

# Index

Page numbers followed by *f* and *t* refer to figures and tables, respectively.

- The Accountant* (movie), 4
- Account Index, 142–144, 143*t*
- Accounting knowledge, 7
- Accounting records:
  - in Interesting Data Findings analysis, 176–177
  - in risk-based analysis, 115–117
- Accounting software:
  - audit trail reports, 38–39
  - general ledger, 38
- Account lapping schemes, 125
- Account schedules:
  - in data processing, 145–150, 147*t*–148*t*
  - formatting, 150–151
- Account statements, 83–84
  - bank account (*see* Bank account statements)
  - credit card (*see* Credit card statements)
- Addresses:
  - duplicate, employee records with, 186, 187*t*–188*t*
  - employee records without, 183, 185*t*
- Admissibility of evidence, relevant period for, 138
- Advisory firms, Data Sleuth Process for, 238–241
- Advocacy, 30
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), 28–29
- Analyses. *See also* Data analysis
  - in Data Sleuth Process (*see* Standard Data Sleuth analyses)
  - required to verify allegations, 62
  - work papers for, 196
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners, 28
- ATM deposits, for Source and Use Summaries, 162
- ATM withdrawals, for Source and Use Summaries, 163
- Attorneys:
  - asking questions of, 7
  - as clients' advocates, 30
  - Data Sleuth Process for, 241
  - data sources for, 136
  - as end-clients, 22
  - experts' opinions vs. objectives of, 26–27
  - fees from, in civil cases, 66
  - hiring of experts by, 8
  - initial meetings with, 73
  - strategies used by, 6–7, 198
  - tips for expert witnesses from, 205–206
  - understanding needs of, 16
- Audits, 238
  - accounting software audit trail reports, 38–39
  - Case of the Mom of the Year, 118–126, 120*t*, 124*t*, 162
  - deadlines for, 43
  - external, 42–43, 238
  - risk focus in investigations vs., 118
- Banks, double entry accounting by, 67
- Bank account(s):
  - account schedules, 145–151, 147*t*–148*t*
  - Benford's analysis of, 173
  - Case of the Mom of the Year, 118–126, 120*t*, 124*t*, 162
  - checks from, 145
  - data from, 129, 130, 130*t*
  - grouping, 159
  - hidden, 75, 76
- Bank account statements:
  - check images on, 100
  - quantitative evidence from, 131
  - Source and Use Summary for, 158–166, 160*t*–161*t*
- Bank fraud cases, end-clients in, 22
- Bates stamp, 142

Bench trials, jury trials vs., 7

Benefit:

- in fraud formula, 58
- in referrals to law enforcement, 202

Benford's analysis, 172–174, 174*f*

Benford's Law, 172–173

Budget(s):

- and data processing, 145
- and relevant period, 138–139, 139*t*

Burnout, 13–14

Business coach, 14, 15

Business development, 8

Case(s):

- of the Cash Back Payroll Scheme, 45–47, 96–99, 97*t*, 155
- of the Cash Flow Fiasco, 155–158, 157*f*
  - with clients whose decisions affect investigations, 227–231
- Data Sleuth Analysis Framework for, 91, 91*f* (*See also* Data Sleuth Analysis Framework)
- of the Disappearing Business, 211–215, 215*t*
- of divorce, 91–94, 94*f*
- of the Duplicate Payroll, 85–87, 98–104, 103*f*, 104*f*
- of economic damages, 93–96, 95*f*
- of embezzlement, 15, 22, 53–54, 91, 91*f*
- of estate disputes, 89–90, 90*f*
- of the Gambling Executive Director, 48–51, 134, 136
- of the Inaccurate Insurance Claim, 222–225
- of the Initial Client Meeting, 72–75
- of the Limited Payroll Records, 190–191
- of the Man Cave, 66–69, 67*t*, 133, 163, 164
- of the Missing Inventory, 36–37
- of the Mistaken Divorcee, 26–27
- of the Mom of the Year, 118–126, 120*t*, 124*t*, 162
- of the Next-Day Deposition, 34
- of the Nonexistent Inventory, 55–58, 133, 228
- of the Overlooked Loss, 80–81
- of the Overpaid Administrator, 193
- of the Overworked Office Manager, 228–231
- of partnership disputes, 91, 92*f*
- of the Phony Professional, 239–241
- of the Puzzling Entries, 106–117, 108*f*, 109*t*–110*t*, 112*f*, 114*t*
- of the Reimbursing Controller, 22–24
- of the Sentencing Hearing, 28
- of the Sneaky CFO, 215–220, 217*t*, 218*t*, 220*t*

of the Unreconciled Cash Drawer, 225–227  
where client believes he was  
wronged, 221–227

Case managers, 81

Case planning, 35. *See also* Data

Sleuth Case Plan

- analysis work papers in, 196
- and clients who believe they were wronged, 221–222
- and evolution of forensic accounting, 44–45
- professional experience in, 236
- with teams, 15–17

Cash:

- Case of the Cash Back Payroll Scheme, 45–47, 96–99, 97*t*, 155
- Case of the Cash Flow Fiasco, 155–158, 157*f*
- Case of the Mom of the Year, 118–126, 120*t*, 124*t*
- Case of the Puzzling Entries, 106–117, 108*f*, 109*t*–110*t*, 112*f*, 114*t*
- Case of the Unreconciled Cash Drawer, 225–227
  - focusing on sources and uses of, 105–107
  - money in, 107–111, 108*f*, 109*t*–110*t*
  - money out, 108*f*, 111–115, 112*f*, 114*t*
- Cash Back Payroll Scheme, Case of the, 45–47, 96–99, 97*t*, 155

Cash Flow Fiasco, Case of the, 155–158, 157*f*

CFE Code of Professional Standards, 28

Checks:

- check kiting schemes, 59
- converted into account schedules, 145
- written to self, 153

CID (Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation Division), 69, 199

Civil defense cases, 22

Civil lawsuits:

- Case of the Man Cave, 66–69, 67*t*, 133, 163, 164
- end-clients in, 22
- expert reports for, 202–203
- preparing findings in anticipation of, 88
- recovery with, 65–66, 76
- reports for, 198, 202–203

Client(s):

- balancing objectivity with expectations of, 25–28
- cases where client believes he was wronged, 221–227
- communicating concerns of, to team, 16–17
- communicating with (*see* Client communication)
- educating, 71

- end-clients, 21, 22
- expectations of, 25–28, 75–77
- feedback from, 79–80
- Findings Summary review by, 196
- goals of, 63
- initial meeting with, 72–75
- investigation priorities of, 86
- involvement of, 71, 78–81
- onboarding, 33–34, 71, 73–75
- recovery avenues for, 63–66
- use of Interesting Data Findings with, 177
- whose decisions affect
  - investigations, 227–231
  - whose trust in others has been broken, 30
- Client communication, 71–81
  - about evidence and allegations, 63
  - Case of the Initial Client Meeting, 72–75
  - client expectations, 75–77
  - data procurement, 77–78
  - feedback from clients, 79–80
  - involvement during investigations, 71, 78–81
  - ongoing, 81
- Client Concerns Questionnaire, 34, 74
- Client documents folders, 140
- Client inquiry process, 73–74
- Client intake, professional experience in, 236
- Communication:
  - with clients (*see* Client communication)
  - of Data Sleuth Case Plan, 101, 102*t*
  - of findings, 6–7, 40, 195 (*See also* Reports)
  - with team, in case planning, 15–17
- Communication skills, 6–7
- Comparative analysis, 88–97, 89*f*; 154–158, 210
  - Case of the Cash Back Payroll Scheme, 96–99, 97*t*, 155
  - Case of the Cash Flow Fiasco, 155–158, 157*f*
  - in divorce example, 91–94, 94*f*
  - in economic damages cases, 93–96, 95*f*
  - in embezzlement cases, 91, 91*f*
  - in estate dispute cases, 89–90, 90*f*
  - for overpayment of payroll, 193
  - in partnership dispute cases, 91, 92*f*
  - tabular structure in, 96–97, 97*t*, 154–155
  - translating Venn diagram to, 96–97, 97*t*
- Confirmations from vendors, 39
- Conflicts of interest, 29
- Consultation calls, 74
- Consulting firms, Data Sleuth Process
  - for, 238–241
- Corporate fraud cases, 15
  - organizations as end-clients in, 22
  - reports in, 198
- Counter deposits, for Source and Use
  - Summaries, 162
- County sheriff's offices, 200
- Credentialing of investigators, 243–244
- Credit card accounts:
  - grouping, 159
  - payments to, 164, 166, 169
- Credit card statements:
  - copies of, 100
  - quantitative evidence from, 131
  - Source and Use Summary for, 158–159, 167–170, 168*t*
- Criminal charges:
  - best evidence in expectation of, 198
  - reports for, 198–201
  - restitution for, 76
- Criminal defense cases, end-clients in, 22
- Criminal prosecution:
  - for embezzlement, 200–201
  - as goal of criminal investigations, 202
  - preparing findings in anticipation of, 88
  - recovery with, 64–65
- Customer invoices, 39
- Data analysis:
  - categorization approaches to, 81
  - convergence of forensic accounting, fraud
    - investigation, and, 233–234, 235*f*
  - costs of, 25
  - in Data Sleuth Process, 37, 38*f* (*See also* Data Sleuth Analysis Framework)
  - professional and nonprofessional
    - involvement in, 236
    - required to verify allegations, 62
    - standard, 30–31, 153–154 (*See also* Standard Data Sleuth analyses)
    - training in, 244
- Data Analysis Plan, 97–99
  - in case planning, 101, 102*t*
  - reviewing, 210
- Data and information gathering:
  - for business and not-for-profit
    - organizations, 77
  - in Data Sleuth Case Plan, 99–101
  - funnel of information and data, 31, 33*f*
  - for individual disputes, 77–78
  - payroll reports, 78
  - preserving original files in, 140
  - process for, 44–45
  - procuring data from clients, 77–78
- Databases, financial, 75
- Data-driven results, 24

- Data points, 130
- Data processing, 136–151
  - account scheduling in, 145–150, 147*t*–148*t*
  - considerations prior to, 144–145
  - formatting account schedules, 150–151
  - getting organized before beginning, 139–144, 141*t*, 143*t*
  - normalizing payees in, 151
  - professional and nonprofessional involvement in, 236
  - relevant period in, 136–139, 139*t*
  - reviewing, 210
  - for Source and Use Summaries, 162
  - time required for, 3, 4
- Data procurement, 77–78. *See also* Data and information gathering
- Data quality, 129
- Data sets, 129–130, 130*t*
  - for payroll analysis, 182
  - for risk indicator analysis, 180
- Data Sleuths, 53–69
  - Case of the Man Cave, 66–69, 67*t*, 133, 163, 164
  - Case of the Nonexistent Inventory, 55–58, 133
  - and effects of investigations on real people, 54–55
  - fraud formula for, 58
  - investigation decision tree for, 60–63, 61*f*
  - licenses, credentials, and professional development for, 243–244
  - and recovery avenues, 63–66
  - staying on task with purpose in mind, 59–60
- Data Sleuth Analysis Framework, 88–96. *See also* Standard Data Sleuth analyses
  - divorce case, 91–94, 94*f*
  - economic damages case, 93–96, 95*f*
  - embezzlement case, 91, 91*f*
  - estate dispute case, 89–90, 90*f*
  - partnership dispute case, 91, 92*f*
- Data Sleuth Case Plan, 83–104
  - Case of the Disappearing Business, 214–215, 215*t*
  - Case of the Duplicate Payroll, 85–87, 98–104, 103*f*, 104*f*
  - Case of the Sneaky CFO, 219–220, 220*t*
  - and client's investigation priorities, 86
  - communication of, 101, 102*t*
  - Data Analysis Plan in, 97–99
  - data and information gathering in, 99–101
  - Data Sleuth Analysis Framework in, 88–96, 89*f*, 90*f*, 91*f*–95*f*
  - in Data Sleuth Process, 83–85
  - evaluating subject's access through risk-based analysis in, 86–88
  - reviewing, 209–210
  - steps in, 84*f*
  - translating Venn diagram to comparative data analysis in, 96–97, 97*t*
- Data Sleuth Fraud Detection Worksheet, 87–88, 106–115
  - in Case of the Sneaky CFO, 216–218, 217*t*, 218*t*
  - money in, 107–111, 108*f*, 109*t*–110*t*
  - money out, 108*f*, 111–115, 112*f*, 114*t*
- Data Sleuth Process, 1, 2, 21–40, 32*f*, 233–243.
  - See also specific topics*
  - additional research in, 37–39
  - balancing client expectations and objectivity in, 25–28
  - benefits of, 209
  - Case of the Missing Inventory, 36–37
  - Case of the Mistaken Divorcee, 26–27
  - Case of the Next-Day Deposition, 34
  - Case of the Phony Professional, 239–241
  - Case of the Reimbursing Controller, 22–24
  - Case of the Sentencing Hearing, 28
  - client onboarding in, 33–34
  - communication of findings in, 40
  - for consulting and advisory firms, 238–241
  - as convergence of forensic accounting, fraud investigation, and data analysis, 233–234, 235*f*
  - creating divisions for using, 236–238, 242
  - creation of, 14
  - data analysis in, 37, 38*f*
  - Data Sleuth Case Plan in, 83–85 (*See also* Data Sleuth Case Plan)
  - development of, 30–32
  - to empower the curious, 234, 235
  - engagement types for, 21–24
  - as fraud prevention measure, 242–243
  - as funnel for information and data, 31, 33*f*
  - future of, 242
  - key interviews in, 35, 36*f*
  - for law enforcement, 242
  - for law firms, 241
  - necessity for (*see* Need for Data Sleuth Process)
  - non-professionals' involvement in, 234–236
  - open-source research in, 36–37
  - practicing (*see* Practicing the Data Sleuth Process)
  - professional standards in, 28–30

- for public accounting firms, 237–238
- review solution in, 18
- risk-based analysis in, 34–35
- scalability solution in, 14–16
- strategy solution in, 16–17
- subject interviews in, 39
- sustainability solution in, 18–19
- time required for, 76
- vision of, 18, 233, 234*f*
- Data Sleuth Team, for Workman Forensics, 3–4
- Data sources, 37–39, 129–136
  - in case planning, 100–101, 102*t*
  - combinations of, 145
  - comparing, 88 (*See also* Comparative analysis)
  - data points from, 130
  - data sets from, 129–130, 130*t*
  - explained, 129–130, 130*t*
  - identifying information to process from, 145
  - nonstandard, 132–134
  - open-source, 36–37
  - primary, 235
  - for qualitative evidence, 130, 134–135
  - for quantitative evidence, 130–134
  - standard, 131–132
  - start and end dates for, 144–145
  - using quantitative and qualitative sources, 135–136
- Days of the week test, in payroll analysis, 192
- Deadlines, 8
  - for audits, 43
  - and data processing, 145
- Decision tree, for investigations, 60–63, 61*f*
- Deposits and deposit items:
  - and data processing, 144, 145
  - in month-over-month pivot tables, 175
  - for Source and Use Summaries, 162–163, 166
- Depositions:
  - Case of the Next-Day Deposition, 34
  - unyielding scrutiny of, 10, 11
- Detail orientation, 6–7
- Disappearing Business, Case of the, 211–215, 215*t*
- Dishonesty policies, 66, 224
- Disney World, 33
- Divorce cases, 15
  - Case of the Mistaken Divorcee, 26–27
  - Data Sleuth Analysis Framework for, 91–94, 94*f*
  - end-clients in, 22
  - relevant period for, 137
  - risk-based analysis in, 126–127
- Document inventory:
  - professional and nonprofessional involvement in, 236
  - spreadsheets for, 140–142, 141*t*
- Duplicate employee addresses, 186, 187*t*–188*t*
- Duplicate employee records, 183
- Duplicate Payroll, Case of the, 85–87, 98–104, 103*f*; 104*f*
- Duplicate social security numbers, 183, 184*t*
- Economic damages cases:
  - Data Sleuth Analysis Framework for, 93–96, 95*f*
  - end-clients in, 22
- Educating clients, 71
- Efficiency, 5–6
- Elder financial abuse, relevant period for, 137
- Electronic deposits, for Source and Use Summaries, 163
- Emails:
  - as qualitative data sources, 134
  - subject, 39
- Embezzlement cases, 15, 53–54
  - Case of the Gambling Executive Director, 48–51, 134, 136
  - Data Sleuth Analysis Framework for, 91, 91*f*
  - end-clients in, 22
  - in estate and trust disputes, 128
  - and prior criminal history, 65
  - relevant period for, 137–139, 139*t*
  - reports in, 198
  - spouses and business partners in, 76
  - state vs. federal prosecution for, 200–201
- Empathy:
  - for clients who believe they were wronged, 221
  - for victims, 54–55
- Employees:
  - fidelity bonds or dishonesty policies for, 66
  - ghost, 182–190, 184*t*, 185*t*, 187*t*–189*t*
  - involved in creating solutions, 14–15
- Employee records:
  - duplicate, 183
  - with duplicate addresses, 186, 187*t*–188*t*
  - with duplicate social security numbers, 183, 184*t*
  - without addresses, 183, 185*t*
- Employment agreement, 100–101
- Empowering the curious, 234, 235
- End-clients, 21, 22

- Engagements. *See also* Investigations  
 categories of data in, 37  
 common tensions faced in, 25–28  
 experienced professionals in, 236  
 external financial statement audits vs.,  
 42–43  
 perceptions about, 44  
 quality of, 71  
 risk focus in, 118  
 types of, 21–22
- Estate and trust disputes, 15  
 Data Sleuth Analysis Framework for,  
 89–90, 90*f*  
 end-clients in, 22  
 risk-based analysis in, 128
- Even-dollar amounts:  
 as Interesting Data Finding, 173, 174  
 payments of net pay and expense  
 reimbursements, 191  
 in risk indicator analysis, 180
- Evidence. *See also* Findings; Research  
 best chance for obtaining, 31  
 client beliefs vs., 25  
 for criminal prosecution, 64  
 in expectation of trials or criminal  
 charges, 198  
 inadmissible or insufficient, 222  
 in law enforcement vs. in private sector  
 investigations, 58  
 necessity and quality of, 59  
 qualitative, 130, 134–136  
 quantitative, 130–136  
 required to verify allegations, 62  
 starting with, 47–48
- Expectations, client, 75–77
- Expenditures entries, in Source and Use  
 Summaries, 158, 167, 169–170
- Experts:  
 attorneys' objectives vs. opinions of,  
 26–27  
 client misconceptions about, 25–26  
 fees from, in civil cases, 66
- Expertise:  
 ensuring allegations fall within, 60, 62  
 in open-source intelligence, 36  
 steps requiring, 236  
 what we know and do not know matrix for,  
 11–12, 11*f*
- Expert reports:  
 for civil litigation, 202–203  
 for insurance reimbursement, 203–204
- Expert witness(es), 4
- attorneys' delay in hiring, 8  
 attorneys' tips for, 205–206  
 forensic accountants or financial  
 investigators as, 235  
 as "hired guns," 63  
 range of knowledge for, 7  
 reports used by, 198–199  
 testifying as an, 195, 205–207 (*See also*  
 Testimony)
- External audits, 42–43, 238
- Fake entities, 133, 164, 179, 180
- Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), 199
- Federal law enforcement agencies, 199, 200
- Fees:  
 from attorneys and experts in civil cases, 66  
 "in payment for testimony," 206
- Feedback from clients, 79–80
- Fidelity bonds, 66, 224
- Filed documents, as qualitative data  
 sources, 135
- Financial accounts:  
 bank (*see* Bank account(s))  
 credit card (*see* Credit card accounts)  
 identifying, 127
- Financial databases, 75
- Financial institution records, limitations of, 137
- Financial investigators, 235. *See also*  
 Investigator(s)
- Financial responsibility, relevant period and, 137
- Financial statement audits, 42–43, 118.  
*See also* Audits
- Findings, 195–197. *See also* Evidence; Interesting  
 Data Findings (IDF) analysis  
 in anticipation of civil litigation or criminal  
 prosecution, 88  
 communication of, 6–7, 40, 195  
 (*See also* Reports)  
 Findings Summary, 81, 195–197, 197*t*, 204  
 for law enforcement reporting, 201–202, 201*t*  
 reports of, 195, 198–205  
 testimony about, 195, 205–207
- Findings Summary, 81, 195–197, 197*t*, 204
- Flagging information, 165
- Follow-up, to consultation calls, 74
- Forensic accountants, 4–14. *See also*  
 Investigator(s)  
 accounting and legal knowledge of, 7  
 business development by, 8  
 Data Sleuth solutions for, 14–19  
 detail orientation and communication  
 skills of, 6–7

- as expert witnesses, 235 (*See also* Expert witness(es))
- imposed deadlines for, 8
- review problem for, 12–13
- scalability problem for, 9–10
- strategy problem for, 10–12
- sustainability problem for, 13–14
- technical and strategic skills of, 4–5
- thoroughness and efficiency of, 5–6
- types of problems encountered by, 8–9
- use of term, 4
- Forensic accounting:
  - convergence of fraud investigation, data analysis, and, 233–234, 235*f*
  - engagements in, 2–3, 13 (*See also* Engagements)
- Formats, for data and information, 78
- Formula, fraud, 58
- Fraud, 15
  - detecting risk of, 43 (*See also specific topics*)
  - end-clients in cases of, 22
  - finite number of approaches to, 15
  - formula for, 58
  - means for detecting, 42–43
  - preventing, 242–243
  - punishments for, 75
- Fraud formula, 58
- Fraud investigation, convergence of forensic accounting, data analysis, and, 233–234, 235*f*. *See also* Investigation(s)
- Funnel of information and data, 31, 33*f*
- Gambling Executive Director, Case of the, 48–51, 134, 136
- General liability policies, 66
- Ghost employees, 182–190, 184*t*, 185*t*, 187*t*–189*t*
  - duplicate social security numbers, 183, 184*t*
  - employee records with duplicate addresses, 186, 187*t*–188*t*
  - employee records without addresses, 183, 185*t*
  - "indicators" in, 182–183
  - number of employees, 183
- Goals:
  - of client, 63
  - of criminal investigations, 202
- Hidden bank accounts, 75, 76
- "Hired guns," 27, 63
- Hostile witnesses, 13
- IDF analysis, *see* Interesting Data Findings analysis
- Findings analysis
- IDF (Interesting Data Findings) Summary, 177, 178*t*
- Inaccurate Insurance Claim, Case of the, 222–225
- Income sources, identifying, 127
- Initial client meetings, 72–75. *See also* Onboarding clients
  - Case of the Initial Client Meeting, 72–75
  - in Case of the Sneaky CFO, 217–219
- Inquiry calls, 73, 74
- Insurance reimbursement:
  - Case of the Inaccurate Insurance Claim, 222–225
  - expert reports for, 203–204
  - as recovery avenue, 66, 76
  - reports for, 198, 203–204
- Intent, in fraud formula, 58
- Interesting Data Findings (IDF) analysis, 171–178, 210–211
  - Benford's analysis, 172–174, 174*f*
  - client feedback in, 79–80
  - creating summary of, 177, 178*t*
  - even-dollar amounts, 173, 174
  - extracting detailed transactions from Source and Use Summaries, 175
  - large individual payments, 175
  - month-over-month pivot tables, 175, 176*t*
  - from Source and Use Summaries, 165
  - standard tests for, 172
  - using accounting records in, 176–177
- Interesting Data Findings (IDF) Summary, 177, 178*t*
- Internal controls:
  - for fraud prevention, 243
  - in risk-based analysis, 105, 118
  - testing for, 42
- Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation Division (CID), 69, 199
- Interviews. *See also individual cases*
  - key, 35, 36*f*
  - in risk-based analysis, 115–117
  - subject, 31, 39, 55
- Inventory:
  - Case of the Inaccurate Insurance Claim, 222–225
  - Case of the Missing Inventory, 36–37
  - Case of the Nonexistent Inventory, 55–58, 133, 228
- Investigate, definition of, 60

- Investigation(s). *See also* Engagements  
 building a process for, 15–16 (*See also* Data Sleuth Process)  
 client expectations vs. objectivity in, 25–28  
 client involvement in, 71, 78–81  
 clients' priorities in, 86  
 clients who believe they were wronged, 221–227  
 client whose decisions affect, 227–231  
 cost of, 44  
 decision tree for, 60–63, 61*f*  
 identifying purpose of, 59–60  
 law enforcement agencies handling, 199–200  
 obtaining best evidence in, 31  
 prelitigation, 179  
 priorities in, 17, 98–99  
 risk focus in audits vs., 118  
 types of, 21–22
- Investigation decision tree, 60–63, 61*f*
- Investigation priorities:  
 of clients, 86  
 in Data Analysis Plan, 98–99  
 team's planning for, 17
- Investigator(s). *See also* Forensic accountants  
 areas of expertise of, 60, 62  
 as "hired guns," 27, 63  
 licenses, credentials, and professional development for, 243–244  
 use of term, 4
- Invoices from customers, 39
- Jury trials, bench trials vs., 7
- Key interviews, in Data Sleuth Process, 35, 36*f*
- Knowledge:  
 range of, 7  
 in Rumsfeld Matrix, 11–12, 11*f*
- Large individual payments:  
 as Interesting Data Finding, 175  
 in payroll analysis, 192  
 in risk indicator analysis, 180
- Law enforcement cases:  
 for all fraudulent acts, 77  
 arrests in, 75  
 for criminal prosecution, 64–65  
 Data Sleuth Process for, 242  
 interview procedures in, 35  
 private sector investigations vs., 58  
 reports for referral for, 198, 201–202, 201*t*
- Law firms, Data Sleuth Process for, 241
- Legal knowledge, 7
- Legitimacy of allegations, verifying, 62–63
- Licensing of investigators, 243–244
- Limited Payroll Records, Case of the, 190–191
- Loan documents, 155
- Loan fraud:  
 Case of the Cash Flow Fiasco, 155–158, 157*f*  
 Case of the Sneaky CFO, 215–220, 217*t*, 218*t*, 220*t*  
 end-clients in cases of, 22  
 relevant period for, 137
- Local police departments, 200
- Loss calculations:  
 Case of the Overlooked Loss, 80–81  
 for criminal prosecution, 64
- Man Cave, Case of the, 66–69, 67*t*, 133, 163, 164
- Marketing, 8
- Memos, as qualitative data sources, 134–135
- Misappropriation of assets:  
 as common scheme, 43  
 in estate and trust disputes, 128
- Missing Inventory, Case of the, 36–37
- Mistaken Divorcee, Case of the, 26–27
- Mobile deposits, for Source and Use Summaries, 162
- Mom of the Year, Case of the, 118–126, 120*t*, 124*t*, 162
- Month-over-month pivot tables, 175, 176*t*
- Need for Data Sleuth Process, 41–51  
 Case of the Cash Back Payroll Scheme, 45–47, 155  
 Case of the Gambling Executive Director, 48–51, 134, 136  
 and evolution of forensic accounting, 44–45  
 and forensic accounting engagements vs. external financial statement audits, 42–43  
 to start with evidence, not the scheme, 47–48
- Next-Day Deposition, Case of the, 34
- Nonexistent Inventory, Case of the, 55–58, 133, 228
- Nonstandard data sources:  
 defined, 132  
 for quantitative evidence, 132–134
- Normalizing payees, 151
- Notes, as qualitative data sources, 134–135



- Objectives of attorneys, expert opinion vs., 26–27
- Objectivity:
  - balancing client expectations with, 25–28
  - professional standards of, 28–30
- Onboarding clients, 33–34, 71
  - inquiry and initial meetings, 73–75
  - professional experience in, 236
- Ongoing communication with clients, 81
- Open-source intelligence (OSINT), 36, 37
- Open-source research, in Data Sleuth Process, 36–37
- Organization:
  - of open-source intelligence, 36
  - prior to data processing, 139–144, 141*t*, 143*t*
  - of reports, 204–205
- OSINT (open-source intelligence), 36, 37
- Overlooked Loss, Case of the, 80–81
- Overpaid Administrator, Case of the, 193
- Overpayments of payroll, 191–193
  - Case of the Overpaid Administrator, 193
  - comparative analysis of, 192
  - risk indicator analysis for, 192, 193
- Overworked Office Manager, Case of the, 228–231
  
- Paper conversion, quantitative evidence from, 134
- Partnership dispute cases, 15
  - Data Sleuth Analysis Framework for, 91, 92*f*
  - end-clients in, 22
  - relevant period for, 137
- Pay date review, 191
- Payees, normalizing, 151
- Payments:
  - in Interesting Data Findings analysis, 173–175
  - overpayments of payroll, 191–193
  - in risk indicator analysis, 180
  - in Source and Use Summaries, 158, 164, 166, 167
- Payroll analysis, 172, 182–193, 211
  - Case of the Cash Back Payroll Scheme, 45–47, 96–99, 97*t*, 155
  - Case of the Duplicate Payroll, 85–87, 98–104, 103*f*, 104*f*
  - Case of the Limited Payroll Records, 190–191
  - Case of the Overpaid Administrator, 193
  - comparative analysis in, 155
  - ghost employees, 182–190, 184*t*, 185*t*, 187*t*–189*t*
  - overpayments of payroll, 191–193
- Payroll records:
  - Case of the Limited Payroll Records, 190–191
  - compared to total risk indicators, 186, 189*t*, 190
- Payroll reports:
  - indicators of overpayments within, 191–192
  - procuring, 78
  - quantitative evidence from, 132
- Phony Professional, Case of the, 239–241
- Practicing the Data Sleuth Process, 209–220
  - Case of the Disappearing Business, 211–215, 215*t*
  - Case of the Sneaky CFO, 215–220, 217*t*, 218*t*, 220*t*
  - review of Data Analysis Plan, 210
  - review of Data Sleuth Case Plan, 209–210
  - review of Data Sleuth data processing, 210
  - review of standard Data Sleuth analyses, 210–211
- Prelitigation investigations, risk indicator analysis in, 179
- Primary key, in risk indicator analysis, 180
- Priorities:
  - of clients in investigations, 86
  - for criminal prosecution, 64–65
  - in Data Analysis Plan, 98–99
  - of investigations, team’s planning for, 17
- Problems for forensic accountants, 8–14
  - review, 12–13
  - scalability, 9–10
  - strategy, 10–12, 11*f*
  - sustainability, 13–14
- Professional development, 242–243
- Professional standards, 28–30
- Public accounting firms, Data Sleuth Process for, 237–238
- Purchasing records, risk indicator analysis of, 177, 179–181, 181*t*
- Purpose of investigations, identifying, 59–60
- Puzzling Entries, Case of the, 106–117, 108*f*, 109*t*–110*t*, 112*f*, 114*t*
  
- Qualitative data sources, 130
  - data sources for, 130, 134–135
  - using, 135–136
- Quantitative data sources, 130
  - data sources for, 130–134
  - using, 135–136

- Real people, effects of investigations on, 54–55
- Real property, identifying, 127
- Receipts, as qualitative data sources, 135
- Recovery avenues, 63–66
  - civil proceedings, 65–66, 76
  - for complete recovery, 76
  - criminal prosecution, 64–65, 76
  - insurance reimbursement, 66, 76
  - investigation focused on, 63
  - reports that can be used in, 198
- Reimbursing Controller, Case of the, 22–24
- Relevant period, 100, 136–139, 139*t*, 159
- Reports, 195–196, 198–205
  - avoiding confusion with, 64
  - for civil litigation, 198, 202–203
  - consistency between Findings Summary and, 204
  - for criminal charges, 198–201
  - for expert testimony, 198
  - for insurance reimbursement, 198, 203–204
  - for law enforcement referral, 198, 201–202, 201*t*
  - multiple versions of, 198–199
  - organization recommendations for, 204–205
  - payroll, procuring, 78
  - professional and nonprofessional involvement in, 236
- Research:
  - additional data sources and evidence, 37–39
  - open-source, 36–37
- Restitution, 76
- Results, data-driven, 24
- Review:
  - by case manager, 81
  - of Data Sleuth Case Plan, 209–210
  - of Data Sleuth data processing, 210
  - Data Sleuth Process solution for, 18
  - of Findings Summaries, 196
  - as problem for forensic accountants, 12–13
  - professional and nonprofessional involvement in, 236
  - of standard Data Sleuth analyses, 210–211
- Risk:
  - and categorization approaches, 81
  - as focus in audits vs. in investigations, 43, 118
  - reduced, with client involvement, 71
- Risk-based analysis, 105–128
  - accounting records and interviews in, 115–117
  - benefits of, 117
  - Case of the Mom of the Year, 118–126, 120*t*, 124*t*, 162
  - Case of the Overworked Office Manager, 229
  - Case of the Puzzling Entries, 106–117, 108*f*, 109*t*–110*t*, 112*f*, 114*t*
    - in Data Sleuth Process, 34–35
    - in divorce cases, 126–127
    - in estate and trust disputes, 128
    - evaluating subject's access through, 86–88
    - money in, 107–111, 108*f*, 109*t*–110*t*
    - money out, 108*f*, 111–115, 112*f*, 114*t*
    - and risk focus in audits vs. in investigations, 118
- Risk indicator analysis, 172, 177, 179–181, 181*t*, 211
  - example for using, 179–181, 181*t*
  - for overpayment of payroll, 193
  - steps in, 179
- Rumsfeld Matrix, 11–12, 11*f*
- Scalability, 1–2
  - Data Sleuth Process solution for, 14–16, 236–237
  - as problem for forensic accounts, 9–10
- Secret Service, 199
- Segregation of duties, for fraud prevention, 243
- Sentencing Hearing, Case of the, 28
- Shareholder dispute cases, end-clients in, 22
- Sherlock Holmes, 24
- Skills for forensic accountants, 4–14
  - accounting and legal knowledge, 7
  - business development, 8
  - detail orientation and communication skills, 6–7
  - learning, 59
  - to meet imposed deadlines, 8
  - technical and strategic skills, 4–5
  - thoroughness and efficiency, 5–6
- Sneaky CFO, Case of the, 215–220, 217*t*, 218*t*, 220*t*
- Social security numbers (SSNs), duplicate, 183, 184*t*
- Source and Use Summary(-ies), 154, 158–170, 210
  - for bank account, 159–166, 160*t*–161*t*
  - client feedback for, 80
  - for credit card account, 167–170, 168*t*
  - extracting detailed transactions from, 175
- Spreadsheets:
  - for comparative analysis, 154
  - for document inventory, 140–142, 141*t*
  - as qualitative data sources, 135
  - for Source and Use Summaries, 159–165, 160*t*–161*t*

- SSNs (social security numbers),
  - duplicate, 183, 184*t*
- Standards, professional, 28–30
- Standard Data Sleuth analyses, 30–31
  - comparative, 153–158
  - Interesting Data Findings, 171–178
  - payroll, 172, 182–193
  - reviewing, 210–211
  - risk indicator, 172, 177, 179–181, 181*t*
  - Source and Use Summary, 153, 154, 158–170
- Standard data sources, for quantitative evidence, 131–132
- State auditor's offices, 200
- State investigation agencies, 200
- Statute of limitations, 137
- Stories, communicating findings through, 6
- Strategy:
  - Data Sleuth Process solution for, 16–17
  - to execute Data Sleuth Process, 209
  - as problem for forensic accountants, 10–12, 11*f*
  - scaling or assigning, 18
  - skills in, 4–5
- Subjects:
  - emails of, 39
  - evaluating access of, 86–88
  - interviews with, 39, 55
- Sustainability:
  - Data Sleuth Process solution for, 18–19
  - as problem for forensic accountants, 13–14
- System exports, quantitative evidence from, 133
- Team(s):
  - case planning with, 15–17
  - for Data Sleuth Process, 3–4
  - issue with analyses of, 14–15
  - trusting input of, 18
- Technical skills, 4–5
- Technology:
  - accounting software audit trail reports, 38–39
  - accounting software general ledger, 38
  - for data processing, 44, 45
  - development of, 41–42
- Testimony, 195, 205–207. *See also*
  - Case(s); Witnesses
  - professional experience in, 236
  - reports for, 198
  - scaling or assigning, 18
  - unyielding scrutiny of, 10, 11
- Thoroughness, 5–6
- Total risk indicators, 186, 189*t*, 190
- Transaction list reports, 38
- Transfers of funds, for Source and Use Summaries, 162–167
- Trials. *See also* Case(s)
  - best evidence in expectation of, 198
  - jury vs. bench, 7
  - testifying at, 195, 205–207 (*See also* Expert witness(es); Testimony)
- United States Postal Inspection Service, 199
- Unreconciled Cash Drawer, Case of the, 225–227
- User logs, 38–39
- Vendor confirmations, 39
- Victims, empathy for, 54–55
- Vision of Data Sleuth Process, 18, 233, 234*f*
- Wires, for Source and Use Summaries, 162, 164, 166
- Withdrawals, for Source and Use Summaries, 163
- Witnesses. *See also* Testimony
  - expert (*see* Expert witness(es))
  - hostile, 13
- Workman Forensics, 3–4, 18–19, 21, 30, 48, 233. *See also* Data Sleuth Process
- Work papers, 196









