

Index

Page numbers in *italics* denote figures, those in **bold** denote tables.

- 2 x 2 deposit stability method
- 6 Step Framework *see* Six Step Framework
- ABCP (asset-backed commercial paper), 3, 95, 165
- accounting
 - ALM, 15–16
 - mark-to-market, 16
 - market value, 16
- ALCO *see* Asset-Liability Committee
- alert levels
 - amber, 129, 129, 154, 157–9
 - Contingency Funding Plan, 153–5, 156–9
 - green, 129, 129, 154
 - red, 129, 129, 130, 154, 159
 - stress testing, 129–30, 129
 - yellow, 129, 129, 154, 156–7
- ALM *see* asset-liability management
 - possible actions, 157–9
- APRA (Australian Prudential Regulation Authority), 112
- ASF (available stable funding), 186, 186
- assets, 11, 27, 113
 - contagion, 3
 - funding from, 93
 - HQLA, 76, 77, 113, 117
 - illiquid, 113
 - liquid, 76, 77, 113, 116, 117
 - risk, 44, 44, 64–5
 - securitization, 161
 - unencumbered, 119
- asset sales, 24, 26, 31, 161
- asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP), 3, 95, 165
- Asset-Liability Committee (ALCO), 5, 9, 13, 16–17, 81, 82, 83, 86, 88, 156–7, 169, 170
 - areas of activity, 17–20, 18
 - duties and role, 17
 - local, 87
 - membership, 17
- asset-liability management (ALM), 4, 9, 13–21, 95, 97, 98, 165, 171–2
 - and accounting, 15–16
 - centralization vs. decentralization, 14–15
 - definition, 13
 - enhanced role, 20–1
 - top-down approach *see* top-down approach
- asset-liability mismatch, 19, 74
- asymmetric information, 31–3
- attractiveness of funding sources, 90–1
- audit, internal, 171–2
- Australia
 - funding plan, 88
 - ‘Kangaroo’ market, 47
- Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA), 112
 - availability of funding, 90–1, 170
 - available intraday liquidity, 59
 - available stable funding (ASF), 186, 186
 - avoidance of risk, 10
- back-to-back funding, 97
- back-up lines, 157–8
- BACS payment system, 57
- Bagehot, Walter, 32
- bail-outs, 66
- balance sheet, 11–12, 11, 12, 27, 75, 113
- balance sheet analysis, 112–15, 113
- balance sheet ratios, 113–14
 - Fitch, 114
 - Moody’s, 114
 - Standard and Poor’s, 114
- Baltic countries, 14–15
- Bank of England, 66, 130
- bank failures, 32
- Bank for International Settlements (BIS), 173

- bank runs, 21, 48, 50, 66
- banks, 1, 5, 7–12
- bail-outs, 66
 - balance sheets, 11–12, 11, 12, 27, 75
 - core deposits, 3
 - deposits, 15–16
 - as financial intermediaries, 7, 8
 - financial risk, 7–9, 8
 - investment banks *see* investment banks
 - risk management, 9–11
 - see also individual banks*
- Barclays Bank, risk appetite, 71
- Basel Committee for Banking Supervision (BCBS), 8, 33, 58, 132, 173, 175, 177
- intraday liquidity indicators, 58–60
 - liquidity reserve, 77
 - risk appetite, 78–9
 - ‘Sound Principles’, 37, 38, 70, 112
- Basel I, 31, 173–4
- Basel II, 174, 174
- Basel, 2.5 174
- Basel III, 1, 6, 31, 33, 45, 50, 56, 77, 93, 112, 166, 173–86
- definitions, 181–3, 182
 - Global Regulatory Framework for More Resilient Banks and Banking Systems*, 174
 - International Framework for Liquidity Risk Measurement, Standards and Monitoring*, 174, 177
 - liquidity coverage ratio, 1–2, 31, 58, 77, 93, 114, 131–2, 140, 175
 - Liquidity Coverage Ratio and Liquidity Monitoring Tools*, 174
 - liquidity risk management, 117–21, 120
 - net stable funding ratio, 2, 58, 93, 113, 175, 177, 185–6
- basis risk, 18
- BCBS *see* Basel Committee for Banking Supervision
- Bear Stearns, 65, 66
- BIS (Bank for International Settlements), 173
- Board of directors, 82–3, 82, 86, 87, 170
- borrowing, 24
- ceiling on, 74
 - intragroup, 161
 - secured, 124, 160–1
 - unsecured, 74, 160
- business risk, 31
- business strategy, 88–90
- buy-backs, 179
- call risk, 29
- callable bonds, 27
- Canada, ‘Maple’ programme, 47
- capital, 30–1
- capital markets, 1, 47
- capital reforms, 174
- capital reporting, 19
- Capital Requirements Directive (CRD), 176–7
- Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR), 176
- capitalization, 31
- cash balances, 58
- cash capital calculations, 112
- cash capital position, 114–15
- cash flow, 117, 117
- analysis, 57
 - contractual, 136, 142
 - forecasts, 157
 - intraday, 58, 59
 - minimum coverage ratios, 74–5
 - mismatch, 12, 47, 147
 - noncontractual (discretionary), 137, 138, 142
 - short-term, 29, 43
 - stress testing, 133–4
 - outcomes, 143
 - template, 134
 - timing, 43
- cash flow analysis, 115–22
- cash flow projections, 38, 73, 139, 142, 170, 171
- counterbalancing capacity, 139
 - stressed scenarios, 144, 163, 164
- cash outflows, 179
- CBC (counterbalancing capacity), 132, 139, 175
- CDOs (collateralized debt obligations), 49
- CDs (Certificates of Deposit), 35, 36, 41
- CEBS (Committee of European Banking Supervisors), 175
- centralized ALM, 14–15, 101
- centralized with exceptions, 15
 - fully centralized, 15
- CEO (Chief Executive Officer), 17
- Certificates of Deposit (CDs), 35, 36, 41
- CFDs (Contracts for Differences), 62
- CFO (Chief Finance Officer), 17, 87, 170
- CFP *see* Contingency Funding Plan
- CGFS (Committee on the Global Financial System), 124
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), 17
- Chief Finance Officer (CFO), 17, 87, 170
- Chief Risk Officer (CRO), 17, 83, 87, 170
- cliff risk, 93
- CMC (Crises Management Committee), 159
- Coeur , Beno t, 69, 79
- collateral, 58, 65, 77, 170, 179
- collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), 49
- Committee of European Banking Supervisors (CEBS), 175
- Committee on the Global Financial System (CGFS), 124
- commodities, 9

- common disclosure template, 183–5, 184
 communication, 162
 breakdown of, 164
 competition, 32
Comptroller's Handbook, 8
 concentration limits, 74
 contagion risk, 31–3, 48, 63, 66–7
 Contingency Funding Plan (CFP), 45, 123, 146–66
 alert levels, 153–5
 communication, 162, 164
 decisions and action plans, 155–9
 definition, 147–8
 do and don'ts, 165–6
 early warning indicators, 38, 119, 150–3, 152
 execution, 160–6
 funding sources, 160–2
 lessons learnt, 163–5
 need for, 148–9
 process, 149–50, 149
 reasons for, 147
 regulatory requirements, 148
 stress scenarios, 126, 126, 155
 working framework, 162–3, 162, 163
 contingency funds, 6
 in complex corporate structures, 164
 contingency liquidity risk, 29, 61, 147
 see also off-balance sheet liquidity risk
 contingency planning, 38
 see also Contingency Funding Plan
 Contracts for Differences (CFDs), 62
 contractual cash flows, 136, 142
 contractual maturity mismatch, 118
 core deposits, 3
 COREP, 176
 corporate bonds, 165
 correlation risk, 44, 66–7
 counter-cyclicality, 32, 78
 counterbalancing capacity (CBC), 132, 139, 175
 counterparty risk, 8, 174
 country risk, 9
 Countrywide, 48
 coverage ratios, 74
 CRD (Capital Requirements Directive), 176–7
 credit lines, 58, 160
 credit rating, 47
 credit risk, 8–9, 10, 20, 30, 31, 70
 Credit Risk Committees, 21
 credit spreads, 1
 Crises Management Committee (CMC), 159
 critical payments, 60
 CRO (Chief Risk Officer), 17, 83, 87, 170
 cross-currency liquidity risk, 44, 63
 CRR (Capital Requirements Regulation), 176
 daily maximum liquidity requirement, 58–9
 decentralized ALM, 14–15
 default, 25
 deposit guarantee schemes (DGSs), 179, 181
 deposit markets, 94
 deposits, 15–16
 core, 3
 noncore, 115
 on-demand, 107
 retail, 178, 181
 term, 107
 wholesale, 178
 deregulation, 1
 deterministic ('what if') approach to stress testing, 127–9, 131–2
 DGSs (deposit guarantee schemes), 179, 181
 Dillon Read Capital Management, 105
 discretionary (noncontractual) cash flows, 137, 138, 142
 diversification, 91, 95
 advantages of, 74
 myth of, 66, 89–90
 Dodd-Frank Act, 176
 dotcom bubble, 1
 dynamic cash flows, 116

 early warning indicators (EWIs), 38, 119, 150–3, 152, 164, 170
 monitoring metrics, 151
 review of, 166
 triggers, 151, 152, 164–5
 see also alert levels
 EBA (European Banking Authority), 56, 137, 140, 180
 ECB (European Central Bank), 63, 133, 137
 EMTN (Euro Medium Term Note), 29
 enterprise-wide risk management, 20
 equities, 9
 ESCB (European System of Central Banks), 61
 Euro Medium Term Note (EMTN), 29
 Europe
 liquidity coverage ratio, 1–2, 31, 58
 net stable funding ratio, 2, 58
 European Banking Authority (EBA), 56, 137, 140, 180
 European bond market, 91
 European Central Bank (ECB), 63, 133, 137
 European System of Central Banks (ESCB), 61
 European Union, Capital Requirements Directive, 176–7
 EWIs *see* early warning indicators
 execution risk, 9
 Executive Committee (Exco), 16, 17, 19, 81, 83, 86, 170

- extendables, 35, 36, 41
 external shocks, 1, 43
- Federal Reserve System, 176
 financial literacy, 169
 financial risk, 7–9, 8
 Financial Services Association (FSA), 37, 175
 FINREP, 176
 firm-specific stresses, 124, 164
 Fitch balance sheet ratio method, 114
 fixed rate bonds, 27
 flight to quality, 2, 160, 161, 179
 floating rate note (FRN), 101
 foreign exchange risk, 9
 franchise-viability risk, 44
 FRN (floating rate note), 101
 FSA (Financial Services Authority) now PRA
 (Prudential Regulation Authority), 37, 175
- FTP *see* funds transfer pricing
 fund transfer pricing (FTP), 6, 14
 principles, 96–7, 97
- funding
 - availability, 90–1, 170
 - concentration, 44, 65–6, 118–19
 - concentration risk, 124
 - cost risk, 44, 44, 63–4
 - gap, 19
 - liquidity risk, 26, 29
 - mismatch, 170
 - policy, 6
 - scorecard, 54–7, 55, 57, 90–1, 92
 - secured, 92, 94
 - unsecured, 92, 157
- funding sources, 52, 90–1, 170
 - attractiveness of, 90–1
 - scorecard approach, 54–7, 55, 57, 90–1, 92
 - shift in, 94
 - stickiness of, 52, 53
 - UK, 2 x 2 deposit stability method, 52–4, 52, 54
- funding strategy, 88–95
 - availability and attractiveness, 90–1
 - business strategy, 88–90
 - lessons learnt, 94–5
 - MARS acronym, 89
 - medium-long term, 91–3, 92
 - myth of diversification, 66, 89–90
 - objectives, 89
 - plan requirements, 88–90
 - stand-alone, 89
 - viability, 93
- funds transfer pricing (FTP), 38, 95–108
 - application of, 105–8, 106
 - assignment, 99, 99, 101–3, 102
 - direct costs, 100, 100
 - example, 102–3, 102
 - indirect costs, 100, 100
 - matched funding method, 98, 104, 104
 - model, 98–9
 - objectives, 97–8
 - pooled (average) cost method, 103, 103, 104
 - principles, 97–8
 - rate determination, 99, 99, 100–1, 100
 - transfer pricing curves, 99, 100–1, 100
 - two-dimensional approach, 98–9
 - uses, 97
- future planning, 88
- gap analysis, 180
 glide-path ratio, 130
 global liquidity cycles, 69
 global liquidity standard, 177–8
*Global Regulatory Framework for More Resilient
 Banks and Banking Systems*, 174
 globalization, 1
 governance, 37, 38, 40, 81–109
 - ALCO *see* Asset-Liability Committee
 - Board of directors, 82–3, 82, 86, 87
 - Executive Committee, 16, 17, 19, 81, 83, 86
 - funding strategy, 88–95
 - funds transfer pricing, 38, 95–108
 - liquidity management framework, 84–5, 84
 - Liquidity Policy, 6, 45, 85–8
 - management structure, 87
 - senior management, 83–4
 - structure, 82
 - top-down approach, 4, 16, 20, 36, 37, 39, 76, 84
- green alert level, 129, 129, 154
 Group of Senior Supervisors, 81
- haircuts, 66, 74, 75, 77, 94, 115, 157, 160, 179
 hedge funds, 48
 hedging, 10
 Heraclitus of Ephesus, 2
 Herfindahl Index, 65
 high-level liquidity policy, 84–5, 84
 high-quality liquid assets (HQLA), 76, 77, 113, 117, 133, 140–1, 156
 - increasing level of, 157
- HQLA *see* high-quality liquid assets
- Icelandic banks, 63, 161
 ILAA (Individual Liquidity Adequacy Assessment), 37–8, 81, 130
 ILG (Individual Liquidity Guidance), 130–1
 illiquid assets, 113
 illiquidity, 3, 31, 64
 Individual Liquidity Adequacy Assessment (ILAA), 37–8, 81, 130
 Individual Liquidity Guidance (ILG), 130–1
 individual liquidity risk, 43, 44

- information
 agenda, 169–70
 asymmetric, 31–3
 Institute of International Finance (IIF), 37, 81, 88
 integrated treasury operations, 20
 interbank market, 95, 165
 interest gap risk, 15–16, 18
 interest rate risk, 9, 10, 97
 management, 18
 internal audit, 171–2
 internal controls, 171–2
International Convergence of Capital Measurements and Capital Standards, 173–4
International Framework for Liquidity Risk Measurement, Standards and Monitoring, 174, 177
 intraday cash flows, 58, 59
 intraday liquidity risk, 44, 57–60, 59, 145–6
 intragroup borrowing, 161
 intragroup liquidity risk, 44, 60–1
 investment banks, 1, 48
- LCR *see* liquidity coverage ratio
 LDR *see* loan to deposit ratio
 legal risk, 9
 Lehman Brothers, 132
 lender of last resort (LLR), 32
 lending risk, 8, 10
 leverage, 1, 175
 liabilities, 11, 27, 53, 113
 funding from, 93
 repricing, 64
 LIBID (London Inter-Bank Bid Rate), 105
 LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate), 105
 liquid asset ratio, 122
 liquid assets, 116
 HQLA, 76, 77, 113, 117
 liquidity, 23–34
 available intraday, 59
 costs, 96, 97
 definition, 23–4
 lack of *see* illiquidity
 macroeconomic perspective, 31–3
 recycling, 46, 47
 regulatory focus, 36–8
 sources of, 24, 24
 liquidity buffer *see* liquidity reserve
 Liquidity Contingency Plan *see* Contingency Funding Plan
 liquidity coverage ratio (LCR), 1–2, 31, 58, 77, 93, 114, 140, 175, 178–85
 challenges, 180–1
 common disclosure template, 183–5, 184
 components of, 178–9
 disclosure, 182
 what-if scenario, 131–2
Liquidity Coverage Ratio and Liquidity Monitoring Tools, 174
 liquidity crises, 1, 3
 liquidity gap, 26–7, 116
see also maturity mismatch
 liquidity management framework, 84–5, 84, 97
 liquidity management team (LMT), 158–9
 liquidity measures, 111–22
 balance sheet analysis, 112–15, 113
 Basel III metrics, 117–21, 120
 cash flow analysis, 115–22
 use of, 121
 liquidity monitoring, 86
 Liquidity Policy, 6, 45, 85–8
 objectives, 85
 overlap of duties, 87
 qualitative requirements, 86
 quantitative requirements, 86
 responsibilities, 86
 risk tolerance, 85
 scope, 85–6
 liquidity pool, 114
 liquidity reserve, 19, 24, 31, 43, 61, 63, 76–9, 157
 composition, 77
 cost of, 101
 scope of *see* liquidity coverage ratio
 size, 76–7
 UK, 78
 untouchable, 78
 useable, 78
 liquidity risk, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10–11, 23, 25–7, 26, 36–7, 70, 72, 93, 147
 definition, 25, 26, 115
 individual, 43, 44
 intraday, 44, 57–60, 59, 145–6
 management, 18–19, 37, 84–5, 84, 97, 111–22
 sources of, 43–67, 44, 126, 126
 systemic, 43, 44
 tactical, 29
 technical, 43, 44
 timing factor, 27, 29–30, 29, 30
see also Six Step Framework
 liquidity risk appetite (LRA), 71
see also risk appetite
 liquidity risk statement
 survival time period, 75–6
 tolerance limit approach, 73–5
 liquidity risk tolerance limit approach, 72
 liquidity shocks, 36–7
 liquidity stability score, 54–7, 55, 57
 liquidity stress time horizons, 132–3, 133
 Lloyd's Bank, maturity mismatch report, 28
 LLR (lender of last resort), 32
 LMT (liquidity management team), 158–9

- loan to deposit ratio (LDR), 52, 74
- loans
- corporate lending, 75
 - lending risk, 8, 10
 - revaluation of outflows, 158
 - securities lending, 93
- London Inter-Bank Bid Rate (LIBID), 105
- London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR), 105
- long-term bonds, 29
- long-term funding, 91–3, **92**
- long-term funding gap, **51**
- long-term maturities, **51**
- losses, 31
- low probability high impact (LPHI) risk, 45
- LPHI (low probability high impact) risk, 45
- LRA (liquidity risk appetite), 71
- LRD (loan to deposit ratio), 52, 74
- macroeconomics, 1, 31–3
- management failure, 81
- management reporting, 169–71
- margin calls, 27
- mark-to-market accounting, 16
- market funding, 114
- market liquidity
- overreliance on, 165
 - risk, 26, 29
- market risk, 9, 18, 31
- market value accounting, 16
- market-related monitoring tools, 119
- market-wide risk, 43
- market-wide stresses, 124
- marketable assets, 64
- MARS acronym, 89
- matched funding, 98, 104, *104*
- maturity, 89
- maturity gap, 86
- cap on funding, 74–5
- maturity mismatch, 12, 27, **28**, 96, 115
- contractual, 118
- maturity transformation, 23, 27, 97–8
- Matz, Leonard, 128
- maximum gap risk, 74–5
- medium-term funding, 91–3, **92**
- meeko chart, 54
- minimum cash flow coverage ratios, 74–5
- minimum liquidity reserve amount, 73
- minimum survival period, 73
- mismatch
- asset-liability, 19, 74
 - cash flows, 12, 47, 147
 - contractual maturity, 118
 - liquidity risk, 29
 - maturity, 12, 27, **28**, 96, 115, 118
- mitigation of risk, 10
- model risk, 9
- monetary easing, 78
- monitoring metrics, 151
- Monte Carlo simulations, 127
- Moody's balance sheet ratio method, 114
- Moody's cash capital position, 114–15
- moral hazard, 32
- myth of diversification, 66, 89–90
- Net Interest Margin, 95
- net stable funding ratio (NSFR), 2, 58, 93, 113, 175, 177, 185–6, *186*
- new funds, 46
- nonbank financial institutions, 107
- noncontractual cash flows, 137, *138*, *142*
- noncontractual contingencies, 164
- noncore deposits, 115
- nonfinancial institutions, 107
- nonmarketable assets, 64
- Northern Rock, 33, 48, *66*
- NSFR (net stable funding ratio), 2, 58, 93, 113, 175, 177, 185–6, *186*
- off-balance sheet
- activities, 96, 117, 140, 166
 - liquidity risk, *44*, 61–3, 165
- on-demand deposits, 107
- one size fits all, 14, 35, 39
- operational liquidity risk, 29
- operational risk, 9
- origin of risk, 29
- pooled (average) cost FTP, 103, *103*, *104*
- PRA *see* Prudential Regulatory Authority
- pragmatism, 2
- price to win, 157
- Prince, Chuck, 48
- Principles for Sound Liquidity Risk Management and Supervision Guidance*, 125
- pro-cyclical incidents, 175
- product approval, 96
- product pricing, 96, 97
- profitability measurement, 97
- Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA), 33, 41, 44, 64, 140
- liquidity risk list, 67
 - risk severity guidance, 130–1
- putable CDs *see* extendables
- Quantitative Framework, 90
- quantitative liquidity risk framework, 111–22
- rating agencies, 113–14
- recycling of liquidity, 46, *47*
- red alert level, 129, *129*, 130, *154*
- possible actions, 159
- refinancing, 25, 26

- regulatory requirements, 36–8
 Contingency Funding Plan, 148
see also specific instruments
- regulatory risk, 9
- repayments, 24
- reporting, 169–72
- repos, 93, 94
 optimization, 156
- repricing, 64
- required stable funding (RSF), 186, 186
- residential mortgage backed security (RMBS)
 market, 65, 66
- resilience, short-term, 177, 178
- retail deposits, 178
 definition, 181
- retail funding risk, 44, 45–6, 50, 52–7, 55, 57
 funding sources, 52
 scorecard approach, 54–7, 55, 57
 UK, 2 x 2 deposit stability method, 52–4, 52, 54
- reverse stress testing, 146
- risk, 89
 asset, 44, 44, 64–5
 avoidance of, 10
 basic, 18
 business, 31
 call, 29
 cliff, 93
 contagion, 31–3, 48, 63, 66–7
 contingency liquidity, 29, 61
 correlation, 44, 66–7
 counterparty, 8, 174
 country, 9
 credit, 8–9, 10, 20, 30, 31, 70
 cross-currency liquidity, 44, 63
 execution, 9
 financial, 7–9, 8
 foreign exchange, 9
 franchise-viability, 44
 interest gap, 15–16, 18
 interest rate, 9, 10, 18, 97
 intraday liquidity, 44, 57–60, 59, 145–6
 intragroup liquidity, 44, 60–1
 legal, 9
 lending, 8, 10
 liquidity *see* liquidity risk
 low probability high impact, 45
 market, 9, 18, 31
 market liquidity, 26, 29
 maximum gap, 74–5
 mitigation, 10
 operational, 9
 origin of, 29
 regulatory, 9
 retail funding *see* retail funding risk
 term, 29
- risk appetite, 39–40, 69–79, 89, 126
 appropriate level, 70, 71–3
 Barclays Bank, 71
 liquidity reserve, 24, 76–9
 liquidity risk tolerance limit approach, 72
 minimum liquidity reserve amount, 73
 minimum survival period, 73
 qualitative measures, 72
 quantitative measures, 72
 review of, 78–9
 scenario-based limits, 73
- risk appetite statement, 69–70
 drawing up, 70–6
- risk aversion, 95
- Risk Committee, 169, 170
- risk horizon, 124
- risk limits, 19–20
- risk management, 3, 9–11
 acceptance, 10
 avoidance, 10
 mitigation, 10, 96
 transfer, 10
- risk scenarios, 73, 75
- risk tolerance, 86
- risk-reward relationship, 70–1
- RMBS (residential mortgage backed security)
 market, 65, 66
- rollovers, 93
- RSF (required stable funding), 186, 186
- run-off rates, 114, 131, 178, 179
- sale of assets, 24, 26, 31, 161
- scenario-based stress testing, 73, 75, 127
 analysis of outcome, 141–5, 142–5
 Contingency Funding Plan, 126, 126, 155
 definition of scenarios, 134–5, 135
 deterministic, 128–9
 increased frequency, 157
 liquidity coverage ratio, 131–2
 playing out, 135–41, 136, 138, 139
 sensitivity analysis, 145
 step-by-step approach, 133–4
 survival horizon, 144
- scorecard approach, 54–7, 55, 57, 90–1
- secured borrowing, 124, 160–1
- secured funding, 92, 94
- securities houses, 11
- securities lending, 93
- securitization, 35, 48, 61, 90, 92, 113, 158, 174, 161
- self-sufficiency, 60
- senior management, 83–4
- sensitivity analysis, 29, 125, 145
- sensitivity-based stress testing, 127
- settlement risk, 9
- short-term cash flows, 29, 43

- short-term funding, 92, 93, 115
 short-term funding gap, **51**
 short-term maturities, **51**
 short-term resilience, 177, 178
 shot gun approach, 2
 Single Rulebook, 176
 SIVs (special investment vehicles), 48, 95
 Six Step Framework, 4, 5, 5, 30, 35–41, 39
 Contingency Funding Plan, 45, 123, 146–66
 governance and high-level policy, 81–109
 quantitative liquidity risk framework, 111–22
 reporting and management information, 169–72
 risk appetite, 39–40, 69–79
 Sources of Liquidity Risk, 43–67
 stress testing, 6, 29, 38, 39, 71, 77, 85, 94, 116, 123–46
 SLRP (Supervisory Liquidity Review Process), 130
 small-to-medium size enterprises (SMEs), 45–6, 67, 140, 183
 SMEs (small-to-medium size enterprises), 45–6, 67, 140, 183
 solvency, 25, 30–1
 Sources of Liquidity Risk, 43–67, 44
 asset risk, 44, 64–5
 contagion risk, 31–3, 48, 63, 66–7
 correlation risk, 44, 66–7
 cross-currency liquidity risk, 44, 63
 funding concentration risk, 44, 65–6
 funding cost risk, 44, 44, 63–4
 individual/idiosyncratic, 43, 44
 intraday liquidity risk, 44, 57–60, 59
 intragroup liquidity risk, 44, 60–1
 off-balance sheet liquidity risk, 44, 61–3
 retail funding risk, 44, 45–6, 50, 52–7, 52, 54, 55, **57**
 systemic, 43, 44
 technical/timing, 43, 44
 wholesale funding risk, 44, 45–50, **51**
 Sources of Liquidity Risk Report, 39, 90
 SPADE *see* Contingency Funding Plan
 special investment vehicles (SIVs), 48, 95
 stability, 89
 Standard and Poor's balance sheet ratio method, 114
 stickiness of funding sources, 52, 53
 stock-based stress testing, 134
 stress assumptions, 181–5, 182, 184
 stress testing, 6, 29, 38, 39, 71, 77, 85, 94, 116, 123–67
 alert levels, 129–30, 129
 choice of model, 126–7
 deterministic ('what if') approach, 127–9, 131–2
 glide-path ratio, 130
 performance of, 124
 principles, 125
 reasons for failure, 124–5
 reverse, 146
 scenario-based, 73, 75, 127
 analysis of outcome, 141–5, 142–5
 Contingency Funding Plan, 126, 126, 155
 definition of scenarios, 134–5, 135
 deterministic, 128–9
 liquidity coverage ratio, 131–2
 playing out, 135–41, 136, 138, 139
 sensitivity analysis, 145
 step-by-step approach, 133–4
 survival horizon, 144
 sensitivity-based, 127
 severity, 129–32, 129
 stock-based, 134
 time horizons, 132–3, 133
 VaR model, 127
 within Six Step Framework, 126, 126
Stress Testing at Major Financial Institutions: Survey Results and Practice, 124
 structural liquidity risk, 29
 structured investments, 1
 subprime mortgages, 1, 66, 105
 Supervisory Liquidity Review Process (SLRP), 130
 survival time period, 75–6
 SWOT analysis, 66
 systemic liquidity risk, 43, 44
 tactical liquidity risk, 29
 technical liquidity risk, 43, 44
 term deposits, 107
 term risk, 29
 time-specific payments, 60
 timing of cash flows, 43
 tolerance limit approach, 73–5
 cap on maturity gap funding, 74–5
 ceiling on borrowing, 74
 concentration limits, 74
 maximum LDR, 74
 too big to fail, 32
 top-down approach, 4, 16, 20, 36, 37, 39, 76, 84
 total payments, 59–60
 trading book, 174
 transfer pricing curves, 99, 100–1, 100
 transformation risk, 12
 trends vs. numbers, 170
 triggers, 151, 152, 164–5
 hard, 165
 two by two deposit stability method, 52–4, 52, 54
 UBS, 105
 UK
 2 x 2 deposit stability method, 52–4, 52, 54
 BACS payment system, 57

-
- Individual Liquidity Adequacy Assessment, 37–8
 - liquidity reserve, 78
 - Northern Rock, 33, 48, 66
 - Prudential Regulation Authority, 33, 41, 44, 64, 67
 - unencumbered assets, 119
 - unpredictability, 10–11
 - unsecured borrowing, 74, 160
 - unsecured funding, 92, 157
 - USA
 - bank failures, 32
 - Bear Stearns, 65, 66
 - Countrywide, 48
 - Dodd-Frank Act, 176
 - Federal Reserve System, 176
 - subprime mortgages, 1, 66, 105
 - value at risk (VaR), 18
 - vanilla fixed income instruments, 1
 - VaR stress test model, 127
 - what-if (deterministic) approach to stress testing, 127–9, 131–2
 - wholesale deposits, 178
 - wholesale funding risk, 44, 45–50, **51**
 - advantages, 48–9
 - disadvantages, 49
 - emphasis and benefits, 46–9
 - new and recycled funds, 46, 47
 - yellow alert level, 129, 129, 154
 - possible actions, 156–7
 - yield curve shifts, 18

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>