method to be used in this example. Most valuation views presented here also apply to the DCF method. The capitalized cash flow method determines the value of a company as the present value of all of the future cash flows that the business can generate to infinity. An appropriate cash flow is determined, then divided by a risk-adjusted capitalization rate, which here is the weighted average cost of capital. In this instance, control cash flows were used. This method was used to determine the Company's indicated value. The value is stated on a marketable, control interest basis. See Exhibit 1.8 for the capitalized cash flow to invested capital method.

EXHIBIT 1.8 Capitalized Cash Flow to Invested Capital Method (Illustration Only)
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Capitalized Cash Flow to Invested Capital Method
Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5

| Assumptions: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| (1) WACC | $13.00 \%$ |
| (2) Debt-Free Working Capital as a \% of Revenues | $40.00 \%$ |
| (3) Perpetuity Growth Rate | $4.00 \%$ |


|  | Trailing 12 Months | Most Recent Fiscal Year | Three-Year Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Revenues | \$35,853,691 | \$37,135,207 | \$36,158,936 |
| Growth Rate | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.04 |
| Following Year's Net Revenues | 37,287,839 | 38,620,615 | 37,605,294 |
| Incremental Net Revenues | 1,434,148 | 1,485,408 | 1,446,357 |
| Debt-Free Working Capital as a \% of Revenues | 40.00\% | 40.00\% | 40.00\% |
| Estimated Incremental Working Capital | 573,659 | 594,163 | 578,543 |
| Adjusted Net Income to Invested Capital | 2,243,297 | 1,938,288 | 2,009,949 |
| Growth Rate | 1.04 | -1.04 | 1.04 |
| Following Year's Net Income to Invested Capital | 2,333,029 | 2,015,819 | 2,090,347 |
| (4) Plus: Depreciation |  |  |  |
| (4) Less: Capital Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Less: Incremental Working Capital | (573,6591 | $(594,163)$ | $(578,543)$ |
| Normalized Cash Flow to Invested Capital | 1,759,270 | 1,421,656 | 1,511,804 |
| Capitalization Rate | 4,00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% |
| Indicated Value of $100 \%$ of the Business |  |  | 16,797,823 |
| (5) Plus: Excess Debt-Free Net Working Capital | 1,781,159 | 1,781,159 | 1,781,159 |
| Indicated Value of $100 \%$ of the Business Enterprise | 21,329,711 | 17,577,335 | 18,578,981 |
| Less: Interest-Bearing Debt |  | - |  |
| Indicated Value of 100\% of the Equity | 21,329,711 | 17,577,335 | 18,578,981 |
| Rounded | 21,300,000 | 17,600,000 | 18,600,000 |
| Indicated Value of $100 \%$ of ti. Equity |  | 20,000,000 |  |

## Notes

(1) See Exhibit 1.18 for details.
(2) DFWC as \% of revenues based on analysis of historical requirements of the Company and data for the industry. See Exhibit 11.9.
(3) Long-term growth assumption based on analysis of the industry and inflationary and GDP growth.
(4) Depreciation and Capital Expenditures were assumed to be equal and offsetting into perpetuity This is a simplifying assumption.
(5) Based on the working capital assumption, the Company had excess working capital as of the valuation date:

| Ending Debt-Free Working Capital | $16,122,635$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Normalized DFWC Based on Analysis (40.00\% of revenue) | $14,341,476$ |
| Excess DFWC | $1,781,159$ |

EXERCISE 23 Which method(s) is(are) considered valid under the income approach?
a. Guideline public company method
b. Discounted cash flow method
c. Capitalized cash flow method
d. Excess cash flow method

EXERCISE 24 In which situation(s) would a capitalized cash flow method be more applicable?
a. When a company's future performance is anticipated to change from its prior performance
b. In litigation settings
c. When a single historical or pro forma amount of cash fiow is anticipated to be earned with a constant growth in the future
d. When valuing very small businesses

EXERCISE 25 List the two main bases when using the capitalized cash flow (CCF) or discounted cash flow (DCF) mathods of the income approach.
1.
2. $\qquad$
1.11.4.1.1 Determination of Appropriate Control Cash Flow Under the capitalized cash flow method, we used an invested capital basis for our calculation. This is due, in part, to the fact that the interest being valued is on a control interest basis. This control interest can influence the amount of debt held by the Company. We began our analysis with the adjusted pre-tax earnings at the date of valuation and for the five years prior to the date of valuation. We then made adjustments for interest expense, nonrecurring items, and items that are not reflective of operations to the pre-tax earnings.

EXERCISE 26 Under the direct equity basis, what are the components of net cash flow?

EXERCISE 27 For the invested capital basis of the income approach, list the components of net cash flow.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

EXERCISE 28 What is the difference between minority cash flows and control cash flows?

EXERCISE 29 Which adjustment(s are made when valuing both minority and control cash flows?
a. Nonrecurring items
b. Nonoperating assets
c. Excess compensation
d. Perquisites
e. Taxes

EXERCISE 30 Assume the company does not have any control adjustments and the company is run to the benefit of all shareholders without any shareholders taking out cash flow over or above what they are entitled. Is this value control or minority?

The next adjustment was to add back the depreciation expense. This is a noncash expense and should be added back to arrive at an appropriate cash flow. The adjustment for the gains and losses on the sale of tooling equipment used in the fastener segment was made because such events are nonrecurring in nature.

All income and expenses related to excess/nonoperating assets are taken out of the income stream, because the total value of these assets is unrelated to the indicated value of operations. Excess working capital was identified at National Fastener as of the valuation date. The value of excess working capital is added separately to the operating value of the equity of the Company. To avoid double-counting the value of this working capital, we have reduced interest income in each year by an amount equal to each year's effective interest rate earned times the amount of working capital in excess of the estimated normal working capital requirement. See Exhibit 1.9 for an analysis of working capital requirements based on industry data. See Exhibit 1.10 for an analysis of working capital requirements based on guideline public company data.

EXERCISE 31 List some of the nonoperating/excess assets that are sometimes encountered in a business valuation.


EXERCISE 32 In valuing a controlling interest in a corporation, most analysts agree that the nonoperating and/or excess assets of the business must be removed from the cas' flows and valuation of the operating business, and then be added back at fair market value.
a. True
b. False

EXERCISE 33 In valuing a minority interest of a company, most analysts agree that the nonoperating and/or excess assets of the business must be removed from the cash flows and valuation of the operating business, and then be added back at fair market value.
a. True
b. False
EXHIBIT 1.9 Debt-Free Working Capital (DFWC)—Benchmark Data Analysis

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. <br> Debt-Free Working Capital (DFWC) Statistics Benchmark Data Analysis (1) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NAICS Code 332722 |  |  |  |
|  | Bolt, Nut, Screw, Rivet, and Washer Manufacturing |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4/1/X1-3/31/X2 } \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4/1/X2-3/31/X3 } \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4/1/X3-3/31/X4 } \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | 4/1/X3-3/31/X4 |
| As a \% of Total Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets | 57.0\% | 58.3\% | 59.2\% | 54.3\% |
| Less: Current Liabilities | -32.0\% | 31.9\% | 31.9\% | 29.7\% |
| Working Capital | 2.0\% | 26.4\% | 27.3\% | 24.6\% |
| Working Capital | 2.0\% | 26.4\% | 27.3\% | 24.6\% |
| Plus: Notes Payable-Short-Term | (.80) | 5.9\% | 9.4\% | 11.6\% |
| Plus: Current Mat.-L.T.D. | 3.8\% | 3.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.5\% |
| Debt-Free Working Capital (DFWC) | 35.5\% | 35.8\% | 40.1\% | 39.7\% |
| Debt-Free Working Capital | 35.5\% | 35.8\% | 40.1\% | 39.7\% |
| Times: Total Assets-\$000 | \$1,843,636 | \$2,973,434 | \$2,790,432 | \$2,943,433 |
| Debt-Free Working Capital-\$000 | \$654,491 | \$1,064,489 | \$1,118,963 | \$1,168,543 |
| Debt-Free Working Capital-\$000 | \$654,491 | \$1,064,489 | \$1,118,963 | \$1,168,543 |
| Divided by: Total Sales-\$000 | \$2,352,643 | \$? $2 \times 425,214$ | \$3,963,452 | \$3,954,324 |
| DFWC as a \% of Sales | 27.8\% | 31.1\% | 28.2\% | 29.6\% |
|  | Motor Vehicle Gaso in F .gine and Engine Parts Manufacturing |  |  |  |
|  | 4/1/X1-3/31/X2 | 4/1/X2-3/31/X3 | 4/1/X3-3/31/X4 | 4/1/X3-3/31/X4 |
|  | All | All | All | $25 \mathrm{MM}>$ Sales |
| As a \% of Total Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets | 58.7\% | 61.2\% | 62.3\% | 66.2\% |
| Less: Current Liabilities | 39.5\% | 32.9\% | 32.7\% | 33.5\% |
| Working Capital | 19.2\% | 28.3\% | 29.6\% | 32.7\% |
| Working Capital | 19.2\% | 28.3\% | 29.6\% | 32.7\% |
| Plus: Notes Payable-Short-Term | 11.4\% | 11.7\% | 5.4\% | 6.2\% |
| Plus: Current Mat.-L.T.D. | 2.9\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.9\% |
| Debt-Free Working Capital (DFWC) | 33.5\% | 43.1\% | 38.1\% | 41.8\% |
| Debt-Free Working Capital | 33.5\% | 43.1\% | 38.1\% | 41.8\% |
| Times: Total Assets-\$000 | \$1,323,234 | \$1,565,435 | \$1,643,433 | \$1,732,232 |
| Debt-Free Working Capital-\$000 | \$443,283 | \$674,702 | \$626,148 | \$724,073 |
| Debt-Free Working Capital-\$000 | \$443,283 | \$674,702 | \$626,148 | \$724,073 |
| Divided by: Total Sales-\$000 | \$1,454,353 | \$1,945,344 | \$1,945,343 | \$2,124,546 |
| DFWC as a \% of Sales | 30.5\% | 34.7\% | 32.2\% | 34.1\% |
| Note |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Source: Benchmark data publication. |  |  |  | (Con |

EXHIBIT 1.9 (Continued)

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. <br> Debt-Free Working Capital (DFWC) Statistics <br> Benchmark Data Analysis (1) |  |  | Guideline Public <br> Company <br> DFWC Turns <br> Median | Guideline Public <br> Company |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DFWC as a of |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |

EXHIBIT 1.10 Debt-Free Working Capital (DFWC)—Guideline Public Company Analysis

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. <br> Debt-Free Working Capital (DFWC) Statistics <br> Guideline Public Company Analysis (1) <br> (As \% of Revenue) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Guidelin | mpanies |
| TTM | National Fastener | EML | PFIN | STS | SCX | TWIN | VC | Average | Median |
| Working Capital | 45.0\% | + $0.4 \%$ | 20.6\% | 19.2\% | 45.5\% | 42.4\% | 36.0\% | 34.0\% | 38.2\% |
| DFWC | 45.0\% | . 2 | 39.2\% | 19.4\% | 46.1\% | 43.8\% | 36.3\% | 37.7\% | 40.2\% |
| FYE 20X4 | National Fastener | EML | PFIN | STS | SCX | TWIN | VC | Average | Median |
| Working Capital | 43.0\% | 41.1\% | $18.6 \%$ | 18.8\% | 45.5\% | 42.4\% | 17.3\% | 30.6\% | 29.9\% |
| DFWC | 43.0\% | 41.8\% | 38.5\% | 19.1\% | 46.1\% | 43.8\% | 19.2\% | 34.8\% | 40.2\% |
| FYE 20X3 | National Fastener | EML | PFIN | STS | SCX | TWIN | VC | Average | Median |
| Working Capital | 41.8\% | 40.3\% | 35.2\% | 15 2\% | 45.0\% | 46.7\% | 30.6\% | 35.5\% | 37.7\% |
| DFWC | 41.8\% | 41.5\% | 36.3\% | 5.5 | 49.3\% | 48.0\% | 32.3\% | 37.1\% | 38.9\% |
| FYE 20X2 | National Fastener | EML | PFIN | STS | SCX | TWIN | VC | Average | Median |
| Working Capital | 46.4\% | 36.1\% | 34.6\% | 16.2\% | 45.1\% | 43.8\% | 19.4\% | 32.5\% | 35.4\% |
| DFWC | 46.4\% | 37.0\% | 40.0\% | 16.2\% | 45 | 45.1\% | 21.1\% | 34.2\% | 38.5 \% |
| 3-Year Avg | National Fastener | EML | PFIN | STS | SCX | TWIN | VC | Average | Median |
| Working Capital | 43.7\% | 39.2\% | 29.4\% | 16.7\% | 45.2\% | 44.3\% | 22.5\% | 32.9\% | 34.3\% |
| DFWC | 43.7\% | 40.1\% | 38.3\% | 16.9\% | 47.0\% | 45.6\% | 24.2\% | 35.4\% | 39.2\% |

(1) Underlying financial data for computing working capital and debt-free working capital as percentages of revenue can be found in Exhibits 1.21 a through f .

The capitalized cash flow method was calculated using adjusted net income data for the latest trailing 12 months, the most recent fiscal year, and the average of the most recent three years. We believe a straight average is appropriate due to the cyclical nature of the Company.

EXERCISE 34 In the valuation of National Fastener, one of the periods that the analyst decided to use was a straight average of the adjusted income before income taxes for three historical years. Besides a straight average, what other method(s) can be used to determine the appropriate cash flow to be capitalized into perpetuity?
a. Weighted average
b. Most recent fiscal year
c. Most recent trailing 12 months
d. Trend line analysis/next year's budget
e. DCF average of next three years

EXERCISE 35 Analysts will generally use a straight historical average where the earnings and cash flows are more volatile.
a. True
b. False

The adjusted net income reflected deduction for ongoing depreciation and application of state and federal taxes at rates estimated per management. The amount that resulted was adjusted income pre-debt and after-tax.

## EXERCISE 36

Which situation is most appropriate when adjusting cash flows for depreciation and capital expenditures?
a. Capital expenditures should be similar to or exceed depreciation.
b. Depreciation should exceed capital expenditures.
c. The actual unadjusted amounts should be capitalized.

EXERCISE 37 Assuming taxes are to be deducted, what two choices may be made in making the tax adjustments?
a. Tax each year historically, then calculate an average.
b. Taxes should never be deducted in the value of an $S$ corporation.
c. Make all adjustments in the historical period pre-tax, determine the average, then deduct for taxes.

Three further adjustments are made to the after-tax income to invested capital. The ongoing depreciation deducted to calculate taxes is added back because it is not a cash expense. The estimated future capital expenditures are then deducted. In this case, it was estimated that depreciation and future capital expenditures would be equal and offsetting into perpetuity, a simplifying adjustment. [Note: Many analysts present ongoing annual capital expenditures as exceeding depreciation due to inflation and cost increases. See Chapter 5 of Financial Valuation Applications and Models, 4th edition.] The final adjustment was a working capital adjustment. The formula for this adjustment is based on industry data, as shown in Table 1.14. These final three adjustments resulted in a calculation of after-tax cash flow to invested capital for each of the three time periods: trailing 12 months, most recent fiscal year, and three-year average. The cash flow was then divided by a risk-adjusted capitalization rate using weighted average cost of capital, which is discussed next, to derive a value of the operations.

TABLE 1.14 Working Capital Adjustment Formula

| Current Year Revenue $\times$ Expected Growth Rate | $=$ Projected Revenue |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Projected Revenue | - Current Year Revenue | $=$ Change in Revenue |
| Change in Revenue | $\div$ Sales to Working Capital Ratio | $=$ Working Capital Adjustment |

EXERCISE 38 Which economic benefit stream(s) can be used for cash flow in a capitalized cash flow method?
a. After-tax income
b. Pre-tax income
c. Net cash flow
d. EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization)
e. Revenues
f. Debt-free net income
g. Debt-free cash flow

### 1.11.4.1.2 Capitalized Cash Flow Method Conclusion of Value on a Marketable,

 Control Interest Basis Dividing the cash flows for the three time periods under analysis resulted in indications of invested capital value of the business enterprise before adjustment for nonoperating/excess assets or subtraction of debt, if any. The Company had excess working capital of $\$ 1,781,159$ at the Valuation Date and no debt. As a result, the application of the capitalization of cash flow method to the three different time periods of Company cash flows indicated a range of values for invested capital and 100 percent equity (since Company had no debt) from $\$ 17,600,000$ to $\$ 21,300,000$. The concluded value was $\$ 20,000,000$ on a marketable, control interest basis, as shown in Table 1.15.TABLE 1.15 Income Approach—Capitalized Cash Flow Method

|  | Trailing 12 Months | Most Recent Fiscal Year | Three-Year Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Invested Capital | \$19,548,552 | \$15,796,176 | \$16,797,823 |
| Add: Excess Working Capital | \$ 1,781,159 | \$ 1,781,159 | \$ 1,781,159 |
| Less: Interest-Bearing Debt | \$ - | \$ | \$ |
| Value on a Marketable, Control Interest Basis | \$21,329,711 | \$17,577,335 | \$18,578,981 |
| Indicated Value of $100 \%$ of the Equity |  | \$20,000,000 |  |

### 1.11.4.2 Discounted Cash Flow Method

EXERCISE 39 When is it more appropriate to use a discounted cash flow method instead of a capitalized cash flow method?


The discounted cash flow method is a multiple period valuation model that converts a future series of cash flow into value by reducing it to present worth at a rate of return (discount rate) that reflects the risk inherent therein. The "cash flow" might be pre-tax, after-tax, debi-free, free cash flow, or some other measure deemed appropriate and adjusted by the analyst. Future income or cash flow is determined through projections provided by the Company.

Management pro ided projections for the Company for the years ending December 31, 20X5, through 20X8. See Exhibit 1.11 for the discounted cash flow method. These projections reflect expected revenue growth and margin improvement associated with a recently executed manufacturing contract with a new customer. Capital expenditure investments made in 20X3 improved production capacity and efficiency such that the facilities can support such growth in production.

The discounted cash flow analysis relied on a WACC of 13 percent and perpetuity growth rate of 4.0 percent. Incremental and excess debt-free working capital were calculated based on a requirement of debt-free working capital as a percent of revenues of 40 percent. Management estimated their tax rate to be 33 percent.

See Exhibit 1.12 for the discounted cash flow method: calculation of incremental working capital.

Adjusted cash flow to invested capital was calculated for each year of the projections and for the terminal year based on subtracting the incremental debt-free working capital requirement and capital expenditures and adding back depreciation and amortization. We used a midyear convention to reflect the fact that earnings
EXHIBIT 1.11 Discounted Cash Flow Method

EXHIBIT 1.11 (Continued)
Notes:
(1) Projections provided by management.
(2) See Exhibit 1.18 for calculation of the weighted average cost of capital.
(3) The long-term growth rate reflects industry growth expectations and projected long-term inflation.
(4) Debt-free working capital requirements based on an analysis of historical requirements and an analysis of the industry. See Exhibit 1.9 for normalized DFNWC requirements;
Exhibit 1.12 for calculation of incremental DFNWC in discounted cash flow analysis.
(5) The capitalization rate equals the discount rate less the long-term growth rate.
(6) Based on projected combined state and federal tax rete per management.
(7) Depreciation expense and capital expenditures are per clie. rit rojections. See Exhibit 1.7 for detail. Depreciation and capital expenditures are expected to be equal in the terminal year.
(8) To compute the terminal value, the terminal year cash fovis divided by the capitalization rate.
(9) See Exhibit 1.12 for calculation of excess DFNWC.
(10) Terminal year assumption is that depreciation will equal to capitit expenditures for simplification of calculation. Projected depreciation is expected to exceed capital expen-
ditures for several years beyond the projection period. See Exhibit 1.13 .
EXHIBIT 1.12 Discounted Cash Flow Method: Calculation of Incremental Working Capital

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Discounted Cash Flow Method |  |  |  |  |
| Calculation of Incremental Working Capital |  |  |  |  |
| Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5 |  |  |  |  |

and cash flow come in throughout the year. In the terminal year, depreciation and amortization were set equal to capital expenditures. Based on management's projected tax depreciation, tax depreciation will actually exceed capital expenditures for several years beyond the discrete projection period. The excess depreciation expense above the terminal year normalized expense will result in tax savings for a few years beyond the discrete projection period. See Exhibit 1.13 for the calculation of the depreciation overhang.

EXHIBIT 1.13 Discounted Cash Flow Method: Calculation of Depreciation Overhang
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Discounted Cash Flow Method
Calculation of Depreciation Overhang
Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20X9 | 20X0 | 20X1 | 20X2 | 20X3 | 20X4 |
| Depreciation, Tax | \$2,313,793 | \$2,506,005 | \$2,694,596 | \$2,832,88 | 2,916,528 | \$2,997,555 |
| Capital Expenditure | 1,821,028 | 1,893,870 | 1,969,624 | 2,048,409 | 2,130,346 | 2,215,560 |
| Depreciation in Excess of Capex | 492,764 | 612,135 | 724,972 | 784,478 | 786,183 | 781,996 |
| Tax Benefit | 162,612 | 202,005 | 239,241 | 258,878 | 259,440 | 258,059 |
| PV Period | 3.83 | 4.83 | 5.83 | 6.83 | 7.83 | 8.83 |
| PV Factor | 0.6259 | 0.5539 | 0.992 | 0.4338 | 0.3839 | 0.3397 |
| Present Value of Tax Benefit | 101,786 | 111,896 | 117,276 | 112,303 | 99,599 | 87,672 |
| Sum of PV of Depreciation Overhang | 630,532 |  |  |  |  |  |

EXERCISE 40 In the terminal year of a discounted cash flow analysis, analysts often use the simplifying assumption that depreciation equals capital expenditures.
a. True
b. False

The value of the depreciation "overhang" was captured by calculating the present value of the tax benefit for the years beyond the discrete projection, as illustrated in Exhibit 1.13. The calculation relies on the same WACC as used in the discounted cash flow analysis. Based on the calculation, the present value of the depreciation overhang-the tax benefit from estimated tax depreciation above capital expendi-tures-is $\$ 630,532$, which is added back to the operating value of the Company in the discounted cash flow analysis.

As discussed in the capitalized cash flow method, the excess debt-free working capital of $\$ 1,781,159$ was added to the operating value of the Company.

The concluded value of the Company based on the discounted cash flow method is $\$ 31,400,000$. See Table 1.16 for a summary conclusion of value under the discounted cash flow method.

TABLE 1.16 Income Approach—Discounted Cash Flow Method

|  | Calculated Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Invested Capital | $\$ 29,029,917$ |
| Add: Excess Working Capital | $\$ 1,781,159$ |
| Add: Present Value Depreciation Overhang | $\$ 630,532$ |
| Less: Interest-Bearing Debt | $\$ 8$ |
| Value on a Marketable, Control Interest Basis | $\underline{\$ 31,441,608}$ |
| Indicated Value of 100\% of the Equity (Rounded) | $\$ 31,400,000$ |

1.11.4.3 Determination of Weighted Average Cost of Capital A number of steps are involved in calculating the weighted average cost of capital (WACC). These steps involve calculating the cost of equity, the cost of debt, and the determination of an optimal capital structure for the Company using industry averages. The WACC formula is:

$$
\mathrm{WACC}=\left(k_{e} \times W_{e}\right)+\left(k_{p} \times W_{p}\right)+\left(k_{d /(p t)}[1-t] \times W_{d} \cdot 1\right.
$$

Where:
WACC = Weighted average cost of capital
$k_{e}=$ Cost of common equity capital
$W_{e}=$ Percentage of common equity in the capital structure, at market value
$k_{p}=$ Cost of preferred equity
$W_{p}=$ Percentage of preferred equit in the capital structure, at market value
$k_{d /(p t)}=$ Cost of debt (pre-tax)
$t=$ Tax rate
$W_{d}=$ Percentage of debt in the capital structure, at market value

EXERCISE 41 When using the direct equity basis instead of the invested capital basis, assumptions et capital structure can be avoided.
a. True
b. False

EXERCISE 42 When using the invested capital basis to determine a control value, the analyst should always use an optimal capital structure in the weighted average cost of capital.
a. True
b. False
1.11.4.3.1 Cost of Equity We used two widely accepted methods to estimate the cost of equity applicable to National Fastener: the modified capital asset pricing model (modified CAPM or MCAPM) and the build-up model.

The modified CAPM can be summarized as follows:

$$
E\left(R_{i}\right)=R_{f}+\beta \times\left(R P_{m}\right)+R P_{s} \pm R P_{c}
$$

Where:
$E\left(R_{i}\right)=$ Expected rate of return on the security i
$R_{f}=$ Rate of return available on a risk-free security as of the valuation date
$\beta=$ Beta
$R P_{m}=$ Equity risk premium (market risk)
$R P_{s}=$ Risk premium for small size
$R P_{c}=$ Risk premium attributable to other company risk factors (companyspecific risk)

We also applied an alternative method of calculating the cost of equity, called the build-up method. The build-up method can be summarized as follows:

$$
E\left(R_{i}\right)=R_{f}+R P_{m}+R P_{s} \pm R P_{i} \pm R P_{c}
$$

Where:
$E\left(R_{i}\right)=$ Expected rate of return on security i
$R_{f}=$ Rate of return available on a risk-fres security as of the valuation date
$R P_{m}=$ Equity risk premium (market ris!
$R P_{s}=$ Risk premium for small size
$R P_{i}=$ Industry risk premium
$R P_{c}=$ Risk premium attributabre to other company risk factors (companyspecific risk)

See Exhibit 1.14 for the cadalation of the cost of equity.

EXERCISE 43 Name the two methods most often used to derive a cost of equity in the income approach.

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$

EXERCISE 44 Should the build-up method and MCAPM rates of return be applied to income or cash flow?

EXHIBIT 1.14 Cost of Equity
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Cost of Equity
Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5
Build-Up Method, Cost of Equity: $K_{e}=R_{f}+R P_{m}+R P_{s}+R P_{i}+R P_{c}$

|  | Historical | Supply Side |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Risk-Free Rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ ) | 2.62\% | 2.62\% |
| (2) Equity Risk Premium ( $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) | 7.00\% | 6.21\% |
| (3) Size Premium ( $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) | 5.78\% | 5.78\% |
| (4) Industry Risk Premium ( $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| (5) Company-Specific Risk Premium ( $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) | 2.00\% | 2.00\% |
|  | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}=17.40 \%$ | 16.61\% |

MCAPM Method, Cost of Equity: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+\left(\beta \times \mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)+\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{c}}$

|  | Historical | Supply Side |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Risk-Free Rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) | 2.62\% | 2.62\% |
| (6) Beta ( $\beta$ ) | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| (2) Equity Risk Premium ( $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) | 7.00\% | 6.21\% |
| (3) Size Premium ( $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) | 5.78\% | 5.78\% |
| (5) Company-Specific Risk Premium ( $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) | 2.00\% | 2.00\% |

Build-Up Method, Based on Duff \& Phelps Data, Size-Specisc Equity Risk Premium
(1) Risk-Free Rate $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$

Equity Risk Premium ( $\mathrm{RP} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}$ )
(7) Size-Specific Equity Risk Premium $\left(\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \quad 13.10 \%$
(4) Industry Risk Premium $\left(\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad 0.00 \%$
(5) Company-Specific Risk Premium ( $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{c}}$ )

MCAPM Method, Based on Duff i helps Data
(1) Risk-Free Rate $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \quad 2.62 \%$
(6) Beta ( $\beta$ ) 0.71
(8) Equity Risk Premium $\left(\mathrm{P} P_{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{\circ} \quad 5.05 \%$
(9) Size Premium over CA1M $\quad 6.10 \%$
(5) Company-Specific $R_{1} k$ Premium $\left(R_{c}\right)$ $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{2.00 \%}{14.32 \%}$

Build-Up Method, Based on Duff \& Phelps Data, Based on Risk Characteristics


## Notes

(1) 20-Year Treasury Bond as of September 1, 20 X 5.
(2) Duff \& Phelps LLC 20X5 Valuation Handbook—Guide to Cost of Capital.
(3) Duff \& Phelps LLC 20X5 Valuation Handbook—Guide to Cost of Capital. Size premium for the 10th Decile (market cap. between $\$ 3.037$ and $\$ 300.725$ million).
(4) Considered data from Duff \& Phelps LLC 20X5 Valuation Handbook—Guide to Cost of Capital, but did not rely on the data because these broad SIC categories are not representative of the risk of the subject company.

EXHIBIT 1.14 (continued)

|  | Companies | Industry Risk Premium for |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Historical | Supply Side |
| SIC Code |  | ERP (7.00\%) | ERP (6.21\%) |
| SIC 34 (Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery, and Transportation Equipment) | 81 | 3.18\% | 2.83\% |
| SIC 371 (Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Equipment) | 61 | 3.62\% | 3.21\% |
| SIC 3711 (Motor Vehicles and Passenger Car Bodies) | 10 | 1.34\% | 1.19\% |
| SIC 3714 (Motor Vehicle Parts and Accessories) | 47 | 4.59\% | 4.07\% |

(5) Based on analysis of company and industry and on the financial and economic environment as of the valuation date.
(6) See Exhibit 1.17 for calculation of beta.
(7) Size-specific equity risk premiums are based on comparison of the Company to risk premium groups presented in Appendix 4 of the Duff and Phelps $20 X 5$ Valuation Handbook—Guide to Cost of Capital (Smoothed Average Equity Risk Premium) Exhibit A.
(8) Market Premium, Duff and Phelps 20X5 Valuation Handbook—Guide to Cost of Capital.
(9) Size-specific equity risk premiums are based on comparison of the Company to risk premium groups presented in Appendix 4 of the Duff and Phelps 20X5 Valuation Handbook-Guide to Cost of Capital (Smoothed Average Premium over CAPM) Exhibit B.
(10) Risk-specific equity risk premiums are based on comparison of the Sompany to risk premium groups presented in Appendix 4 of the Duff and Phelps $20 X 5$ Valuation Fiardbook—Guide to Cost of Capital (Smoothed Average Equity Risk Premium) Exhibit D.

The first step was to begin with the risk-ree rate of return, represented by the yield on long-term (20-year) constant mativity U.S. Treasury coupon bonds of 2.62 percent, as reported in the Federal Reser ee Bulletin at the date of valuation.

EXERCISE 45 Why are long-term 20-year U.S. Treasury coupon bonds most often used for the risl-iree rate of return in both the build-up method and the MCAPM?

The second and third steps are to add the common stock equity risk premium and the size risk premium, both calculated using the Duff \& Phelps LLC 20X5 Valuation Handbook-Guide to Cost of Capital. According to the D\&P Valuation Handbook, the unconditional equity risk premium based on analysis of the historical period from 1926 to 20X4 was 7.00 percent and the unconditional equity risk premium based on the long-term supply-side market equity premium was 6.21 percent. These data are referred to as the CRSP equity risk premiums.

In the case of National Fastener, we applied the size-premium return in excess of CAPM of companies in the 10th decile (i.e., in the smallest decile) from Duff \& Phelps. The source of these data was the Center for Research in Security Prices (CRSP) at the University of Chicago. This includes companies with less than approximately $\$ 300.7$ million in market value of equity. We relied on the data reported in the 20X5 Valuation Handbook-Guide to Cost of Capital. The indicated size premium ( $R P_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) was 5.78 percent.

In the Valuation Handbook, Duff \& Phelps publishes Risk Premium Reports that provide two different types of premia: combined market and size risk premia ("risk premium over the risk-free rate") and beta-adjusted size premia ("risk premia over CAPM"). The data allow for comparative analysis between the subject company and the market data to capture the impact of size differences on risk based on provided measures of size. The Risk Premium Report, which relies on data from 1963 (as opposed to the CRSP data that go back to 1926), excludes speculative startups, distressed companies, high-financial-risk companies, and financial services companies. The Risk Premium Report presents eight measures of size in 25 different size portfolios, with Portfolio 1 including the largest comparies and Portfolio 25 including the smallest companies. The size characteristics include market value of invested capital, book and market value of equity, histriical earnings, and number of employees.

We compared the parameters of the Company to the criteria presented in the Valuation Handbook Risk Premium Reports to identify in which portfolio the Company would fall. The assumption of categor for the factors of Market Value of Equity and Market Value of Invested Capitat is based preliminarily on an estimate of the likely category and refined based or an iterative process. Based on this analysis, we concluded to the combined marlét and size premium of 13.10 percent and the smoothed premium over CAPM of 6.10 percent. The historical market risk premium, for use with the smoothed premium over CAPM in the 20X5 Valuation Handbook's Risk Premium Report exhibits based on data from 1963 to 20X4 was 5.05 percent. See Exhibit 1.15 for comnarison of the subject company to the portfolios in the Risk Premium Report.

We also compared the parameters of the Company to the combined market and size premiums, reflecting risk of the Company based on sales, operating income, and operating margin. The combined risk premium was 11.00 percent based on data in the Valuation Handbook. See Exhibit 1.16.

EXERCISE 46 What benchmark is the Duff \& Phelps common stock equity risk premium return most often based on?
a. S\&P 500
b. New York Stock Exchange
c. Dow Jones Industrial Average
d. Russell 5000

EXHIBIT 1.15 Comparison to the Historical Equity Risk Premiums by Characteristic
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Comparison to Historical Equity Risk Premiums by Characteristic
Based on the Duff \& Phelps LLC 20X5 Valuation Handbook—Guide to Cost of Capital
Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5


## Notes:

(1) See Exhibit 1.25. Implied category assumption is based on reiterative process.
(2) As of September 1, 20 X 5.
(3) Three-Year Average, 20X2 to 20X4.
(4) Market Value of the Equity plus Interest-Earing Debt as of September 1, 20X5. Implied category assumption is based on reiterative process.
(5) Twelve months ended September $1,2 \times 5$.
(6) As of December 31, 20X4, per m.nagement.

EXERCISE 47 in applying a Duff \& Phelps CRSP size risk premium, what are some of the choices available to analysts?
a. 10th-decile annual beta
b. 10th-decile monthly OLS beta
c. 10th-decile monthly sum beta
d. 10A monthly OLS beta
e. 10B monthly OLS beta
f. Micro-cap annual beta
g. Micro-cap monthly OLS beta
h. Micro-cap sum beta
i. 10W monthly OLS beta
j. 10X monthly OLS beta
k. 10Y monthly OLS beta

1. 10 Z monthly OLS beta
m . All of the above

EXHIBIT 1.16 Comparison to Historical Equity Risk Premiums Ranked by Risk Measures
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Comparison to Historical Equity Risk Premiums Ranked by Risk Measures
Based on the Duff \& Phelps LLC 20X5 Valuation Handbook-Guide to Cost of Capital
Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5

## Coefficient of Variation of Operating Margin

(1) Net Sales
(1) Operating Income

| 20X4 | 20X3 | 20X2 | 20X1 | 20X0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $37,135,207$ | $37,117,830$ | $34,223,772$ | $30,915,122$ | $28,520,510$ |
| $2,849,950$ | $3,465,194$ | $2,464,642$ | $1,616,073$ | 832,097 |
| $7.7 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |

Operating Margin
$7.7 \% \quad 9.3 \% \quad 5.2 \% \quad 2.9 \%$
Standard Deviation of

$$
\text { Operating Margin } \quad 2.5 \%
$$

Average Operating Margin 6.5\%
Coefficient of Variation $38.1 \%$
Coefficient of Variation of Return on Book Value of Equity
(1) Book Value
(1) Adjusted Net Income

| 20X4 | 20X3 | 20X2 | 20X1 | 20X0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $25,740,923$ | $24,871,102$ | $23,005,73$ | $22,124,513$ | $21,362,364$ |
| $1,938,288$ | $2,398,487$ | $1,6,3,073$ | $1,113,946$ | 594,096 |
| $7.5 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |

Standard Deviation of ROE
Average ROE
6.5\%

Coefficient of Variation $40.6 \%$
valuation

|  |  |  | Craluation <br> Handbook <br> Exhibit D |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | Subject Co. | Implied | Caregory | Smoothed <br> Ave. Premium |
| Operating Margin | $6.5 \%$ | 20 | $11.67 \%$ |  |
| CV of Operating Margin | $38.1 \%$ | 6 | $11.29 \%$ |  |
| CV of Return on Book Equity | $40.5 \%$ | 13 | $9.81 \%$ |  |
|  |  | Mean | $10.92 \%$ |  |
|  |  | Median | $11.29 \%$ |  |
|  |  | Selected | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 0 \%}$ |  |

Note:
(1) See Exhibits 1.3 and 1.4.

EXERCISE 48 Which of these rates of return are derived using Duff \& Phelps data?
a. Minority rates of return
b. Control rates of return
c. Majority rates of return
d. Neutral

Beta is a measure of the systematic risk of a particular investment relative to the market for all investment assets. Betas for each of the guideline companies were
obtained from a third-party licensed database. Based on these data (see Exhibit 1.17), we concluded to an unlevered beta of 0.61 . The identified unlevered beta was then levered at the estimated long-term capital structure of National Fastener. Management is in the process of obtaining financing for the Company's operations at an estimated level of 20 percent of the invested capital of the business. This level of financing is within the range of the debt to invested capital of the guideline companies and just slightly above the median level of debt. It is also reasonable considering analysis of industry levels of debt financing.

EXHIBIT 1.17 Industry Beta Analysis
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Industry Beta Analysis
Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5

| Ticker | Guideline Companies | Levered Beta (1) | Interest- <br> Bearing <br> Debt <br> (\$000s) | \% | Market <br> Value of Equity (\$000s) | \% | Total Invested Capital (\$000s) | Tax <br> Rate | Unlevered Beta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EML | The Eastern Co. | 0.39 | 3,571 | 3.4\% | 101,295 | 96.6\% | 104,866 | 32.0\% | 0.38 |
| PFIN | P \& F Industries, Inc. | 0.27 | 21,660 | 38.4\% | 34,703 | $616 \%$ | 56,363 | 38.0\% | 0.19 |
| STS | Supreme Industries, Inc. | 0.64 | 8,667 | 6.5\% | 125,046 | , 3.5\% | 133,713 | 31.8\% | 0.61 |
| SCX | The L.S. Starrett Co. | 1.21 | 20,104 | 17.4\% | 95,705 | 22.6\% | 115,809 | 38.0\% | 1.07 |
| TWIN | Twin Disc, Inc. | nmf | 13,802 | 8.3\% | 153,192 | 91.7\% | 166,894 | 28.4\% | nmf |
| VC | Visteon Corp. | 1.73 | 18,900 | 8.6\% | 200164 | 91.4\% | 219,064 | 35.8\% | 1.63 |
|  |  |  | Median | $8.4 \%$ |  | $91.6 \%$ |  |  | $0.61$ |
|  |  |  | Mean | $1: 8 \%$ |  | $86.2 \%$ |  |  | $0.78$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Selec | d Beta: | 0.61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ated Con | pany Leve | d Beta: | $\underline{\underline{0.71}}$ |

## Assumptions:

(2) Estimated Company Capital Structure
$\%$ of Debt to Invested Capital $200 \%$
$\%$ of Equity to Invested Capital $8 \mathrm{C} 0 \%$
(3) Estimated Effective Tax Rate $\quad 33.0 \%$

Subject Company Levered Beta $=$ Unlevered Beta $\times[1+[($ Debt/Equity $) \times(1-$ Tax Rate $)]]$

## Notes

(1) As reported in third-party licensed database.
(2) Based on analysis of the industry and discussions with management regarding plans to finance the operations.
(3) Based on projected combined state and federal tax rate per management.

Based on an assumption of 20 percent debt and 80 percent equity as a percent of invested capital, the calculated levered beta was 0.71 .

EXERCISE 49 When using the modified capital asset pricing model (MCAPM) to derive an equity cost of capital for a control interest, it is sometimes necessary to adjust beta for differences between the capital structure of the public companies and the capital structure of the subject company being valued. This is not necessary if the capital structure is assumed to be the same. Given
the following information, calculate the unlevered and relevered beta using the Hamada formula.
a. Average beta of guideline public companies $=1.4$

Tax rate $=40 \%$
Market value capital structure $=35 \%$ debt, $65 \%$ equity
The formula for unlevered beta is:

$$
\mathrm{Bu}=\mathrm{Bl} /(1+(1-\mathrm{t})(\mathrm{Wd} / \mathrm{We}))
$$

Where:

$$
\mathrm{Bu}=\mathrm{Beta} \text { unlevered }
$$

$\mathrm{Bl}=$ Beta levered
$t=$ Tax rate for the company
Wd = Percentage of debt in the capital structure (at maiket value)
We = Percentage of equity in the capital structure (at market value)
b. Assuming that National Fastener has a capital structure of 25 percent debt and 75 percent equity, what would be the beta
The formula to relever the beta is:

$$
\mathrm{Bl}=\mathrm{Bu}(1+(1-\mathrm{t})(\mathrm{Wd} / \mathrm{We}))
$$

For National Fastener, we considered industry risk premium for SIC codes 34, Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment; 371, Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Equipment; 3711, Motor Vehicles and Passenger Car Bodies; and 3714, Motor Vehicle Parts and Accessories. We did not rely on the data because these broad SIC categories are not representative of the risk of the subject company. While we did not rely on the industry risk premium in the BUM, we did not change he $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for the model but relied on the same $\mathrm{RP}_{\mathrm{c}}$ as used in the other cost of capital models. Furthermore, industry risk premium is not appropriate for use in the Duff \& Phelps Risk Premium Report's combined market and size risk premia data and the risk premium based on sales, operating income, and operating margin.

The final step is to add a company-specific premium that takes into account additional risks specific to the Company. These additional risks include:

- Company's depth of management. The Company relies on key executive leadership without a formal succession plan.
- The growth potential in the Company's market. The Company is projected to grow in the next few years through the acquisition of a new customer.
- The stability of the Company's earnings and gross profits. The Company has a consistent history of generating profits.
- The financial structure of the Company. The Company is financially sound.
- The geographic location of the Company. The Company is located in Anycity, Anystate. (See earlier discussion on the local economy.)
- The Company's order backlogs. The Company has a sufficient amount of contract backlogs.
- The diversification of the Company's customer base. The majority of the Company's revenue is generated from only a few customers. The Company could be negatively impacted should any of these customers be lost.
After considering the financial ratio analysis and these risk factors, plus the size of the Company as compared to the Duff \& Phelps companies, it is our opinion that a company-specific premium of 2 percent is appropriate for the Company.

EXERCISE 50 A list of risk factors was previously presented for National Fastener to calculate the specific risk premium. Discuss the different methods for determining what the actual company-specific risk premium should be.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

EXERCISE 51 Company specific risk Nremiums can be determined from Duff \& Phelps data.
a. True
b. False

EXERCISE 52 Assume that the Duff \& Phelps historical CRSP equity risk premium is 7 percent and the 10 th-decile size premium is 5.78 percent. Assume that the relevered beta of the guideline companies is 0.71 under MCAPM and that the industry risk premium is not relied upon in the BUM. Calculate the cost of equity for National Fastener under the MCAPM and BUM methods.

EXERCISE 53 Assume that the Duff \& Phelps supply side CRSP equity risk premium is 6.21 percent and the 10 th-decile size premium is 5.78 percent. Assume that the relevered beta of the guideline companies is 0.71 under MCAPM and that the industry risk premium is not relied upon in the BUM. Calculate the cost of equity for National Fastener under the MCAPM and BUM methods.

EXERCISE 54 Assume that the Duff \& Phelps historical market risk premium for use with the smoothed premium over CAPM is 5.05 percent and the 25 thsize category premium is 6.10 percent. Calculate the cost of equity for National Fastener.

EXERCISE 55 Assume that the Duff \& Phelps combined equity risk premium and size premium for the 25 th-size category is 13.10 percent. Calculate the cost of equity for National Fastener.

EXERCISE 56 In addition to equity risk premium based on eight alternative measures of size, Duff \& Phelps presents risk premium data based on three measures of risk that are not based initially on size. Name those three measures of risk.
1.
2.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. $\qquad$

EXERCISE 57 Assume that the anaijsis using the three alternative measures of risk from Duff \& Phelps results in a cost of equity of 15.62 percent. What is the range of the seven costs of equity for National Fastener and what is the conclusion for the cost of equitr? Explain your reasons and support.

Range of costs of equity: ___ \% to ___ \%
Concluded cost of equity ___ \%
Supporting reasens:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Given the range of costs of equity, we selected 16 percent (rounded) for National Fastener.
1.11.4.3.2 Cost of Debt Next, we determined the cost of debt. The Company has not historically relied on debt financing but intends to do so in the future. Based on the financial strength of the Company and discussions with management regarding expected lending rates, the Company's cost of debt was assumed to be 4.15 percent based on the Moody's Aaa rate as of September 1, 20X5. From this rate, which is called the debt rate, a 33 percent tax rate is deducted. The result is the after-tax cost of debt of 2.78 percent.

EXERCISE 58 Which of these factors causes the cost of debt to be taxaffected?
a. Debt principal is tax deductible.
b. Interest expense is tax deductible.
c. It should not be tax-affected since equity is not tax-affected.
d. Debt and interest are tax deductible.
1.11.4.3.3 Weighted Average Cost of Capital Finally, we determined the WACC using the debt and equity rates that were already calculated. The equity discount rate is multiplied by an equity percentage, and the debt discount rate is multiplied by a debt percentage as determined based on average capital structure for a company in this industry. Based on analysis of National Fastener's financial statements, the guideline companies, and statements by National Fastener's management that they would be adding financing (already bank approved) in the future, a normalized capital structure of 20.0 percent cebt and 80.0 percent equity was assumed for National Fastener as of Sertember 1, 20X5. The percentages were then multiplied by the equity and ate-tax debt discount rates calculated earlier ( 16 percent and 2.78 percent) and then summed to arrive at the WACC discount rate. This rate was calculatea to be 13 percent. See Exhibit 1.18.

EXHIBIT 1.18 Weighted Average Cost it Capital
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Weighted Average Cost of Capital
Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5

| (1) Cost of Equity <br> After-Tax Cost of Debt: $k_{d}=K_{b}(1-t)$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 16.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) Borrowing Rate ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) |  | 4.15\% |
| (3) Estimated lax Rate (t) |  | 33.0\% |
| Cost of Debt | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ | 2.78\% |

Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)
(4) Debt
(4) Common Equity

| Capital <br> Structure | Cost | Weighted <br> Cost |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $20.0 \%$ | $2.78 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ |
| $80.0 \%$ | $16.00 \%$ | $12.80 \%$ |
|  | WACC $=$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 6 \%}$ |
|  | Rounded $=$ | $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{1 3}} .00 \%}$ |

## Notes:

(1) See Exhibit 1.14.
(2) Based on Moody's Aaa rate as of September 1, 20X5.
(3) Based on projected combined state and federal tax rate per management.
(4) Based on analysis of the industry and discussions with management regarding plans to finance the operations.

EXERCISE 59 Using the information in the text, calculate the weighted average cost of capital for National Fastener.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

EXERCISE 60 Which methods can be used to determine the weights in the weighted average cost of capital?
a. Iterative process
b. Guideline public companies
c. Aggregated public industry data
d. Risk Management Associates
e. Book values
f. Anticipated capital structure

EXERCISE 61 Explain the iterative proces for determining the weights in the weighted average cost of capital.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

EXERCISE 62 Changing the amount of debt in the capital structure of the company has no effect on the return on equity.
a. True
b. False

EXERCISE 63 When valuing a controlling interest in a company, should you use the optimal capital structure based on public data or the capital structure anticipated to be employed by the owner of the company?

From this amount, a 4 percent growth factor is deducted to arrive at a net cash flow capitalization rate for the next year. The 4 percent growth factor is a long-term growth component reflecting inflationary and other growth used to adjust the capitalization rate. It was also based on management's projection of growth.

Under the capitalization of cash flow method, the indicated amount of cash flow, estimated three different ways (trailing 12 months, most recent fiscal year, and straight historical average of normalized earnings for past three years), was grown by the anticipated long-term average growth rate of 4 percent; then capitalized at the capitalization rate, here 9 percent. The same methodology was used to capitalize cash flow in the terminal year of the discounted cash flow method.

EXERCISE 64 Calculate the capitalization rate from the information in the text and calculate the value based on the trailing 12 months cash flow.


EXERCISE 65 Items used to support grow rates in the capitalized cash flow method of the income approach incluâ:
a. Inflation
b. Nominal gross domestic product
c. Industry growth rate
d. Actual historical company growth rate
e. All of the above

### 1.11.5 Market Approach

1.11.5.1 Guideline Public Company Method A market approach using guideline public companies requires estimates of a multiple derived from publicly traded guideline companies and ongoing earnings (or a variation thereof, such as EBITDA) for the subject entity.
1.11.5.1.1 Search for Guideline Public Companies Guideline public companies can provide a reasonable basis for comparison to the relevant investment characteristics of the company being valued. They are most often publicly traded companies in the same or similar business as the valuation subject. Guideline companies are used as a basis to develop valuation conclusions with respect to a subject company under the presumption that a similar market may exist for the subject company as exists for the guideline companies.

Ideal guideline companies are in the same or similar business as the company being valued. However, if there is insufficient evidence in the same or similar business, an option may be to consider companies with an underlying similarity of relevant investment characteristics such as markets, products, growth, cyclical variability, and
other salient factors. [Note: The selection of businesses in a completely different area may be difficult to support.]

We performed an independent search for guideline companies using a database of public companies. Some of the criteria were as follows:

- Companies listed under SIC codes 3451 (Screw Machine Products), 3452 (Bolts, Nuts, Screws, Rivets, and Washers), and 3714 (Motor Vehicle Parts and Accessories)
- A general search for "fastener" in company descriptions
- Confirmation that adequate financial data were available for the company
- Confirmation that the company's stock was actively traded on an exchange or in the over-the-counter market with price data available on a daily basis
We also considered a list of competitors and other companies provided by management. After discussing the prospective guideline companies with management, we concluded that six guideline public companies were similar enough to include in our analysis. These companies are discussed briefly below. ${ }^{25}$

The Eastern Co. (NASDAQ: EML)—Designs, manufactures and markets industrial and vehicular hardware throughout North America; manufactures electronic and mechanical locking devices, both keyed and keyless, for the computer, electronics, vending, and gaming industries; produces expansion shells for use in supporting the roofs of underground mines; and manufactures specialty malleable and ductile iron castings. Eastern's TTM revenues as of Jui 4 20X5, were $\$ 144.1$ million.

P\&F Industries, Inc. (NASDAQ: PFIN)-Imports, manufactures, and sells pneumatic hand tools primarily to the retail, industrial, and automotive markets. P\&F also manufactures and distributes its ow hime of industrial pneumatic tools and parts to refineries, chemical plants, power generation facilities, heavy construction enterprises, and oil and mining companies. In addition, P\&F is a developer, importer, and manufacturer of hardware for fencing, patio products, and door and window accessories. Its TTM revenues as dt fugust 31, 20X5, were $\$ 83.2$ million.

Supreme Industries, Inc. (NYSE: STS)—Manufactures specialized commercial vehicles, including truck hodies, trolleys, and specialty vehicles that are attached to a truck chassis. Sone examples of specialized commercial vehicles are dump bodies, utility bodies, and garbage packers. As of June 27, 20X5, Supreme's TTM revenues were $\$ 257.3$ million.

The L.S. Starrett Co. (NYSE: SCX)—Manufactures various measuring and cutting products throughout the world, including North America, the United Kingdom, Brazil, and China. Some of its products include precision tools, electronic gauges, optical vision and laser measuring equipment, custom engineered granite solutions, tape measures, levels, and band saw blades. TTM revenues as of August 31, 20X5, were $\$ 241.6$ million.

Twin Disc, Inc. (NASDAQ: TWIN)—Designs, manufactures, and sells marine and heavy-duty off-highway power transmission equipment. Products offered include marine transmissions, surface drivers, propellers, and boat management systems as well as power-shift transmissions, hydraulic torque converters, power takeoffs, industrial clutches, and controls systems. Its TTM revenues as of August 31, 20X5, were $\$ 265.8$ million.

[^12]Visteon Corp. (NYSE: VC)—Designs, engineers, and manufactures products for a wide range of original equipment vehicle manufacturers, including BMW, Ford, General Motors, Hyundai, Renault, and Toyota. Visteon's products include vehicle cockpit electronics, such as infotainment systems, audio systems, climate controls, and electronic control modules, and thermal energy management products, which include climate air handling modules, powertrain cooling modules, and engine induction systems. Its TTM revenues as of August 31, 20X5, were $\$ 406.7$ million.

EXERCISE 66 Size is often a consideration in selecting guideline public companies. The general criterion for using size as a selection parameter is:
a. Two times
b. Five times
c. Ten times
d. None of the above

EXERCISE 67 In the valuation of National Fastener, only one company, P\&F Industries, Inc., was comparable in size, but all the suideline companies operate in the same industry and were not considered too big to provide growth, margin, and multiple data for National Fastener Given that fact, which option would probably result in the best presentation of the GPCM in the valuation of National Fastener?
a. Only use P\&F Industries.
b. Use all guideline public companies.
c. Reject the guideline public company method.
d. Use the guideline public company method but only as a reasonableness test for the other approaches.

EXERCISE 68 जuideline public company methods are not applicable to smaller businesses such as National Fastener.
a. True
b. False

EXERCISE 69 Which initial selection criteria are generally used by analysts in choosing guideline public companies?
a. Size
b. Return on equity
c. Profit margin
d. Industry similarity
e. Similar products and services
f. Growth rates
g. Investors' similarities

We have chosen to use four multiples to value the Company: price to earnings and MVIC to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA), to earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT), and to revenues. We also believe that the earnings, EBITDA, EBIT, and revenue multiples are appropriate because the Company has a strong income statement and is profitable. We have calculated trailing 12 months, most recent fiscal year, and three-year multiples because of the cyclical nature of the industry. Adjustments have been made to the financial statements of the guideline companies to reflect nonrecurring items. A summary of guideline company multiples is presented in Exhibit 1.19. A comparative financial analysis of guideline companies is presented in Exhibit 1.20. A summary of historical financial data, margins, and ratios for each of the guideline companies is presented in Exhibits 1.21 a through f .

EXHIBIT 1.19 Guideline Public Company Method: Ranking of Market Multiples
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Guideline Public Company Method
Ranking of Market Multiples

|  | Most Recent 12 Montrs |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Price to <br> Earnings | Net Revenues | EBIT | EBITDA |
| The Eastern Co. | 17.1 | 0.73 | 11.72 | 8.28 |
| P \& F Industries, Inc. | 10.4 | 0.68 | 9.21 | 6.17 |
| Supreme Industries, Inc. | 12.2 | 0.52 | 8.54 | 6.94 |
| The L.S. Starrett Co. | 15.5 | 0.40 | 10.88 | 5.98 |
| Twin Disc, Inc. | 11.0 | 0.63 | 8.34 | 5.53 |
| Visteon Corp. | 10.1 | 0.54 | 6.74 | 4.78 |
|  |  | 0.60 | 9.24 | 6.28 |
|  | Mean | 12.7 | 0.58 | 8.88 |
| Median | 11.6 | $16.4 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ |


|  | $1$ | Most Recent Fiscal Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Price to | Invested Capital to |  |  |
|  | Earnings | Net Revenues | EBIT | EBITDA |
| The Eastern Co. | 13.1 | 0.74 | 8.82 | 6.82 |
| P \& F Industries, Inc. | 15.1 | 0.75 | 13.29 | 8.53 |
| Supreme Industries, Inc. | 14.6 | 0.57 | 10.16 | 7.91 |
| The L.S. Starrett Co. | 15.5 | 0.48 | 10.88 | 5.98 |
| Twin Disc, Inc. | 11.0 | 0.63 | 8.34 | 5.53 |
| Visteon Corp. | 10.0 | 0.58 | 6.44 | 4.61 |
| Mean | 13.2 | 0.63 | 9.66 | 6.56 |
| Median | 13.8 | 0.61 | 9.49 | 6.40 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 17.1\% | 17.0\% | 24.4\% | 22.6\% |


|  | Three-Year Averages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Price to <br> Earnings | Invested Capital to |  |  |
|  | 12.9 | 0.71 | EBIT Revenues | EBITDA |
| The Eastern Co. | 13.7 | 0.80 | 8.67 | 6.83 |
| P \& F Industries, Inc. | 13.8 | 0.56 | 12.83 | 8.56 |
| Supreme Industries, Inc. | 20.3 | 0.47 | 9.22 | 7.39 |
| The L.S. Starrett Co. | 17.2 | 0.61 | 13.71 | 6.55 |
| Twin Disc, Inc. | 12.5 | 0.67 | 11.62 | 6.70 |
| Visteon Corp. | 15.1 | 0.64 | 8.03 | 5.42 |
|  | Mean | Median | 13.8 | 0.64 |
| Coefficient of Variation | $20.0 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | 10.68 | 6.91 |
|  |  |  | 10.42 | 6.77 |
|  |  |  | $22.1 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |

EXHIBIT 1.20 Guideline Public Company Method: Comparative Financial Analysis

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. Guideline Public Company Method Comparative Financial Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$000s) | Subject | Mean | Median | EML | PFIN | STS | SCX | TWIN | VC |
| Comparative Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenues | 35,854 | 233,104 | 249,402 | 144,111 | 83,221 | 257,254 | 241,550 | 265,790 | 406,700 |
| Total Assets | 29,880 | 170,255 | 166,305 | 120,337 | 75,567 | 116,593 | 212,272 | 249,862 | 246,900 |
| Total Market Capitalization | 30,100 | 132,785 | 124,761 | 104,866 | 56,363 | 133,713 | 115,809 | 166,894 | 219,064 |
| Asset Management Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt-Free Working Cap Turnover | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| Asset Turnover | 1.2 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Liquidity Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt-Free Current Ratio | 7.1 |  | 4.41 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 4.0 |
| Debt-Free Quick Ratio | 4.9 | 2.27 | 2.24 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 3.5 |
| Leverage Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt to Equity (Book) | 0.0\% | 17.9\% | 12.0\% | 4.8\% | 51.2\% | 10.0\% | 17.6\% | 9.9\% | 14.1\% |
| Debt to Capital (Book) | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 10.7\% | 4.5\% | 33.9\% | 9.1\% | 14.9\% | 9.0\% | 12.3\% |
| Times Interest Earned | N/M | 23.3 | 21.7 | 40.3 | 8.1 | 24.3 | 14.9 | 33.0 | 19.1 |
| Profitability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Profit Margin | 6.3\% | 4.1\% | 4.1\% |  | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.6\% | 5.2\% | 4.9\% |
| EBIT Margin | 8.6\% | 6.6\% | 6.8\% | 6.2\% | 7.4\% | 6.1\% | 4.4\% | 7.5\% | 8.0\% |
| EBITDA Margin | 12.1\% | 9.6\% | 9.9\% | 8.8\% | 11.0\% | 7.5\% | 8.0\% | 11.3\% | 11.3\% |
| Return on Assets | 7.5\% | 6.1\% | 5.5\% | 5.2\% | 5.5\% | 9.3\% | 3.0\% | 5.5\% | 7.9\% |
| Return on Common Equity (Book) | 8.6\% | 10.4\% | 8.8\% | 7.5\% | 8.0\% | 12.4\% | 4.9\% | 9.5\% | 19.8\% |
| Profitability (3-Year Averages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Profit Margin | 5.5\% | 3.8\% | 3.7\% | 5.3\% | 3.6\% | 3.8\% | 1.9\% | 3.3\% | 4.9\% |
| EBIT Margin | 8.2\% | 6.3\% | 6.1\% | 8.2\% | 6.3\% | 6.0\% | 3.5\% | 5.3\% | 8.3\% |
| EBITDA Margin | 11.3\% | 9.4\% | 9.3\% | 10.4\% | 9.4\% | 7.5\% | 7.2\% | 9.2\% | 12.4\% |
| 3-Year Historical Annual Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Revenues | 4.2\% | 2.8\% | -0.5\% | -5.4\% | 11.9\% | -0.6\% | -0.5\% | -3.5\% | 14.6\% |
| Net Income | 7.0\% | 54.2\% | 14.4\% | -5.8\% | 5.1\% | 6.7\% | 258.5\% | 38.7\% | 22.2\% |
| Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes | 7.4\% | 32.3\% | 14.1\% | -7.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.9\% | 147.3\% | 23.7\% | 24.3\% |
| Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | 8.9\% | 9.8\% | 7.8\% | -5.4\% | 3.3\% | 2.0\% | 30.2\% | 12.3\% | 16.6\% |

EXHIBIT 1.21 Guideline Public Company Method: Detail by Company
The Eastern Co. EML

| FINANCIAL <br> STATEMENT DATA (Tho. \$) | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { 20X5/06 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fiscal Year Ended |  |  | 'X2-'X4 <br> Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20X4/12 | 20X3/12 | 20X2/12 |  |
| Net Revenues | 144,111 | 140,825 | 142,458 | 157,509 | -5.4\% |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 3,722 | 3,486 | 2,825 | 3,440 | 0.7\% |
| Interest Expense | 222 | 255 | 323 | 369 | -17.0\% |
| Earnings Before Taxes | 8,707 | 11,529 | 10,114 | 13,225 | -6.6\% |
| Net Income | 5,924 | 7,661 | 6,902 | 8,626 | -5.8\% |
| Net Profit Margin | 4.1\% | 5.4\% | 4.8\% | 5.5\% |  |
| Effective Tax Rate | 32.0\% | 33.5\% | 31.8\% | 34.8\% |  |
| Cash, Equiv., Mkt. Sec. \& Accts Rec. | 33,266 | 32,899 | 36,273 | 36,851 | -5.5\% |
| Total Current Assets | 71,251 | 71,291 | 70,994 | 71,824 | -0.4\% |
| Total Current Liabilities | 12,961 | 13,446 | 13,615 | 14,904 | -5.0\% |
| Net Working Capital | 58,290 | 57,845 | 57,379 | 56,920 | 0.8\% |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 1,071 | 1,071 | 1,786 | 1,429 | -13.4\% |
| Debt-Free Net Working Capital | 59,361 | 58,916 | 59,105 | 58,349 | 0.5\% |
| Total Assets | 120,337 | 121,271 | 113,858 | 115,854 | 2.3\% |
| Average Total Assets | 117,677 | 117,564 | 114,856 |  |  |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 2,500 | 3,2.4 | 4,286 | 6,071 | -27.2\% |
| Common Equity | 75,137 | 24,9\%5 | 81,505 | 71,582 | 2.3\% |
| Average Common Equity | 79,400 | 78,240 | 76,543 |  |  |
| Interest-Bearing Debt to Invested Capital | 4.5\% | 5.4\% | 6.9\% | 9.5\% |  |
| ADJUSTED EARNINGS (Tho. \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings Before Taxes (as reported) | 8.4 | 11,529 | 10,114 | 13,225 | -6.6\% |
| Gain (loss) on sale of equipment and other assets | - (2) | (69) | (116) | 2 |  |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | (13) | (38) | (106) | (147) |  |
| Total Adjustments | 15 | 107 | 223 | 146 |  |
| Adjusted Earnings Before Taxes | 8,722 | 11,636 | 10,337 | 13,370 | -6.7\% |
| Estimated Income Tax Rate | 32.0\% | 33.5\% | 31.8\% | 34.8\% |  |
| Adjusted Net Income | 5,934 | 7,732 | 7,054 | 8,721 | -5.8\% |
| Adjusted Net Profit Margin | 4.1\% | 5.5\% | 5.0\% | 5.5\% |  |
| Adjusted Debt-Free Net Income | 6,085 | 7,902 | 7,274 | 8,962 | -6.1\% |
| Adj. Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes | 8,944 | 11,890 | 10,659 | 13,740 | -7.0\% |
| Adjusted EBIT Margin | 6.2\% | 8.4\% | 7.5\% | 8.7\% |  |
| Adj. Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | 12,666 | 15,377 | 13,485 | 17,179 | -5.4\% |
| Adjusted EbITDA Margin | 8.8\% | 10.9\% | 9.5\% | 10.9\% |  |

## The Eastern Co. EML

| MARKET | At <br> CAPITALIZATION (Tho. \$) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 1,071 |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | $\underline{2,500}$ |
| Total Interest-Bearing Debt | $\underline{3,571}$ |
| Preferred Equity (Book) | $\underline{101,295}$ |
| Common Equity | $\underline{101,295}$ |
| Total Equity | 104,866 |
| Total Invested Capital | 6,245 |
| Shares Outstanding at July 29, 20X5 | $\$ 16.22$ |


| FINANCIAL RATIOS | Most <br> Recent Year | 3-Year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Debt-Free Working Capital Turnover | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Asset Turnover | 1.2 |  |
| Debt-Free Current Ratio | 6.0 |  |
| Debt-Free Quick Ratio | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Debt to Equity (Book) | $4.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| Debt to Capital (Book) | $4.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| Times Interest Earned | 40.3 | 39.0 |
| Net Profit Margin | 4.5 | $5.3 \%$ |
| EBIT Margin | $5.2 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| EBITDA Margin | $5.8 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| Return on Assets | $5.2 \%$ |  |
| Return on Common Equity (Book) | $7.5 \%$ |  |


| MARKET MULTIPLES | Most Recent 12 Months |  | Most Recent Fiscal Year |  | 3-Year Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple |
| Price/Earnings | 5,934 | 17.1 | 7,732 | 13.1 | 7,836 | 12.9 |
| Price/Book (Common Equity) | 75,137 | 1.3 | 74,975 | 1.4 | N/M | N/M |
| Invested Capital/Revenues | 144,111 | 0.7 | 140,825 | 0.7 | 146,931 | 0.7 |
| Invested Capital/EBIT | 8,944 | 11.7 | 11,890 | 8.8 | 12,096 | 8.7 |
| Invested Capital/EBITDA | 12,666 | 8.3 | 15,377 | 6.8 | 15,347 | 6.8 |

## P \& F Industries, Inc.

## PFIN

| FINANCIAL <br> STATEMENT DATA (Tho. \$) | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { 20X5/06 } \end{aligned}$ | Fiscal Year Ended |  |  | 'X2-'X4 Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20X4/12 | 20X3/12 | 20X2/12 |  |
| Net Revenues | 83,221 | 75,035 | 76,066 | 59,871 | 11.9\% |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 3,016 | 2,363 | 1,912 | 2,307 | 1.2\% |
| Interest Expense | 756 | 540 | 490 | 526 | 1.3\% |
| Earnings Before Taxes | 5,297 | 3,777 | 4,604 | 3,296 | 7.0\% |
| Net Income | 3,139 | 2,080 | 3,225 | 5,441 | -38.2\% |
| Net Profit Margin | 3.8\% | 2.8\% | 4.2\% | 9.1\% |  |
| Effective Tax Rate | 40.7\% | 44.9\% | 30.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Cash, Equiv., Mkt. Sec. \& Accts Rec. | 13,369 | 10,558 | 9,152 | 7,370 | 19.7\% |
| Total Current Assets | 41,218 | 37,553 | 34,123 | 33,129 | 6.5\% |
| Total Current Liabilities | 24,049 | 23,626 | 7,346 | 12,428 | 37.9\% |
| Net Working Capital | 17,169 | 13,927 | 26,777 | 20,701 | -18.0\% |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 15,414 | 14,984 | 820 | 3,253 | 114.6\% |
| Debt-Free Net Working Capital | 32,583 | 28,911 | 27,547 | 23,954 | 9.9\% |
| Total Assets | 75,567 | 73,076 | 33,241 | 55,157 | 15.1\% |
| Average Total Assets | 68,688 | 63,159 | 34,199 |  |  |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 6,246 | 6,49 | 6,903 | 7,363 | -6.1\% |
| Common Equity | 42,269 | 139,9\%1 | 38,730 | 35,088 | 6.8\% |
| Average Common Equity | 41,451 | 39,361 | 36,909 |  |  |
| Interest-Bearing Debt to Invested Capital | 33.9\% | 34.9\% | 16.6\% | 23.2\% |  |

ADJUSTED EARNINGS (Tho. \$)

| Earnings Before Taxes (as reported) | 5,297 | 3,777 | 4,604 | 3,296 | $7.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loss on sale of fixed assets | $0(18)$ | $(14)$ | $(7)$ | $(2)$ |  |
| Recovery of (provision for) losses on A/R, net | $(47)$ |  | 90 | 42 | $(53)$ |
| Total Adjustments | 65 | $(76)$ | $(35)$ | 55 |  |
| Adjusted Earnings Before Taxes | 5,362 |  | 3,701 | 4,569 | 3,351 |
| Estimated Income Tax Rate | $38.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Adjusted Net Income | 3,327 | 2,296 | 3,200 | 2,079 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Adjusted Net Profit Margin | $4.0 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |  |
| Adjusted Debt-Free Net Income | 3,796 | 2,631 | 3,544 | 2,405 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Adj. Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes | 6,118 | 4,241 | 5,059 | 3,877 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Adjusted EbIT Margin | $7.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |  |
| Adj. Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | 9,134 | 6,604 | 6,971 | 6,184 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Adjusted EBITDA Margin | $11.0 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |  |

P \& F Industries, Inc.

## PFIN

| MARKET | At |
| :--- | ---: |
| CAPITALIZATION (Tho. \$) | 20X5/06 |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 15,414 |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 6,246 |
| Total Interest-Bearing Debt | 21,660 |
| Preferred Equity (Book) | 0 |
| Common Equity | 34,703 |
| Total Equity | 34,703 |
| Total Invested Capital | 56,363 |
| Shares Outstanding at August 12, 20X5 | 3,615 |
| Price at September 1, 20X5 | $\$ 9.60$ |


| FINANCIAL RATIOS | Most <br> Recent Year | 3-Year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Debt-Free Working Capital Turnover | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Asset Turnover | 1.2 |  |
| Debt-Free Current Ratio | 4.8 |  |
| Debt-Free Quick Ratio | 1.5 | 1.2 .1 |
| Debt to Equity (Book) | $31.2 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Debt to Capital (Book) | $24.9 \%$ |  |
| Times Interest Earned | $+.9 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Net Profit Margin | $7.1 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| EBIT Margin | $11.0 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| EBITDA Margin | $5.5 \%$ |  |
| Return on Assets | $8.0 \%$ |  |
| Return on Common Equity (Book) |  |  |


|  | Most Recent $\mathbf{1 2}$ Months |  |  | Most Recent Fiscal Year |  |  | 3-Year Average |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARKET MULTIPLES | Parameter | Multiple |  | Parameter | Multiple |  | Parameter | Multiple |
| Price/Earnings | 3,327 | 10.4 |  | 2,296 | 15.1 |  | 2,525 | 13.7 |
| Price/Book (Common Equity) | 42,269 | 0.8 |  | 39,991 | 0.9 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invested Capital/Revenues | 83,221 | 0.7 |  | 75,035 | 0.8 | 70,324 | 0.8 |  |
| Invested Capital/EBIT | 6,118 | 9.2 |  | 4,241 | 13.3 | 4,392 | 12.8 |  |
| Invested Capital/EBITDA | 9,134 | 6.2 |  | 6,604 | 8.5 | 6,586 | 8.6 |  |

## Supreme Industries, Inc. STS

| FINANCIAL <br> STATEMENT DATA (Tho. \$) | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { 20X5/06 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fiscal Year Ended |  |  | 'X2-'X4 <br> Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20X4/12 | 20X3/12 | 20X2/12 |  |
| Net Revenues | 257,254 | 236,309 | 246,806 | 239,111 | -0.6\% |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 3,626 | 3,746 | 3,695 | 3,314 | 6.3\% |
| Interest Expense | 644 | 526 | 505 | 783 | -18.1\% |
| Earnings Before Taxes | 15,057 | 12,471 | 17,122 | 12,474 | 0.0\% |
| Net Income | 10,270 | 8,470 | 11,198 | 12,409 | -17.4\% |
| Net Profit Margin | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.5\% | 5.2\% |  |
| Effective Tax Rate | 31.8\% | 32.1\% | 34.6\% | 0.5\% |  |
| Cash, Equiv., Mkt. Sec. \& Accts Rec. | 32,307 | 33,469 | 28,383 | 21,728 | 24.1\% |
| Total Current Assets | 67,924 | 63,101 | 65,923 | 61,007 | 1.7\% |
| Total Current Liabilities | 18,652 | 18,653 | 28,343 | 22,363 | -8.7\% |
| Net Working Capital | 49,272 | 44,449 | 37,580 | 38,644 | 7.2\% |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 667 | 667 | 667 | 17 | 527.4\% |
| Debt-Free Net Working Capital | 49,938 | 45,116 | 38,247 | 38,661 | 8.0\% |
| Total Assets | 116,593 | 110,942 | 113.5 ${ }^{1}$ | 105,088 | 2.7\% |
| Average Total Assets | 114,467 | 112,236 | 105,309 |  |  |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 8,000 | 8,333 | -,000 | 14,089 | -23.1\% |
| Common Equity | 87,049 | 81,032 | 74,080 | 67,163 | 9.8\% |
| Average Common Equity | 82,320 | 72.556 | 70,622 |  |  |
| Interest-Bearing Debt to Invested Capital | 9.1\% | 10\% | 11.5\% | 17.4\% |  |

ADJUSTED EARNINGS (Tho. \$)

| Earnings Before Taxes (as reported) | 15,0 | 12,471 | 17,122 | 12,474 | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 1.7 | (7) | (63) | (30) |  |
| Gain (loss) on sale of PP\&E, net | - 0 | (153) | 290 | 353 |  |
| Total Adjustments | (53) | 160 | (227) | (323) |  |
| Adjusted Earnings Before Taxes | 15,004 | 12,631 | 16,895 | 12,151 | 2.0\% |
| Estimated Income Tax Rate | 31.8\% | 32.1\% | 34.6\% | 38.0\% |  |
| Adjusted Net Income | 10,234 | 8,579 | 11,050 | 7,539 | 6.7\% |
| Adjusted Net Profit Margin | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% |  |
| Adjusted Debt-Free Net Incorkh | 10,674 | 8,936 | 11,380 | 8,024 | 5.5\% |
| Adj. Earnings Before Interesı \& Taxes | 15,648 | 13,157 | 17,399 | 12,934 | 0.9\% |
| Adjusted EBIT Margin | 6.1\% | 5.6\% | 7.0\% | 5.4\% |  |
| Adj. Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | 19,274 | 16,903 | 21,094 | 16,248 | 2.0\% |
| Adjusted EBITDA Margin | 7.5\% | 7.2\% | 8.5\% | 6.8\% |  |

## Supreme Industries, Inc.

## STS

| MARKET | At <br> CAPITALIZATION (Tho. \$) |
| :--- | ---: |
| 20X5/06 |  |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 667 |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 8,000 |
| Total Interest-Bearing Debt | 8,667 |
| Preferred Equity (Book) | 0 |
| Common Equity | $\underline{125,046}$ |
| Total Equity | $\underline{125,046}$ |
| Total Invested Capital | 133,713 |
| Shares Outstanding at July 23, 20X5 | 14,940 |
| Price at September 1, 20X5 | $\$ 8.37$ |


| FINANCIAL RATIOS | Most <br> Recent Year | 3-Year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Debt-Free Working Capital Turnover | 5.2 | 6.0 |
| Asset Turnover | 2.2 |  |
| Debt-Free Current Ratio | 3.8 | 2.9 |
| Debt-Free Quick Ratio | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Debt to Equity (Book) | $10.0 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| Debt to Capital (Book) | $9.1 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| Times Interest Earned | 24.3 | 25.3 |
| Net Profit Margin | $4.0 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| EBIT Margin | $6.1 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| EBITDA Margin | $7.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Return on Assets | $9.3 \%$ |  |
| Return on Common Equity (Book) | $12.4 \%$ |  |


| MARKET MULTIPLES | Most Recent 12 Months |  | Most Recent Fiscal Year |  | 3-Year Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple |
| Price/Earnings | 10,234 | 12.2 | 8,579 | 14.6 | 9,056 | 13.8 |
| Price/Book (Common Equity) | 87,049 | 1.4 | 81,032 | 1.5 | N/M | N/M |
| Invested Capital/Revenues | 257,254 | 0.5 | 236,309 | 0.6 | 240,742 | 0.6 |
| Invested Capital/EBIT | 15,648 | 8.5 | 13,157 | 10.2 | 14,497 | 9.2 |
| Invested Capital/EBITDA | 19,274 | 6.9 | 16,903 | 7.9 | 18,082 | 7.4 |

## The L.S. Starrett Co.

SCX

| FINANCIAL <br> STATEMENT DATA (Tho. \$) | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { 20X5/06 } \end{aligned}$ | Fiscal Year Ended |  |  | 'X3-'X5 Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20X5/06 | 20X4/6 | 20X3/6 |  |
| Net Revenues | 241,550 | 241,550 | 247,134 | 243,797 | -0.5\% |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 8,717 | 8,717 | 9,358 | 9,675 | -5.1\% |
| Interest Expense | 713 | 713 | 800 | 968 | -14.2\% |
| Earnings Before Taxes | 9,942 | 9,942 | 12,057 | 796 | 253.4\% |
| Net Income | 5,244 | 5,244 | 6,712 | (162) | N/M |
| Net Profit Margin | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 2.7\% | -0.1\% |  |
| Effective Tax Rate | 47.3\% | 47.3\% | 44.3\% | 120.4\% |  |
| Cash, Equiv., Mkt. Sec. \& Accts Rec. | 59,274 | 59,274 | 68,668 | 65,287 | -4.7\% |
| Total Current Assets | 133,413 | 133,413 | 146,902 | 133,948 | -0.2\% |
| Total Current Liabilities | 23,599 | 23,599 | 35,686 | 23,945 | -0.7\% |
| Net Working Capital | 109,814 | 109,814 | 111,216 | 110,003 | -0.1\% |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 1,552 | 1,552 | 10,548 | 1,557 | -0.2\% |
| Debt-Free Net Working Capital | 111,366 | 111,366 | 121,764 | 111,560 | -0.1\% |
| Total Assets | 212,272 | 212,272 | 231,443 | 230,794 | -4.1\% |
| Average Total Assets | 221,858 | 221,858 | 231,119 |  |  |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 18,552 | 18,552 | 10,804 | 24,252 | -12.5\% |
| Common Equity | 114,430 | 114.480 | 136,314 | 126,742 | -5.0\% |
| Average Common Equity | 125,372 | 1253/2 | 131,528 |  |  |
| Interest-Bearing Debt to Invested Capital | 14.9\% | 14.9\% | 13.5\% | 16.9\% |  |

ADJUSTED EARNINGS (Tho. \$)

| Earnings Before Taxes (as reported) | 942 | 9,942 | 12,057 | 796 | 253.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized transaction gains | 6 | 6 | 4 | 23 |  |
| Loss on disposal of building | 0 | 0 | (89) | 0 |  |
| Total Adjustments | (6) | (6) | 85 | (23) |  |
| Adjusted Earnings Before Taxes | 9,936 | 9,936 | 12,142 | 773 | 258.5\% |
| Estimated Income Tax Rate | 38.0\% | 38.0\% | 38.0\% | 38.0\% |  |
| Adjusted Net Income | 6,164 | 6,164 | 7,533 | 480 | 258.5\% |
| Adjusted Net Profit Margin | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 0.2\% |  |
| Adjusted Debt-Free Net Incorne | 6,607 | 6,607 | 8,029 | 1,080 | 147.3\% |
| Adj. Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes | 10,649 | 10,649 | 12,942 | 1,741 | 147.3\% |
| Adjusted EBIT Margin | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 5.2\% | 0.7\% |  |
| Adj. Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | 19,366 | 19,366 | 22,300 | 11,416 | 30.2\% |
| Adjusted EBITDA Margin | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 9.0\% | 4.7\% |  |

## The L.S. Starrett Co.

## SCX

| MARKET | At <br> 20X5/06 |
| :--- | ---: |
| CAPITALIZATION (Tho. \$) | 1,552 |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 5 2}$ |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 20,104 |
| Total Interest-Bearing Debt | 0 |
| Preferred Equity (Book) | 95,705 |
| Common Equity | 95,705 |
| Total Equity | 115,809 |
| Total Invested Capital | 6,231 |
| Shares Outstanding at August 24, 20X5 | $\$ 15.36$ |


| FINANCIAL RATIOS | Most <br> Recent Year | 3-Year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Debt-Free Working Capital Turnover | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Asset Turnover | 1.1 |  |
| Debt-Free Current Ratio | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| Debt-Free Quick Ratio | 2.7 | 7.0 |
| Debt to Equity (Book) | $17.6 \%$ | $179 \%$ |
| Debt to Capital (Book) | $14.9 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| Times Interest Earned | 14.9 | 11.0 |
| Net Profit Margin | $2.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| EBIT Margin | $4.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| EBITDA Margin | $8.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| Return on Assets | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| Return on Common Equity (Book) | $4.9 \%$ |  |


|  | Most Recent 12 Months |  | Most Recent Fiscal Year |  | 3-Year Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARKET MULTIPLES | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple |
| Price/Earnings | 6,164 | 15.5 | 6,164 | 15.5 | 4,726 | 20.3 |
| Price/Book (Common Equity) | 114,430 | 0.8 | 114,430 | 0.8 | N/M | N/M |
| Invested Capital/Revenues | 241,550 | 0.5 | 241,550 | 0.5 | 244,160 | 0.5 |
| Invested Capital/EBIT | 10,649 | 10.9 | 10,649 | 10.9 | 8,444 | 13.7 |
| Invested Capital/EBITDA | 19,366 | 6.0 | 19,366 | 6.0 | 17,694 | 6.5 |

## Twin Disc, Inc.

## TWIN

| FINANCIAL <br> STATEMENT DATA (Tho. \$) | 12 Months Ended | Fiscal Year Ended |  |  | 'X3-'X5 Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20X5/06 | 20X5/06 | 20X4/06 | 20X3/06 |  |
| Net Revenues | 265,790 | 265,790 | 263,909 | 285,282 | -3.5\% |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 10,161 | 10,161 | 10,667 | 10,838 | -3.2\% |
| Interest Expense | 606 | 606 | 936 | 1,435 | -35.0\% |
| Earnings Before Taxes | 15,900 | 15,900 | 8,096 | 9,237 | 31.2\% |
| Net Income | 11,385 | 11,385 | 3,870 | 4,251 | 63.7\% |
| Net Profit Margin | 4.3\% | 4.3\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% |  |
| Effective Tax Rate | 28.4\% | 28.4\% | 52.2\% | 54.0\% |  |
| Cash, Equiv., Mkt. Sec. \& Accts Rec. | 66,819 | 66,819 | 64,976 | 67,055 | -0.2\% |
| Total Current Assets | 169,830 | 169,830 | 180,097 | 188,472 | -5.1\% |
| Total Current Liabilities | 57,054 | 57,054 | 56,980 | 63,503 | -5.2\% |
| Net Working Capital | 112,776 | 112,776 | 123,117 | 124,969 | -5.0\% |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 3,571 | 3,571 | 3,604 | 3,681 | -1.5\% |
| Debt-Free Net Working Capital | 116,347 | 116,347 | 126. 1 | 128,650 | -4.9\% |
| Total Assets | 249,862 | 249,862 | 26685 | 285,458 | -6.4\% |
| Average Total Assets | 258,424 | 258,424 | 276,222 |  |  |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 10,231 | 10,231 | 14,800 | 23,472 | -34.0\% |
| Common Equity | 139,528 | 129,520 | 151,584 | 142,504 | -1.0\% |
| Average Common Equity | 145,556 | 145,56 | 147,044 |  |  |
| Interest-Bearing Debt to Invested Capital | 9.0\% | -9.0\% | 10.8\% | 16.0\% |  |

ADJUSTED EARNINGS (Tho. \$)

| Earnings Before Taxes (as reported) | (1.) 90 | 15,900 | 8,096 | 9,237 | 31.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restructuring of operations | (3,2,82) | $(3,282)$ | (961) | (708) |  |
| Impairment charge | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(1,405)$ |  |
| Loss on sale of plant assets | (215) | (215) | (26) | (287) |  |
| Total Adjustments | 3,497 | 3,497 | 987 | 2,400 |  |
| Adjusted Earnings Before Taxes | 19,397 | 19,397 | 9,083 | 11,637 | 29.1\% |
| Estimated Income Tax Rate | 28.4\% | 28.4\% | 38.0\% | 38.0\% |  |
| Adjusted Net Income | 13,889 | 13,889 | 5,635 | 7,220 | 38.7\% |
| Adjusted Net Profit Margin | 5.2\% | 5.2\% | 2.1\% | 2.5\% |  |
| Adjusted Debt-Free Net Income | 14,323 | 14,323 | 6,216 | 8,110 | 32.9\% |
| Adj. Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes | 20,003 | 20,003 | 10,019 | 13,072 | 23.7\% |
| Adjusted EBIT Margin | 7.5\% | 7.5\% | 3.8\% | 4.6\% |  |
| Adj. Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | 30,164 | 30,164 | 20,686 | 23,910 | 12.3\% |
| Adjusted EBITDA Margin | 11.3\% | 11.3\% | 7.8\% | 8.4\% |  |

## Twin Disc, Inc. <br> TWIN

| MARKET | At <br> 20X5/06 <br> CAPITALIZATION (Tho. \$) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 3,571 |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 10,231 |
| Total Interest-Bearing Debt | 0 |
| Preferred Equity (Book) | $\underline{153,092}$ |
| Common Equity | $\underline{153,092}$ |
| Total Equity | 166,894 |
| Total Invested Capital | 11,323 |
| Shares Outstanding at August 19, 20X5 | $\$ 13.52$ |
| Price at September 1, 20X5 |  |


| FINANCIAL RATIOS | Most <br> Recent Year | 3-Year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Debt-Free Working Capital Turnover | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Asset Turnover | 1.0 |  |
| Debt-Free Current Ratio | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| Debt-Free Quick Ratio | $9.9 \%$ | 1.2 |
| Debt to Equity (Book) | $9.0 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Debt to Capital (Book) | 33.0 | 17.6 |
| Times Interest Earned | $5.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Net Profit Margin | $7.5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| EBIT Margin | $1.3 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| EBITDA Margin | $5.5 \%$ |  |
| Return on Assets | $9.5 \%$ |  |
| Return on Common Equity (Book) |  |  |


| MARKET MULTIPLES | $\underline{\text { Most Recent } 12 \text { Months }}$ |  | $\underline{\text { Most Recent Fiscal Year }}$ |  | 3-Year Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple |
| Price/Earnings | 13,889 | 11.0 | 13,889 | 11.0 | 8,915 | 17.2 |
| Price/Book (Common Equity) | 139,528 | 1.1 | 139,528 | 1.1 | N/M | N/M |
| Invested Capital/Revenues | 265,790 | 0.6 | 265,790 | 0.6 | 271,660 | 0.6 |
| Invested Capital/EBIT | 20,003 | 8.3 | 20,003 | 8.3 | 14,365 | 11.6 |
| Invested Capital/EBITDA | 30,164 | 5.5 | 30,164 | 5.5 | 24,920 | 6.7 |

## Visteon Corp.

## VC

|  | 12 Months <br> FINANCIAL |  |  |  | Fiscal Year Ended |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 'X2-'X4

ADJUSTED EARNINGS (Tho. \$)

| Earnings Before Taxes (as reported) | $20,1,0)$ | 13,050 | 45,100 | 16,800 | $-11.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Restructuring expense | $(2,8-0)$ | $(2,800)$ | $(1,800)$ | $(2,250)$ |  |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | $(250)$ | $(1,150)$ | $(100)$ | $(300)$ |  |
| Asset impairment and losses on divestitures | $(8,450)$ | $(16,300)$ | 0 | $(1,200)$ |  |
| Pension settlement gain | 1,150 | 1,150 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Gain on asset sales and business divesititures | 0 | 0 | 23,500 | 950 |  |
| Total Adjustments | 10,400 | 19,100 | $(21,600)$ | 2,800 |  |
| Adjusted Earnings Before Taxe |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Income Tax Rate | 30,800 | 32,150 | 23,500 | 19,600 | $28.1 \%$ |
| Adjusted Net Income | $35.8 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |  |
| Adjusted Net Profit Margin | 19,778 | 19,946 | 14,579 | 13,358 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Adjusted Debt-Free Net Income | $4.9 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |  |
| Adj. Earnings Before Interest \& Taxes | 20,870 | 21,094 | 16,006 | 14,994 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Adjusted EBIT Margin | 32,500 | 34,000 | 25,800 | 22,000 | $24.3 \%$ |
| Adj. Earnings Before Int., Taxes, Depr. \& Amort. | $8.0 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |  |
| Adjusted EBITDA Margin | $11.3 \%$ | 47,500 | 38,900 | 34,950 | $16.6 \%$ |

## Visteon Corp.

## VC

| MARKET | At <br> 20X5/06 <br> CAPITALIZATION (Tho. \$) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Short-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | 1,450 |
| Long-Term Interest-Bearing Debt | $\frac{17,450}{18,900}$ |
| Total Interest-Bearing Debt | 0 |
| Preferred Equity (Book) | $\underline{200,164}$ |
| Common Equity | $\underline{200,164}$ |
| Total Equity | 219,064 |
| Total Invested Capital | 2,021 |
| Shares Outstanding at July 31, 20X5 | $\$ 99.02$ |


| FINANCIAL RATIOS | Most <br> Recent Year | 3-Year <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Debt-Free Working Capital Turnover | 2.8 | 42 |
| Asset Turnover | 1.5 |  |
| Debt-Free Current Ratio | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| Debt-Free Quick Ratio | 3.5 | 1.5 |
| Debt to Equity (Book) | $14.1 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ |
| Debt to Capital (Book) | $12.3 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ |
| Times Interest Earned | 4.1 | 12.9 |
| Net Profit Margin | $9.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| EBIT Margin | $11.3 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| EBITDA Margin | $7.9 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |
| Return on Assets | $19.8 \%$ |  |
| Return on Common Equity (Book) |  |  |


| MARKET MULTIPLES | Most Recent 12 Months |  | Most Recent Fiscal Year |  | 3-Year Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple | Parameter | Multiple |
| Price/Earnings | 19,778 | 10.1 | 19,946 | 10.0 | 15,961 | 12.5 |
| Price/Book (Common Equity) | 134,400 | 1.5 | 43,250 | 4.6 | N/M | N/M |
| Invested Capital/Revenues | 406,700 | 0.5 | 375,450 | 0.6 | 326,583 | 0.7 |
| Invested Capital/EBIT | 32,500 | 6.7 | 34,000 | 6.4 | 27,267 | 8.0 |
| Invested Capital/EBITDA | 45,850 | 4.8 | 47,500 | 4.6 | 40,450 | 5.4 |

EXERCISE 70 Which of these are commonly used guideline public company valuation multiples?
a. Price/earnings
b. Invested capital/revenues
c. Price/gross profits
d. Invested capital/book value of equity
e. Invested capital/EBITDA
f. Invested capital/EBIT
g. Price/assets
h. Invested capital/debt-free net income
i. Invested capital/debt-free cash flow

EXERCISE 71 When using the guideline public company method, at what point in time are the prices of the public companies' stock vaiued?
a. 30-day average
b. As of valuation date
c. Six-month average
d. Three-year average

EXERCISE 72 What type of value is the result of the application of the guideline public company method?
a. Control
b. Minority
c. Neutral
1.11.5.1.2 Guideline Public Company Method Conclusion of Value on a Marketable, Control Interest Basis National Fastener is smaller in size and less leveraged than the public guideline set, but generally more profitable than the guidelines. The three-year revenue growth rate of National Fastener has been higher than the guideline companies. Considering these and other factors outlined above, we applied the median guideline company market multiples for the periods analyzed to the parameters of the Company, as shown in Table 1.17.

TABLE 1.17 Total Selected Values-Guideline Public Company Method

|  | Calculated Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Invested Capital | $\$ 27,000,000$ |
| Add: Excess Working Capital | $\$ 1,781,159$ |
| Less: Interest-Bearing Debt | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 2 8 , 7 8 1 , 1 5 9}$ |
| Value on a Marketable, Control Interest Basis | $\underline{\$ 28,800,000}$ |
| Indicated Value of $100 \%$ of the Equity (Rounded) |  |

The following multiples were applied to the subject company parameters: equity to net earnings and of invested capital to revenue, EBIT, and EBITDA. In concluding to the value of the Company, we relied primarily on the indications of value derived from the EBITDA multiples for the most recent trailing 12 months and three-year average. We added the estimated excess debt-free working capital to the indicated operating value of the Company. See Exhibit 1.22 for a summary of the guideline public company method.

EXHIBIT 1.22 Guideline Public Company Method: Summary
National Fastener \& Machine Co.
Guideline Public Company Method
Summary

| Earnings | Selected | Invested | Less: Interest- <br> Pearing <br> Parameter <br> Multiple (1) | Capital |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Most Recent 12 Months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity Multiples |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price/Earnings | \$2,243,297 | 11.62 |  |  | \$26,068,151 |
| Business Enterprise Multiples |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invested Capital/Net Revenues | 35,853,691 | 0.58 | 20,912,673 | 0 | 20,912,673 |
| Invested Capital/Earnings Before |  |  |  |  |  |
| Int. \& Taxes | 3,069,650 | 3.88 | 27,254,651 | 0 | 27,254,651 |
| Invested Capital/EBITDA | 4,344,027 | 6.08 | 26,391,382 | 0 | 26,391,382 |



Three-Year Averages

## Equity Multiples

| Price/Earnings | \$2,009,949 | 13.78 |  |  | \$27,688,333 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Enterprise Multiples |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invested Capital/Net Revenues | 36,158,936 | 0.64 | 23,234,376 | 0 | 23,234,376 |
| Invested Capital/Earnings Before |  |  |  |  |  |
| Int. \& Taxes | 2,966,558 | 10.42 | 30,914,545 | 0 | 30,914,545 |
| Invested Capital/EBITDA | 4,083,138 | 6.77 | 27,622,913 | 0 | 27,622,913 |
|  | Selected Value of 100\% of Equity |  |  |  | \$27,000,000 |
|  | Plus: Excess Debt-Free Working Capital |  |  |  | 1,781,159 |
| Indicated Value of 100\% of Equity, Rounded (2) |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\text { \$28,800,000 }}$ |

## Notes

(1) Selected multiples equal median multiples less a $0.0 \%$ fundamental discount.
(2) See Exhibit 1.12 for calculation of excess DFNWC.

Based on the application of the guideline public company method, the indicated value of 100 percent of the equity of National Fastener as of September 1, 20X5, was $\$ 28.8$ million (operating value of $\$ 27.0$ million plus $\$ 1.8$ million in excess working capital).

EXERCISE 73 In selecting multiples from guideline public companies for application to a subject company such as National Fastener, what options do analysts typically have?
a. Mean average of the multiples
b. Median average of the multiples
c. Individual guideline company multiples
d. Average multiples with a fundamental discount
e. All of the above

EXERCISE 74 Which of these time periods can be csed to derive valuation multiples from publicly traded companies?
a. Most recent four quarters
b. Most recent fiscal year-end
c. Three-year average
d. Five-year average
e. One-year projected
f. Three-year future average
1.11.5.2 Guideline Company Jrancactions Method It is possible to develop an indication of value of a company basea upon the price multiples indicated by merger and acquisition transactions of cempanies in the same or a similar industry in recent years. In order to use merger and acquisition (M\&A) information in a valuation engagement, the following two conditions must be met:

1. The target company must be similar to the company being valued in at least some respects.
2. One must be able to obtain details of the merger or acquisition transaction. If at least one of the parties in the M\&A transaction (either the purchaser or the seller) is a public company, relevant information is often available.

EXERCISE 75 Which of these are general transaction databases considered by analysts in valuing companies?
a. Pratt's Stats
b. RMA
c. Institute of Business Appraisers
d. DoneDeals
e. Bizcomps
f. Mergerstat Review

EXERCISE 76 What is one of the most significant problems when attempting to use transaction data?
1.11.5.2.1 Pratt's Stats Database The Pratt's Stats Tatabase provides a list of transactions of companies in various industry sectors. We searched for transactions in SIC codes 3451 (Screw Machine Products), 3452 (Bolts, Nuts, Screws, Rivets, and Washers), and 3714 (Motor Vehicle Parts and Accessories), as well as NAICS codes 332722 (Bolt, Nut, Screw, Rivet, and W'asher Manufacturing) and 336310 (Motor Vehicle Gasoline Engine and Engine Paris Manufacturing), that occurred between September 1, 20X0, and September 1, 20X5. We excluded transactions in which the target company's operations were not similar to National Fastener and/or in which the target company's revenues were not between $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 300.0$ million. Seven transactions in Pratt Stats involved targets within our search criteria, and these transactions are included in our analysis. Using this database, we calculated multiples based on market value of total invested capital (TIC) to gross revenues, EBITDA, and EBIT.
1.11.5.2.2 Public Stats Database The Public Stats database provides a list of transactions of companies in various industry sectors. Using the same criteria as used to search Pratt's Stats, a search of the Public Stats database yielded no results.
1.11.5.2.3 Database Conclusion of Value on a Marketable, Control Interest Basis We considered multiples of invested capital (TIC) to revenue, TIC to earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT), and TIC to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA). The implied multiples of revenue, EBITDA, and EBIT for the Company based on the conclusion of value under the guideline public company method and the discounted cash flow method were within the range of the transaction multiples. We did not rely on the guideline company transactions method, but did use it as a check for reasonableness of the conclusions of the other valuation methods.

See Exhibit 1.23 for the analysis of the multiples of the transactions in Pratt's Stats and comparison to the implied subject company multiples from the conclusions of the guideline public company method and discounted cash flow method.

The selected multiples were applied to the revenue, EBIT, and EBITDA parameters of the Company for the most recent 12 months, most recent fiscal year, and three-year average, 20X2 to 20X4. Based on the analysis, the concluded selected value of 100 percent of the equity of the Company was $\$ 29,700,000$ before adjustment for excess debt-free working capital. The concluded value of the equity of the Company under the transaction method was $\$ 31,500,000$, as shown on Exhibit 1.24.

EXERCISE 77 Is a 100 percent controlling interest marketable or nonmarketable?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

### 1.12 LACK OF MARKETABILITY DISCOUNT

EXERCISE 78 Discounts for 1ack of marketability/liquidity can be applied to 100 percent control interests in a company such as National Fastener.
a. True
b. False

EXERCISE 79 Which discounts for lack of marketability studies and/or data are available in determining discounts?
a. Mergerstat Review
b. Restricted stock studies
c. IPO studies
d. Court cases
e. Flotation costs
f. CAPM
g. Ibbotson Associates
h. Quantitative Marketability Discount Model (QMDM)
i. Option pricing models
EXHIBIT 1.23 Guideline Company Transactions Method: Detail

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. <br> Market Approach: Guideline Company Transactions Method (1) <br> Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | SIC Code | Acquirer | Target | Description | TIC | Revenues | EbITDA | Depreciation | EBIT | Expense | EBT | Rev. | EbITDA | EBIT |
| 2/4/20X5 | 3714 | N/A | N/A | ManuacturinglMfg-Boats | \$6,390,000 | 6,453,863 | 801,175 | 12,212 | 788,963 | 12,250 | 776,713 | 0.99 | 7.98 | 8.10 |
| 8/29/20X4 | 3714 | NN, Inc. | Autocam Corporation, Inc. | Manufactures precision-machine components for OEMs .nd Tier 1 automotive suppliers worldwide | \$320,769,000 | 233,484,000 | 35,775,000 | 16,663,000 | 19,112,000 | 2,741,000 | 16,371,000 | 1.37 | 8.97 | 16.78 |
| 5/1/20X4 | 3714 | CLARCOR Inc. | Diesel Fuel Filtration Business of Stanadyne Corporation | Design, manufa tu: , and supply of original equipment diesel fuel fitration products | \$327,569,000 | 107,798,000 | 39,862,000 | 3,309,000 | 36,553,000 | 7,522,000 | 29,031,000 | 3.04 | 8.22 | 8.96 |
| 12/19/20X3 | 3714 | Universal Truckload Services, Inc. | Westport Axle Corporation | Assembles and sells heavy-duty track axles and other forged machine compor ents | \$126,442,000 | 58,387,000 | 8,179,000 | 2,069,000 | 6,110,000 | 668,000 | 5,442,000 | 2.17 | 15.46 | 20.69 |
| 2/19/20X3 | 3429 | Hillman Companies, Inc. | H. Paulin \& Co., Limited | Manufactures and distributes bolts, rot , screws, industrial fasteners, fluid system components, metal stampings, automotive parts, and screw machine components | 03,416,000 | 145,985,000 | 6,060,000 | 976,000 | 5,084,000 | 252,000 | 4,832,000 | 0.71 | 17.07 | 20.34 |
| 12/27/20X2 | 3714 | N/A | N/A | Manufacturer of custom accessories for ATVs, UTVs, side x sides, golf carts, lowspeed vehicles (LSV), and recreational vehicles | $\$ 12,50 \Gamma, 100$ | 8,338,322 | 1,683,277 | 32,989 | 1,650,288 | - | 1,650,288 | 1.50 | 7.43 | 7.57 |
|  | 3714 | Park Ohio Industries, Inc. | Fluid Routing Solutions, Inc. | A leading manufacturer of industrial hose products and fuel filler and hydraulic fluid assemblies | \$97,500,000 | 179,3;3,233 | 12,608,397 | 4,160,938 | 8,447,459 | 831,038 | 7,616,421 | 0.54 | 7.73 | 11.54 |
| 3/23/20X2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean |  |  | 1.47 | 10.41 | 13.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Median |  |  | 1.37 | 8.22 | 11.54 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Min |  |  | 0.54 | 7.43 | 7.57 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Max |  |  | 3.04 | 17.07 | 20.69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coefficient of | f Variation |  | 0.60 | 0.39 | 0.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Implied Subj | ect Company | Multiples |  |  | LTM | LTM | LTM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Guideline Put | blic Company | Method-Cor | nclusion |  | 0.80 | 6.63 | 9.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Discounted C | Cash Flow Met | hod-Conclus | sion |  | 0.88 | 7.23 | 10.23 |

EXHIBIT 1.24 Guideline Company Transactions Method: Summary

| National Fastener \& Machine Co. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guideline Transactions Method |  |  |
| Summary |  |  |

[^13]EXERCISE 80 Although a 100 percent control interest is valued in National Fastener, numerous other levels of ownership interests can exist in a closely held company. Provide some examples of other levels of ownership.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

A marketability/liquidity discount is intended, among other things, to account for the issues a controlling owner must face as he begins to liquidate his control interest in the company. A number of studies and cases over the years have attempted to identify this discount.

EXERCISE 81 A discount for lack of marketabi't /liquidity should be applied to all of the valuation methods used in the valuation of National Fastener.
a. True
b. False

### 1.13 RECONCILIATION OF VALUES

The selected guidelin public companies are fairly comparable to National Fastener and provide valuable market data for purposes of valuation analysis. The discounted cash flow method represents an analysis of management's expectations for the growth and profitability of the business in the future. In concluding to a value for National Fastener, we relied on the value indications under both of these methods.

The guideline company transactions provide market data for purposes of valuation analysis of National Fastener. However, as is often the case with this method, we lack full details on the transactions, and we therefore rely on the transaction method as a corroborating method to check the reasonableness of the multiples implied from the guideline public company method and discounted cash flow method.

As shown on Exhibit 1.25, the indicated value of 100 percent of the equity of National Fastener based on the guideline public company method of the market approach is $\$ 28,800,000$ and based on the income approach is $\$ 31,400,000$. The concluded fair market value of the Company as of September 1, 20X5, was \$30,100,000.

| EXHIBIT 1.25 Summary of Findings |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| National Fastener \& Machine Co. <br> Summary of Findings <br> Valuation Date: September 1, 20X5 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | Value <br> Indication |
| Asset Approach |  |
| Net Assets | \$26,185,016 |
| Income Approach |  |
| Capitalized Cash Flows to Invested Capital | \$20,000,000 |
| Discounted Cash Flows to Invested Capital | \$31,400,000 |
| Market Approach |  |
| Guideline Public Company Method | \$28,800,000 |
| Guideline Company Transactions Method | \$31,500,000 |
| Selected Value of $100 \%$ of the Equity | S30,100,000 |

EXERCISE 82 Which method can be usecito correlate and reconcile value?
a. Straight average of the indications of value
b. Numerical weights assigned to each of the value indications
c. Qualitative judgment in selaction of value
d. All of the above

### 1.14 ADDENDUM: DIS¿OUNT CASE STUDY EXERCISES

### 1.14.1 Exercise A

Assume that we are determining the fair market value of a minority nonmarketable interest in a company for gift tax purposes. The minority marketable value derived by various methods is $\$ 100$ per share. We are in a state where you need over 50 percent for full control. What is the relative discount for lack of marketability (DLOM) in these situations?
a. Value of a 10 percent interest with one 90 percent owner
b. Value of a 10 percent interest with nine other 10 percent owners
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
c. Value of a 50 percent interest with one other 50 percent owner
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
d. Value of a 33.33 percent interest with two other 33.33 percent owners
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
e. Value of a 2 percent interest with two 49 percent cheners
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

### 1.14.2 Exercise B

Again, assume we are determining the tair market value of a company for gift tax purposes. In this case study, we are valuing a 100 percent controlling interest on a standalone basis in a closely held cempany. What is the discount for lack of marketability/ liquidity in these situations where the pre-discount value is determined by using:
a. P/E ratios from control transactions information (i.e., Pratt's Stats)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
b. P/E ratios from guideline public companies
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
c. Discounted cash flow (DCF) with a discount rate determined using Duff \& Phelps information
d. Capitalized cash flow method
e. Asset approach
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