

# Index

Page references followed by *fig* indicate an illustrated figure; followed by *t* indicate a table.

## A

- Acting in the world, 37–38*fig*
- Activity-centered curriculum, 43–44*fig*
- ADDIE model, 31, 144, 159
- Aleckson, J. D., 152
- Allen, M., 159
- Alternate reality games (ARGs), 67, 68
- Amazon, 13, 70
- American Psychological Science Society, 29
- American Society for Training & Development (ASTD)
  - ASTD Instructional Systems Design report (2010) by the, 21
  - ASTD State of the Industry Report (2012), 28
  - Competency Model to assess skills and competencies developed by, 136, 179
  - on failure of trainers to use Web 3.0 tools, 21–22, 26
  - on inadequate staff skills for training problem, 21
  - on Kirkpatrick’s four levels of evaluation metrics, 168
  - reporting on organizational learning functions, 18
- Analytics (or “big data”), 71
- Anderson (Allison) case study
  - Intel’s organizational characteristics, 104
  - L&D outcomes at Intel, 106–107
  - L&D work at Intel during the, 104–106

- Anderson (Allison) case study  
*(continued)*  
 learning communities  
 of practice (LCoPs)  
 established during, 107–109  
 Lessons learned from the,  
 107–109  
 Technology Based Training  
 (TBT) Task Force created  
 during, 105
- Apple's iPod Touch, 66
- Arets, J., 93
- Arneson, J., 136
- Assessment instruments  
 ASTD's Competency Model,  
 136, 179  
 Community Maturity Model,  
 115–116  
 eLearning Maturity Model,  
 115  
 Human Capital Capability  
 Scorecard, 116  
 LPI's Capability Map, 22,  
 135–136, 179  
 Research Dog's eLearning  
 Readiness Assessment, 115
- Asynchronous communication,  
 172
- Augmented reality games, 67
- B**
- B-corporations (or benefit  
 corporations) movement,  
 48–49
- Baby Boomers, 29
- Backwards design  
 focusing on metrics, 153–154  
 illustrated diagram of perfor-  
 mance consulting, 153*fig*  
 introduction to the perfor-  
 mance consulting, 152  
 problem solving and design  
 decisions using, 154–156
- Bakia, M., 5
- Balanced scorecard approach, 187
- Bassi, L., 79, 116
- Being There* (Clark), 35
- Benedict, A., 13
- Beyond e-Learning* (Rosenberg),  
 142
- “Big data” (or analytics), 71
- Bingham, T., 162
- Bjork, R., 29
- Black, S. D., 52
- Blauth, C., 29
- Blogs, 26, 64, 172
- Bozarth, J., 163
- Bozarth (Jane) case study  
 background information on,  
 100  
 establishment of L&D during,  
 99, 100–103  
 L&D results of the, 103
- Brain  
 during learning, 39–45  
 as logic machine, 35  
 mental architecture of the,  
 35–36

- problem solving process by  
 the, 36–39  
 Slow Learning pacing the  
 learning experience to  
 accommodation by, 187  
 Bransford, J. D., 40  
 Britz (Mark) case study  
 developing L&D at SMS,  
 90–92  
 establishing L&D at Systems  
 Made Simple, 89  
 L&D outcomes of the, 92  
 lessons learned during,  
 92–93  
 SMS Corporate University  
 concept, 91  
 SMS's organizational  
 characteristics, 90  
 Brown, A. L., 40  
 Bureau of Labor Statistics, 14  
 Business sector  
 changes experienced by the,  
 11–15  
 Information Age and  
 increased competition, 13  
 information technology and  
 Internet impact on, 12–13  
 need for innovation and  
 adaptability by the, 14–15  
 new skills demanded by  
 modern, 13  
*Business Week Online*, 124  
 BYOD (“bring your own  
 device”), 66
- C**  
 Capability Map (LPI), 22,  
 135–136, 179  
 Carroll, J. M., 78  
 Change  
 Allison Rossett on needed  
 L&D, 140  
 constant state of, 11–12  
 experienced by the business  
 sector, 11–15  
 the information technology  
 and Internet driving, 12–13  
 L&D training ghetto  
 instead of adapting to,  
 127–128*fig*  
 learning approach to culture,  
 132–135  
 Marc Rosenberg on needed  
 L&D, 142–143  
 need for companies to  
 innovate and adapt to,  
 14–15  
 parallel paths of P&D and  
 empowering cultural,  
 182–185  
 taking the long game  
 approach to, 186–188  
 training errors as barriers to  
 successful, 30–31  
 Chapnick, S., 115  
 Chats (or instant messaging),  
 65, 172  
*The Checklist Manifesto*  
 (Gawande), 36

- Checklists, 36, 61–62
- Chief Learning Officer* magazine, 13, 104
- Chief Learning Officer* survey (2009), 18–19
- Clark, A., 35
- Clark, R. C., 23, 72
- Clark, R. E., 152
- Clarke, A. C., 4, 5
- Clegg, E., 36, 47
- Cluetrain Manifesto, 12
- Cocking, R. R., 40
- Coffield, F., 29
- Cognitive science
  - on conative aspect of cognition, 25
  - on insert knowledge, 40
  - on meta-cognition, 39
  - on three components of thinking, 41, 43
- Coherent Organization
  - framework, 50, 51*fig*
- Communication
  - Coherent Organization
    - framework for, 50, 51*fig*
  - creating “wirearchy” two-way, 49–53
  - email, 52
  - new capabilities for, 52–53
  - stories and storytelling form of, 50, 52
  - tools for synchronous and asynchronous, 172
  - traditional top-down flow of, 49
  - trust as part of good, 49
- Communities of practice (CoPs)
  - Intel’s L&D use of, 107–109
  - Mark Britz’s use at Systems Made Simple (SMS), 92
- Community Maturity Model, 115–116
- Community Roundtable, 116
- Competencies
  - ASTD on inadequacy of trainer, 21
  - ASTD’s Competency Model to assess skills and, 136, 179
  - LPI’s Capability Map of needed skills and, 22, 135–136, 179
  - SCANS list (1991) of workplace, 15, 43, 165
- Competency Model (ASTD), 136, 179
- Conative cognition, 25
- The Connected Company* (Gray), 15
- Conner, M., 162
- Content development
  - infrastructure, 68–70, 174–176
  - user-generated, 63
  - Web 3.0 system-generated or Semantic Web, 70

- Corbett, A. C., 40
- Corporate Learning Council,  
19, 28
- A Creator's Guide to Transmedia  
Storytelling* (Phillips), 187
- Critical Skills Needs and Resources  
for the Changing Workforce:  
Keeping Skills Competitive*  
(Benedict, Esen, Williams,  
Handsman, Patton and  
Rodeawald), 13
- Cross, J., 13, 18, 43, 104, 162,  
178
- Culture. *See* Organizational  
culture
- D**
- Dale' Cone, 30
- Davidson, C., 39
- de Jager, P., 132
- Department of Veterans Affairs,  
91
- Design for How People Learn*  
(Dirksen), 156
- Development facilitation  
description of, 149–150,  
160–161  
goals and desired outcomes  
of, 161  
informal learning approach  
to, 162–164  
meta-learning used in,  
164–165  
“Digital natives” myth, 29–30
- Dirksen, J., 156
- The Discipline of Organizing*  
(Glushko), 70
- Disintermediation concept, 13
- Dixon, G., 79, 80, 115
- Drive* (Pink), 17
- Dublin, L., 178
- E**
- Ebbinghaus' forgetting curve,  
20*fig*
- Ebbinghaus, H., 19
- Ecclestone, K., 29
- EconWeekly*, 13
- Edmondson, A. C., 53, 54*fig*
- eLearning  
learning management system  
(LMS) tool for, 30, 140  
rapid elearning tools for, 30  
SRI's report (2009)  
assessing, 5
- eLearning Guild “Guild  
Masters,” 139
- eLearning Maturity Model, 115
- eLearning strategy  
elements of, 76–77*fig*  
illustrated diagram of L&D,  
76*fig*
- Electronic Performance Support  
Systems* (Gery), 61
- Email communication, 52
- Employees  
empowering, 48–49  
L&D perspective of, 81–85

Employees (*continued*)

- P&D role in supporting work
  - by, 136–137*fig*
- See also* Learners; Training; Workforce
- Empowerment
  - need to instill employees, 48–49
  - parallel paths of P&D and cultural change, 182–185
  - sources of employee, 49
- Engaging Learning* (Quinn), 41
- Engelbart, D., 36
- Enyon, R., 29
- ePSS (electronic performance support system), 114
- Esen, E., 13
- Estes, F., 23, 152
- “Event” model
  - introduction to the, 19–21
  - lack of trainer competency and skills to apply the, 21–22
- Executive L&D perspective, 86–87
- Experience API, 158, 169
- Expertise
  - created through meaningful practice, 40–45
  - learning needs to establish, 20*fig*–21
  - online “yellow pages” source of, 103
- See also* Subject matter expert (SME)

**F**

- Feedback
    - activity-centered curriculum inclusion of, 44
    - performance consulting approach to, 159
  - Flat Army* (Pontefract), 49, 55
  - Formal learning
    - expensive of, 45
    - L&D assessment component of, 117*t*, 118*t*, 119
    - learning & development (L&D) framework component on, 77–78
    - mixing informal learning with, 27–28, 31, 48
    - needs from novice to experts, 20*fig*
    - social learning seldom included in, 26
    - storytelling used as part of, 50, 52
    - technology used to enhance, 57–61
    - traditional focus on, 3
  - See also* Informal learning; Learning
  - Frey, T., 11
  - Functional fixedness rap, 160
- G**
- Garvin, D. A., 53, 54*fig*
  - Gawande, A., 36

- Generational learning  
differences myth, 29
- Gery, G., 28, 61
- Gino, F., 53, 54*fig*
- Glocer, T., 114
- Glushko, R., 70
- Goals  
for achieving continual  
innovation, 47–48  
development facilitation  
desired outcomes and, 161  
performance augmentation as  
core L&D, 6–7  
to work smarter, 188
- Gottfredson, C., 158
- GPS (global positioning system  
chipset), 67
- Gray, D., 15
- Group Genius: The Creative  
Power of Collaboration*  
(Sawyer), 49
- H**
- Hall, E., 29
- Halo (computer game), 68
- Handsman, R., 13
- Hart, J., 164
- Harvard Business Review*, 54
- Heininen, V., 93
- Help-on-demand, 161
- Helsper, E., 29
- Hodgins, W., 187
- How People Learn* (Bransford,  
Brown, and Cocking), 40
- HTML 5 standard, 68
- Human Capital Capability  
Scorecard, 116
- Human performance  
improvement (HPI) model,  
93, 95–96, 97, 99
- Human performance technology  
(HPT), 151
- Husband, J., 49
- Hutchins, E., 39
- I**
- I Love Bees game, 68
- Immersive Learning* (Pagano),  
68
- Implementing e-Learning* (Cross  
and Dublin), 178
- LMS Global Learning  
Consortium, 169–170
- Inert knowledge, 40
- Informal learning  
development facilitation using  
social and, 162–164  
formal learning mixed with,  
31, 48  
providing opportunities for,  
27–28  
*See also* Formal learning
- Informal Learning* (Cross),  
162
- Information Age, 13, 14
- Information technology (IT)  
impact on business by the  
exploding, 12

- Information technology (IT)  
 (*continued*)  
 performance & development  
 (P&D) at same level  
 and coupled with, 135,  
 177–178  
*See also* Technological tools
- Infrastructure  
 “big data” or analytics  
 generated by xAPI coupled  
 with, 71, 175*fig*, 176  
 content development and  
 architecture, 68–70, 174–176  
 HTML 5 standard, 68  
 integrating meaning-tagged  
 and rule-driven access  
 content, 79  
 L&D assessment component,  
 117*t*, 122–123*t*, 124  
 learning & development  
 (L&D) framework  
 component on, 79  
 performance & development  
 (P&D) tools for, 173–176  
 Web 3.0 or Semantic Web, 70
- Innovation  
 as continual learning, 15  
 need for companies for  
 adaptability and, 14–15  
 new role by L&D for  
 contributing to, 15  
 organizational goal of  
 achieving continual, 47–48
- Innovative Performance Support*  
 (Gottfredson and Mosher),  
 158
- Instant messaging (or chats), 65,  
 172
- Intel case study  
 Allison Anderson’s L&D work  
 during, 104–106  
 L&D outcomes, 106–107  
 learning communities  
 of practice (LCoPs)  
 established during, 107–109  
 lessons learned from the,  
 107–109  
 organizational characteristics,  
 104  
 Technology Based Training  
 (TBT) Task Force created  
 during, 105
- Intellectual Capital* (Stewart), 162
- International Society for  
 Performance Improvement  
 (ISPI), 139
- Internet  
 ASTD Web 3.0 study on social  
 network tools on the, 26  
 change driven by the, 12–13  
 social networking on the, 26,  
 171–173
- Internet Time Alliance, 50, 89,  
 93, 109
- iPod Touch, 66
- Iterative measurement, 169, 170*fig*



**J**

- Jarche, H., 50, 161
- Jennings, C., 28, 48
- Jennings (Charles) case study
  - background information, 109–110
  - establishing L&D during the, 111–112
  - L&D outcomes, 112, 114
  - lessons learned from the, 114–115
  - Reuters' organization
    - characteristics, 110
    - strategy map used during the, 113*fig*
- Jobs, S., 124
- Johnson, S., 49
- Jones, K., 5

**K**

- Kahneman, D., 39
- Kelly, D., 52
- Khaneman, D., 37
- King, B., 11
- Kirkpatrick's four levels of evaluation model, 31, 167–168
- Knowledge dump, 40
- Knowledge management
  - Knowledge management (KM) system, 92
  - personal knowledge management (PKM), 129, 161, 165

- Knowledge test, 40
- Knowledge (inert), 40
- Koedinger, K. R., 40

**L**

- Landau, V., 36
- “Lazy evaluation” concept, 150–151
- Leaders
  - open leadership by, 55
  - reflections by Allison Rossett, 139–142
  - strategic path to P&D taken by, 184
- Learner engagement
  - developing learner confidence for, 24–25
  - importance of, 24
- Learners
  - conative cognition of, 25
  - epistemological stance (beliefs about learning) of, 43
  - keeping them engaged, 24–25
  - “learning out loud” approach by, 52
  - providing activity-centered curriculum, 43–44*fig*
  - providing meaningful practice to, 25, 40–45

- Learners (*continued*)  
 providing performance  
 support and job aids to,  
 26–27  
*See also* Employees
- Learning  
 benefits of social, 25–26  
*Chief Learning Officer* survey  
 (2009) on inadequate  
 organizational, 18–19  
 Corporate Learning Council  
 on rate of improvement  
 in, 19  
 definition of, 53  
 failure of traditional training  
 to result in, 17–18  
 inadequate organizational  
 functions for, 18–19  
 informal, 27–28, 31, 48,  
 162–164  
 innovation as continual, 15  
 learner beliefs about, 43  
 meaningful practice required  
 for, 25, 40–45  
 meta-learning (learning to  
 learn), 43, 164–165  
 our brains during process of,  
 39–45  
 Slow Learning, 187  
 spaced, 41, 42*fig*  
 training errors as barriers to  
 successful, 30–31  
 Zone of Proximal  
 Development for, 41  
*See also* Formal learning;  
 Social learning; Training
- Learning & development  
 (L&D)  
 acknowledging the poor  
 status quo of, 9  
 aligning metrics with  
 components of, 79  
 Allison Rossett on needed  
 change in, 140  
 Marc Rosenberg on needed  
 change in, 142–143  
 measuring results of, 28  
 need to find alternatives to  
 services of, 182  
 new driving innovation role  
 of, 15  
 the outcomes we would see  
 with an effective, 4–6  
 overview of the different  
 components of the, 75–79  
 performance augmentation as  
 core goal of, 6–7  
 stakeholder perspectives on  
 an effective, 81–89  
 strategies to improve  
 outcomes, 128–137  
 technological tools used to  
 augment, 57–72  
 the training ghetto, 127–128*fig*  
*See also* Organizations;  
 Performance &  
 development (P&D);  
 Training

- Learning & development
  - (L&D) assessment
  - formal learning component, 117*t*, 118*t*, 119
  - how to begin your, 116
  - identifying learning needs, 119–120
  - infrastructure component, 117*t*, 122–123*t*, 124
  - instruments recommended for, 115–116
  - metrics component, 116, 117*t*, 122, 123*t*, 124
  - organizational culture component, 116, 117*t*, 118*t*
  - performance focus component, 117*t*, 120, 121*t*
  - social learning component, 117*t*, 120, 121*t*
- Learning & development (L&D) case studies
  - Allison Anderson, 104–109
  - Charles Jennings, 109–115
  - Jane Bozarth, 99–103
  - Mark Britz, 89–93
  - Tulser, 93–99
- Learning & development (L&D) industry
  - examining the current state of failure of the, 1–4
  - new role for contributing to innovation by the, 15
- Learning & development (L&D) strategies
  - doing less, 131–132
  - learning in order to change culture, 132–135
  - shifting from training to performance & development (P&D), 128–131
- Learning and Performance Institute (LPI), 22, 127, 135–136
- Learning Community of Practice (LCoP)
  - Intel's L&D use of, 107–109
  - Mark Britz's use at Systems Made Simple (SMS), 92
- Learning events
  - “event” model focus on, 19–22
  - shifting focus to learning experience from, 43–45
- Learning Everywhere* (Udell), 174
- Learning experiences
  - adapting to a learner's ability and interests, 158
  - shifting focus from learning event to, 43–45
- Slow Learning pacing the, 187
- Learning in the Cloud* (Warschauer), 17
- Learning management system (LMS), 30, 140

- Learning models
- ADDIE, 31, 144, 159
  - “event,” 19–22
  - Kirkpatrick’s four levels of evaluation model, 31, 167–168
  - problem-based, 23
  - spaced learning, 22–23, 41, 42*fig*
- Learning myths
- as barrier to training, 28–29
  - “digital natives,” 29–30
  - learners differ by generation, 29
  - learning styles, 29
- Learning needs
- failure of traditional training to fulfill, 17–18
  - LPI’s Capability Map of needed skills and, 22, 135–136, 179
  - of novice, practitioner, and expert, 20*fig*–21
  - Learning on Demand* (Tozman), 174
- Learning organizations
- characteristics of a, 54*fig*
  - how organizational culture impacts, 53, 55
  - “Learning out loud,” 52
  - Learning Solutions* magazine, 142
  - Learning styles, 29
- Learning tools
- learning experience supported through, 44
  - Web 2.0, 13, 63
  - Web 3.0 study (ASTD, 2011) on, 21–22, 26
  - “Least Assistance Principle,” 78
  - Levin, J. A., 52
  - Levine, R., 12
  - LMS (learning management system), 30, 140
  - Locke, C., 12
  - “Long tail” concept, 13
- M**
- Malone, T., 63
  - Manager L&D perspective, 85–86
  - “Marc My Words” (Rosenberg), 142
  - Marc Rosenberg and Associates, 142
  - McDaniel, J., 29
  - McDaniel, M., 29
  - McGraw, K., 79, 116
  - McMurrer, D., 79, 116
  - Means, B., 5
  - Mehan, H., 52
  - Memory
    - checklists used to trigger our, 36
    - Ebbinghaus’ forgetting curve on, 20*fig*

- Mentoring
- Jane Bozarth's case study on use of, 102–103
  - Mark Britz's case study on use of, 92
  - online “yellow pages,” 103
  - Reuters' use of, 112
- Meta-learning
- description and learning benefits of, 43
  - development facilitation use of, 164–165
- Metrics
- aligning learning & development (L&D) framework to, 79
  - iterative, 169, 170*fig*
  - Kirkpatrick's four levels of evaluation model, 31, 167–168
  - L&D assessment component, 116, 117*t*, 122, 123*t*, 124
  - making the business case for P&D using, 185–186
  - mismeasured and misapplied L&D, 28, 185
  - P&D governance and oversight, 178
  - performance consulting focus on performance, 150, 151–153
- Microblogs, 26, 64–65, 172–173
- Millennials, 29
- mLearning courses, 22
- Mobile devices, 66–68, 173–174
- Moore's law, 11–12
- Moseley, D., 29
- Mosher, B., 158
- Motivation
- engaging learners to drive learning, 24–25
  - how uplifting stories can drive, 50, 52
  - performance consulting's backwards design to improve, 154–156
- Murphy, R., 5
- Mythed training foundations, 28–30
- N**
- Naughton, J., 136
- Netflix, 13, 70
- The New Social Learning* (Bingham and Conner), 162
- The New York Times*, 14
- Nine-Box Model, 95
- North Carolina Office of State Human Resources case study background information on, 100
- Jane Bozarth's establishment of L&D during, 99, 100–103
- L&D results of the, 103
- Now You See It* (Davidson), 39

**O**

Online “yellow pages”

mentoring, 103

Open leadership, 55

Organizational culture

benefits of an enlightened, 4

empowerment through

learning supported by, 48

of experimentation, 77

good communication as

requiring safe, 15, 49

how a learning organization is

created through the, 53–55

L&D assessment component,

116, 117*t*, 118*t*

learning in order to change,

132–135

parallel paths of P&D and

empowering, 182–185

Organizations

Allison Rossett’s reflections

on L&D and, 140–142

change challenge facing

modern, 11–15

continual innovation and

adaptability goals of, 14–15,

47–48

empowering their employees,

48–49

inadequate learning functions

of, 18–19

learning, 53–55

Marc Rosenberg’s reflections

on L&D and, 143–145

taking the long game

approach to change,

186–188

training errors as barriers to

successful change by, 30–31

*See also* Learning &

development (L&D);

Performance &

development (P&D)

Overton, L., 79, 80, 115

**P**

Pagano, K. O., 68

Parker, D., 24

Pashler, K. O., 29

Patton, D., 13

PDAs, 66

Perfetti, C., 40

Performance

as core goal of training, 6–7

shifting from training to

strategy of, 128–131

Performance & Development

Manifesto, 189–190

Performance & development

(P&D)

development facilitation to

redefine, 149–150, 160–165

infrastructure tools for,

173–176

learning strategy used in,

176–177*fig*

using metrics to make the

business case for, 185–186

- parallel paths of empowering cultural change and, 182–185
- performance consulting to
  - redefine, 149–160
- pragmatics of, 176–179
- prioritizing resources for, 165–166
  - at same level and coupled with IT, 135, 177–178
- shifting from training to, 129–130*fig*, 131
- social tools used for, 171–173
  - as supporting work tasks and actions, 136–137*fig*
- taking the steps to move forward toward, 181–190
- technological tools used for, 170–176
- See also* Learning & development (L&D); Organizations
- Performance consulting
  - backwards design approach to, 152–156
  - using a broader analysis in, 151–152
  - description of, 149, 150
  - doing a “lazy evaluation,” 150–151
  - finding specific solutions, 156–160
  - focus on performance metrics, 150, 151–153
- Performance support (PS)
  - checklists as, 36, 61–62
  - developing resources for, 158–159
  - ePSS (electronic performance support system), 114
  - L&D assessment component, 117*t*, 120, 121*t*
  - learning & development (L&D) framework focus on, 78
  - mobile devices for, 66–68
  - prioritizing resources for, 165–167
  - technological tools available for, 61–62
  - unresolved problems with, 26–27
- Perrin, C., 29
- Perrin, P. B., 29
- Personal knowledge
  - management (PKM), 129, 161, 165
- Phillips, A., 187
- Pink, D., 17, 39, 48
- Plan-Do-Check-Act
  - methodology, 97
- Pocketful of Change* (de Jager), 132
- Podcasts, 172
- Pontefract, D., 49
- Practice
  - activity-centered curriculum inclusion of, 43–44*fig*

Practice (*continued*)

- creating expertise through, 40–45
- learning as process of reflection and, 40
- providing opportunities for meaningful, 25
- shifting focus from learning event to learning experience of, 43–45
- spaced learning through process of, 41, 42*fig*
- Prioritizing resources, 165–166
- Problem-based learning, 23
- Problem solving
  - acting in the world approach to, 37–38*fig*
  - functional fixedness trap of, 160
  - performance consulting backwards design for, 154–156
  - process of our, 36–37
  - reflection: role in process of, 38–39
  - set effects trap of, 160
  - two systems of thinking used for, 37
- Productivity-workforce ratio, 13–14

**Q**

- Quinn, C. N., 25, 37, 41, 43, 47, 52, 63, 66, 69, 78, 158, 168

**R**

- Ralston-Berg, P., 152
- Rapid authoring tools, 22
- Rapid elearning tools, 23
- Reflection
  - activity-centered curriculum inclusion of, 43–44*fig*
  - by Allison Rossett, 139–142
  - blogs as form of, 26, 64, 172
  - learning as process of practice and, 40
  - by Marc Rosenberg, 142–145
  - problem solving and role of, 38–39
- Research Dog's eLearning Readiness Assessment, 115
- Resources
  - developing performance support (PS), 158–159
  - prioritizing performance support (PS), 165–166
- Reuter, P. J., 110
- Reuters case study
  - background information, 109–110
  - establishing L&D during the, 111–112
  - L&D outcomes, 112, 114
  - lessons learned from the, 114–115
  - organization characteristics, 110
  - strategy map used during the, 113*fig*



- Reuters Institute of Technology, 114
- RevolutionizeLnD.com, 188
- Robinson, D. G., 150
- Robinson, J. C., 150
- Rodeawald, P., 13
- Rohrer, D., 29
- Rosenberg, M., 142–145
- Rossett, A., 139–142
- Rothwell, W. J., 136
- Rummler’s Nine-Box Model, 95
- S**
- Sach, J., 50
- San Diego State University, 139
- Sawyer, K., 49
- Schmidt, E., 11
- Schwartz, N., 14
- Searles, D., 12
- Secretary’s Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills (SCANS), 15, 43, 165
- Seek, Sense, and Share scaffolding, 161
- Self-learning skills, 43
- Semantic Web (Web 3.0), 70
- Set effects trap, 160
- 70:20:10 Forum and Duntroon Associates, 110
- 70:20:10 Framework Explained* (Jennings), 28
- 70:20:10 service, 28, 93, 96, 112
- “Shiny new object” syndrome, 63–64
- “Show up and throw up” approach, 23
- Show Your Work* (Bozarth), 99
- Skills
- ASTD on inadequate trainer, 21
  - ASTD’s Competency Model to assess competencies and, 136, 179
  - demanded by modern workplace, 13
  - development facilitation and use of basic information, 161
  - Experience API for learning, 158, 169
  - LPI’s Capability Map of needed competencies and, 22, 135–136, 179
  - self-learning, 43
- Slow Learning, 187
- Social learning
- development facilitation using informal and, 162–164
  - failure to offer adequate, 25–26
  - L&D assessment component, 117*t*, 121*t*
  - technological tools used to enhance, 62–65
  - See also* Learning
- Social Learning Handbook* (Hart), 164
- Social Media for Trainers* (Bozarth), 163

- Social networking tools
    - description and availability of, 26
    - performance & development (P&D) using, 171–173
  - Society for Human Resource Management, 13
  - Solutions
    - performance consulting
      - approach to finding specific, 156–160
    - performance consulting
      - backwards design to find, 154–156
  - Spaced learning model
    - description of, 22–23
    - role of meaningful practice in the, 41, 42*fig*
  - Spacing Learning Events Over Time: What the Research Says* (Thalheimer), 42*fig*
  - “Spray and pray” approach, 23
  - SRI’s elearning report (2009), 5
  - Stakeholders
    - employee perspective on L&D, 81–85
    - executive perspective on L&D, 86–87
    - L&D team’s perspective on L&D, 87–89
    - manager perspective on L&D, 85–86
    - strategic path to P&D taken by, 184–185
  - Sternberg, R. J., 188
  - Stewart, T., 162
  - Stories/storytelling
    - communicating through, 50
    - power of uplifting, 50, 52
  - Subject matter expert (SME), 152, 157, 181
    - See also* Expertise
  - Successive Approximation Method (SAM), 159
  - Synchronous communication, 172
  - Systems Made Simple (SMS)
    - case study
      - developing L&D at SMS, 90–92
      - lessons learned during, 92–93
      - L&D outcomes of the, 92
    - Mark Britz on establishing L&D during, 89
    - SMS Corporate University
      - concept, 91
    - SMS’s organizational characteristics, 90
- T**
- Taylor, D. H., 127–128
  - Taylor, F. W., 47
  - Taylorism, 47
  - Technological tools
    - blogs and microblogs, 26, 64–65, 172–173
    - formal learning supported by, 57–61

- infrastructure, 173–176
- instant messaging or chat, 65, 172
- learning & development (L&D) framework
  - component on, 76
- learning management system (LMS) tool for, 30, 140
- learning management systems (LMSs), 30, 140
- mobile devices, 66–68, 173–174
- for performance & development (P&D), 170–176
- performance support using, 61–62
- using the “right stuff,” 187
- “shiny new object” syndrome phenomena, 63–64
- social category of, 26, 171–173
- social learning enhanced through, 62–65
- Web 2.0, 13, 63
- Web 3.0, 21–22, 26, 70
- wikis, 65
- See also* Information technology (IT)
- Texting (or instant messaging), 65, 172
- Thalheimer, W., 19, 30, 42*fig*
- Thinking
  - acting in the world system of, 37–38*fig*
  - multiple tools used for our, 39
  - quick “known” answer system of, 37
  - reflective, 38–39
  - three components of, 41–42
  - Thinking Fast and Slow* (Kahneman), 37
- Thomson Reuters, 114
- 3D printers, 13
- Torralba, F., 13–14
- Towards Maturity, 80, 115
- Toyama, Y., 5
- Toznan, R., 174
- Trainers
  - ASTD on failure to use Web 3.0 tools by, 21–22
  - ASTD on inadequate competencies of, 21
  - perspective on L&D by the, 87–89
- Training
  - activity-centered curriculum, 43–44*fig*
  - ASTD report (2010) on inadequacy of, 21
  - benefits of social learning during, 25–26
  - evidence on inadequate learning model for, 18–19
  - failure of traditional approach to, 17–18

- Training (*continued*)
- performance support and job aids used as part of, 26–27
  - providing meaningful practice as part of, 25, 40–45
  - shifting to performance as strategy instead of, 128–131
  - tapping into informal learning for, 27–28
  - See also* Employees; Learning; Learning & development (L&D)
- Training errors
- antisocial instead of providing social learning, 25–26
  - as barriers to change, 30–31
  - disengaged learners, 24–25
  - inadequate performance support and job aids, 26–27
  - insufficient practice, 25
  - lack of training credibility due to, 31
  - mismeasured and misapplied metrics, 28
  - mythed foundations, 28–30
  - too rigid training vs. tapping into informal learning, 27–28
  - trainers lacking skills and competencies, 21–22
  - wrong learning focus, 22–23
- The training ghetto, 127–128*fig*
- Training models
- ADDIE, 31, 144, 159
  - “event,” 19–22
  - Kirkpatrick’s four levels of evaluation model, 31, 167–168
  - problem-based, 23
  - spaced learning, 22–23, 41, 42*fig*
- Trust-communication relationship, 49
- Tusler case study
- background information on, 93
  - L&D establishment during, 94–95
  - lessons learned from the, 99
  - outcomes of L&D during the, 96–98
  - strategic plan used during, 95–96
  - Tulser’s organizational characteristics, 93–94
- U**
- Udell, C., 174
  - University of California’s Cognitive Technology Lab, 23
  - U.S. Department of Education, 5
  - User-generated content, 63
- V**
- Vidcasts, 172
  - Virtual Worlds technology, 22
  - Vygotsky, L. S., 41

**W**

*The Wall Street Journal*, 13

Wallace, G., 23, 154

Warschauer, M., 17

Web 2.0 tools, 13, 63

Web 3.0

ASTD study (2011) on,  
21–22, 26

system-generated content or  
Semantic Web, 70

Web system development, 69

Weinberger, D., 12

*Where Good Ideas Come From:  
The Natural History of  
Innovation* (Johnson), 49

Wikis, 65

Williams, S., 13

*Winning the Story Wars* (Sach), 50

“Wirearchy” two-way  
communication, 49–53

Wooley, A., 63

Workforce

BLS report on layoffs  
(2010–2012) of the, 14

increased productivity by a  
reduced, 13–14

P&D supporting tasks  
and actions of the,  
136–137*fig*

*See also* Employees

Working smarter goal, 188

Workplace

information technology and  
Internet changing the,  
12–13

studies on skills needed for  
the new, 13

**X**

xAPI (data tracking), 71, 175*fig*,  
176

**Y**

Yelp, 67

**Z**

Zone of Proximal Development,  
41