

INDEX

- Accountants, privilege for**
- administration of justice, and 4.66
 - arbitrary distinctions, duty to avoid 4.61
 - Canada 5.63–6.67
 - confidentiality and tax advice 4.64–4.65
 - legal costs 4.62
 - legal advice privilege 2.14, 4.02, 4.03, 4.49–4.72
 - policy arguments for extending LAP 4.61–4.62
 - policy arguments for denying LAP 4.55, 4.63–4.67
 - professional standards 4.60
 - Re Prudential* case study 4.49–4.72
 - tax advice, special treatment 4.68–4.72
 - tax avoidance 4.56–4.58
 - uncertainty 4.51–4.54
- admissibility** *see also evidence*
- privilege as rule, of 3.01, 7.162–7.169, 9.73–9.84
- agents** *see also third parties*
- intermediaries, of client 1.31 3.51, 3.57
 - lawyers, and 4.75–4.78
- Australia**
- abrogation of privilege 1.48
 - client legal privilege 1.47
 - client test, abolition of 3.49–3.56
 - common law 1.47
 - corporate client, defined 3.49–3.56
 - corporate privilege, generally 1.54
 - crime-fraud exception 1.53, 8.04, 8.16, 8.28, 8.33, 8.42, 8.45, 8.46
 - directors' rights to privileged material 6.95–6.100
 - dominant purpose test,
 - document and communication, difference between 1.50, 5.14–5.17
 - fairness of 5.30
 - objective purpose of document 5.22
 - significance of 1.51
 - stage of investigation 5.98, 5.101
 - third parties 5.23 - expert evidence 7.119–7.122
 - fundamental human right, as 1.48
 - going behind privilege claim 8.100
 - in-house counsel 4.34–4.38
 - joint clients
 - company and corporate officers, as 6.33–6.36
 - retainer, significance of 6.37–6.40 - legal advice, meaning of 5.45,
 - legal advice privilege
 - deal team privilege 7.26
 - third party communications, and 1.49 - legal advisers, meaning of 1.52, 4.11–4.13, 4.34–4.38
 - litigation, meaning of 5.60
 - New South Wales
 - professional confidential relationship privilege 4.41 - procedure 1.58
 - procedure for claiming privilege 8.95–8.99
 - shareholders' rights to privileged material 6.83–6.85
- sole purpose test**
- application 5.134
 - rejection of 5.135–5.136
 - statutory codification 1.47
 - unintentional disclosure 7.157–7.160
 - waiver
 - company and its officers, authority to waive 6.66–6.69, 6.92
 - consistency test 7.74–7.78
 - expert evidence 7.119–7.122
 - generally 1.55–1.57
 - limited waiver
 - internal company communications 7.21, 7.24
 - regulatory agencies 7.53 - limited purpose waiver 7.64–7.67
 - 'material fact' or 'putting in issue' 7.129, 7.135
 - outside of litigation 7.75–7.78
 - quantitative test 7.85
 - uniform evidence legislation, under 7.85

Bingham Inquiry

BCCI, issue 3.07–3.12

Bingham, Report 3.11

Bingham Inquiry Unit

composition 3.10

corporate client, as 3.22, 3.23

Canada

accountants, treatment of 4.63–4.67

corporate client, defined 3.57–3.58

corporate privilege, generally 1.66–1.67

crime-fraud exception 1.65, 8.33, 8.53

deal team privilege 7.25

expert evidence 7.113–7.114

fundamental human right, as 1.60–1.61

in-house counsel 4.16, 4.17

'innocence at stake' exception 2.65

lawyer's agents 4.77

legal adviser, meaning of 1.62, 4.03, 4.16–4.17

litigation privilege 1.59, 1.63, 2.46

personal relationships not dealing with legal advice 4.05

purpose test 1.64

shareholders' rights to privileged material 1.66, 6.82

solicitor/client privilege 1.59

unintentional disclosure 7.161

waiver

company and its officers, authority to

waive 6.51–6.53, 6.92

expert evidence 7.113–7.114

fairness test 7.80

limited waiver

deal team privilege 7.25

internal company communications 7.22–7.24

regulatory agencies 7.48, 7.51

- Canada (cont.):**
 limited purpose waiver 1.68, 7.69
 ‘material fact’ or ‘putting in issue’ 7.129, 7.133
- choice of law**
 alternative rules 8.60
 lex fori 8.56–8.60
- client test**
 abolition in Australia 3.49–3.56
- common defense privilege** 7.41
- common interest privilege**
 anti-waiver device, as 7.38
 conflicts of interest, and 7.34–7.36
 joint client and joint interest privilege, relationship with 6.12–6.15
 legal advice privilege, and 7.43
 limited waiver 7.28–7.29
 litigation, and 7.40–7.42
 relationships applied, to 7.32
 scope 7.40–7.43
 ‘sword’, as 7.39
 third parties’ means to access privileged material 7.39
 time at which common interest existed 7.37
- confidentiality** *see also disclosure; waiver*
 approaches, to 7.04–7.14
 breach of confidence 1.45, 7.09, 7.13, 7.163
 compulsory disclosure 7.11
 limited waiver, and 7.58–7.63
 mistaken or unauthorized disclosure 7.13
 requirement 7.04–7.14
 waiver of privilege, distinguished 7.05–7.14
- conflicts of interest**
 common interest privilege 7.34–7.36
 corporate agents and the company 6.41–6.44, 9.03, 9.09
- control of corporate privilege** *see also waiver*
 disputes
 company/directors/employees 6.17
 company/members 6.18
 divergent policies across jurisdictions 6.16
 inter-jurisdictional dialogue 6.16
 introduction 6.01–6.18
 joint client/joint interest/common interest privilege
 relationship, between 6.13–6.15
 privilege holders 6.19–6.69
 client identification 6.20–6.23
 company and corporate officers as joint clients 6.26–6.53
 joint client privilege 6.24–6.25
 joint/common/conflicting interests, significance of 6.41–6.49
 retainer focused approach 6.37–6.40
 warnings to employees 6.50–6.55
 waiver 6.54–6.69
- taxonomy of control, waiver and loss of privilege** 6.05–6.12
- corporate agents** *see also corporate officers and employees*
 corporate client, membership of; *see Chapter 3 generally*
 purpose, of 5.22
 joint clients, as 6.26
- corporate client** *see also Three Rivers litigation*
 agents’ communications 3.106
- broad agency test 3.42, 3.46, 3.95
 certainty, requirement for 3.94–3.109
 definition
 Australia 3.49–3.56
 Canada 3.57–3.58
 England 1.37, 3.07–3.39, 3.60–3.87
 A ‘Goldilocks’ definition? 3.95–3.99
 United States 3.41–3.48
 employees 3.72
 introduction 3.01–3.06
 legal purpose test, relationship with 3.102
 narrow definition
 case, against 3.72–3.109
 case, for 3.59–3.71
 principles for identification 3.88–3.109
 public body test 3.26
 sole purpose test, as alternative 3.102, 3.103
 subject matter test 3.47, 3.48, 3.109
 test 3.42, 3.43–3.45, 3.48, 3.95, 3.105
 witnesses, exclusion from definition 3.107–3.109
- corporate officers** *see also employees; corporate agents*
 protection for 9.68–9.75
- company and corporate officers as joint clients** 6.26–6.53
- directors**
 Australia 6.95–6.100
 duty to obtain legal advice 9.22–9.23
 full and frank instructions 9.24–9.32
 rights to privileged material 6.88–6.106
 small and medium-sized enterprises 9.36–9.39
 United States 6.101–6.106
 waiver 6.67–6.69, 6.92, 6.105–6.106
- use immunity, for**
 corporate agents’ behaviour, effect on 9.81–9.85
 jurisprudential support 9.76–9.77
 rationale 9.73–9.75
 scope 9.78–9.80
- corporate privilege** *see also control of corporate privilege; rationale*
 Australia, generally 1.54
 benefits 1.12–1.15
 boundaries 1.93–1.104
 Canada, generally 1.66–1.67
 certainty 1.93–1.104
 controlling devices, used 1.76–1.92
 corporate communications and corporate knowledge, distinction between 1.19–1.20
 corporate governance, and 9.31–9.32
 costs 1.16–1.20
 effects, research on 1.21
 enforcement, significance of 9.34–9.35
 England, generally 1.37
 full and frank instructions 9.24–9.32
 individuals, compared 1.05–1.07
 optimal scope
 control group 9.20–9.23
 introduction 9.01–9.11
 re-examination of 9.12–9.85
 small and medium-sized enterprises 9.36–9.39
 practical realities 9.33
 promotion of desirable behaviour 9.16–9.19
 qualified corporate privilege 9.54–9.67

Copyrighted Material

Index

- regulatory responses 9.42–9.53
uncertainty 1.93–1.104
United States, generally 1.74
corporation's purpose *see* **purpose**
crime-fraud or iniquity exception
advice on consequences of past conduct 8.24
Australia, generally 1.53
burden of proof 8.45
Canada, generally 1.65
causality 8.17–8.24
challenging privilege claims on ground, of 8.43–8.45
conduct contrary to public policy 8.10–8.12
court's powers of inspection 8.46–8.50
dishonest conduct 8.07
England, generally 1.36
fraudulent purpose 8.25–8.30
future wrongs 8.20
innocent client 8.28–8.30
'in furtherance' requirement 8.19
iniquitous conduct 8.08–8.12, 8.15
intention, formation of 8.21–8.23
introduction 8.01–8.02
litigation, application to 8.31–8.34
practical consequences 8.13–8.16
prevention of abuse *ex ante* 8.36–8.40
prima facie standard of proof 8.44
procedure 8.35–8.55
report of abuse to court 8.41–8.55
scope 8.03–8.16
standard of proof 8.51–8.55
United States, generally 1.83
- deal team privilege** 7.25–7.27
directors *see* **corporate officers**
disclosure of privileged material *see also* **confidentiality; waiver**
compulsory disclosure to regulators 7.46–7.57
corporate performance, and 9.50–9.53
corporate response to increased risk, of 9.45–9.49
inadvertent disclosure (*see* **unintentional disclosure**)
limited disclosure to regulators 7.53
public interest exception 7.146
purpose, of 7.98
selective disclosure 7.10, 7.12, 7.15
unintentional disclosure 7.143–7.169
 crime-fraud application 7.156
 litigation, in 7.148–7.156
 litigation, outside 7.144–7.147
 principles determining mistake 7.150
 reforms 7.162–7.169
 relief 1.45, 7.155
voluntary disclosure as waiver 7.15
- documents**
annotations by lawyers 5.20
communication, relationship with 5.31–5.44
copies 5.14–5.15
nature, of 5.50
non-privileged originals 5.14, 5.17–5.18, 5.21
redaction 7.99–7.103
- employees** *see also* **corporate agents**
corporate client, as 3.02, 3.04, 3.22, 3.23, 3.72–3.74
- broad agency test 3.46
control group test 3.43–3.44, 3.48
subject matter test 3.47, 3.48, 3.109
separate privileges, case for 6.66–6.69, 9.72–9.84
unfairness, to 3.81–3.82, 6.57–6.69
value of corporate privilege, to 9.40–9.41
- England**
client's successors 1.38
company and corporate officers as joint
 clients 6.27–6.32
copies and selections 1.35, 5.19–5.20
corporate client, defined 3.07–3.39, 3.60–3.87
corporate privilege, generally 1.37
crime-fraud or iniquity exception 1.36, 8.07–8.14,
 8.22, 8.25–8.29, 8.31–8.34
expert evidence 7.106–7.112
going behind privilege claim 8.89–8.92
legal advice privilege, generally 1.30, 1.31
legal advisers 1.32, 4.01–4.02, 4.10, 4.15–4.16,
 4.20
litigation privilege, generally 1.30, 1.33–1.34
privilege, generally
 abrogation, of 1.40
 control, of 1.41
 extent of protection 1.38
 loss, of 1.41
 nature of protection 1.38
preconditions to claiming 1.30
procedures for claiming privilege 8.86–8.88
removals 1.45, 7.155–7.166
shareholders' access to company's legal
 advice 6.74–6.80
unintentional disclosure, outside of
 litigation 7.144–7.147
unintentional disclosure, in litigation 7.150
waiver
 disclosure of substance of legal advice 7.79
 expert evidence 7.106–7.112
 generally 1.41–1.45
 limited waiver
 deal team privilege 7.27
 internal company communications 7.19–7.21,
 7.24
 law enforcement agencies 7.44–7.47, 7.51
 limited purpose waiver 7.58–7.63
 'putting in issue' waiver, rejection of 7.136
- entity** *see* **corporate client**
- EU law**
in-house counsel
 conflict with English law 4.23–4.33
ECJ's reason for exclusion from privilege 4.16,
 4.20–4.33
- evidence** *see also* **expert evidence; use immunity**
admissibility of privileged material 7.59, 7.162–7.169
compellability of privileged material 7.59,
 7.162–7.169
exceptions to compulsory disclosure, of 1.02
legitimate sources, of 2.58
privilege as immunity against adverse use 2.71
privilege as immunity against disclosure 2.70
privilege as rule, of 2.47
privilege resulting in loss of 1.07–1.11, 1.19–1.20

- expert evidence *see also* rationale
Australia 7.119–7.122
Canada 7.113–7.114
England 7.106–7.112
transparency of single joint and party appointed experts, compared 2.43
United States 7.115–7.118
waiver of privilege 7.104–7.122
- facts patent to the senses' exception 1.34
fairness
directors' access to privileged material 6.94, 6.99, 6.104
procedural fairness
protection of preparatory material for litigation 2.29–2.31
unfairness
disclosure to regulators 7.53
employees as corporate client 3.81–3.82, 6.50, 6.57–6.69
risk to an opponent 7.93–7.95, 7.98, 7.132
use immunity 9.77
waiver
duration 7.141
extent governed by 1.43, 1.55, 1.68, 1.91, 7.89, 7.92
imputed waivers 7.125
'material fact in issue' 7.129
principle governing 7.89, 7.92, 7.134
privilege holder's conduct 7.74, 7.75, 7.80
- human rights
Australia 1.48
Canada 1.60–1.61
civil law approach 2.53
common law approach 2.51–2.52
conflicts, with 2.62–2.69
England 1.38
fundamental human rights 2.47–2.48, 9.14
interference by State or third parties 2.54–2.56
introduction 2.47–2.48
right to a fair trial 1.38, 2.60–2.61
right to privacy 1.38, 2.49–2.56
self-incrimination, privilege against 2.57–2.59
waiver of privilege 7.06
- IBA rules 8.72–8.80
ICSID guidelines 8.81
ICSID rules 8.69–8.71
in-house counsel
functional case, for 4.18
government counsel 4.17
legal adviser, as 1.32, 4.01, 4.03
legal professional privilege
Australia 4.34–4.38
Canada 4.16, 4.17
England 4.15
EU law, exclusion under 4.16, 4.20–4.33
United States 4.16
inquiry exception *see* crime-fraud exception
injunctions
- England, generally 1.45
Australia, generally 1.57
Canada, generally 1.68
restraint of use of privileged material 7.13, 7.144–7.147, 7.157–7.161
inadvertent disclosure in litigation, and 7.150, 7.157–7.160
insolvency
power to waive insolvent company's privilege 6.27, 6.33–6.34, 6.45, 6.51–6.53
right of trustee or liquidator to assert privilege 6.23
waiver of privilege, risk of 6.61
- insurance disputes
insurer's and insured's privileged material, access to 6.108–6.111
insurer and insured as joint client 6.71
purpose of notification of claim 5.25
purpose of investigations by insurer 5.89–5.91, 5.93, 5.100
vetting applications to obtain insurance 5.26
- international arbitrations
IBA rules 8.72–8.80
ICSID guidelines 8.81
ICSID rules 8.69–8.71
legal professional privilege 8.61–8.81
UNCITRAL rules 8.68
- international aspects *see* choice of law; international arbitrations
- joint client privilege
company and corporate officers as joint clients 6.26–6.53
generally 6.24–6.25
joint interest and common interest privilege, relationship with 6.12–6.15, 6.43
shared access to confidential legal material 6.70–6.71
- joint interest privilege
access to privilege holder's legal material 6.72
company and employee, between 6.27, 6.44
joint client and common interest privilege, relationship with 6.12–6.15, 6.43
requirements for 6.72
- law enforcement agencies
Australia 7.53
compulsory disclosure to regulators 7.46–7.47
disclosure by person being investigated 7.51–7.52
limited waiver of privilege, by disclosure to 7.44–7.53
- lawyers *see also* in-house counsel; legal advisers
agents, of 4.75–4.78
deregulated legal services market 4.78
duly qualified 4.01
ethical obligations regarding privilege claims 8.42
foreign lawyers 4.01, 8.59
function 4.09, 4.14
in-house counsel 4.01, 4.03
independent lawyers 4.08–4.14
meaning, of 4.08–4.09
mistaken belief by client 4.13
professional consultation 4.14
status 4.09, 4.10–4.13

- legal advice *see also* legal advice privilege
 'legal spectacles' analysis 5.50
 meaning, of 5.45–5.54
 relevant legal context 5.51–5.53
 strategic advice, when qualifies as 5.112–5.122
- legal advice privilege (LAP) *see also* legal advice
 accountants, application to 2.14, 4.02, 4.03,
 4.49–4.72
 beginnings, of 2.06
 common interest privilege, and 7.43
 debate about need, for 2.11–2.22
 privilege as aid, to 2.04–2.22
 rule of law, and 2.17–2.18
- legal advisers *see also* accountants; in-house counsel;
 lawyers
 definition
 Australia 1.52
 England 1.32
 duly qualified lawyers 4.01
 in-house counsel 1.32, 4.01, 4.03, 4.15–4.38
 introduction 4.01–4.03
 non-lawyers giving legal advice 4.39–4.74
 paralegals 4.03
 patent attorneys and agents 4.03
 personal relationships not dealing with legal
 advice 4.04–4.07
 professional confidential relationship privilege 4.41
- litigants in person
 litigation privilege 1.33, 2.31
- litigation
 meaning 5.56–5.70
 'reasonably anticipated', meaning 5.71–5.78
- litigation privilege *see also* third party communications;
 expert evidence
 attorney work product attorney doctrine in the
 United States 1.73, 5.122
 communication, and 5.35
 lawyer's work product 2.32–2.33
 lifespan, in Canada 1.63, 2.46
 litigants in person 1.33, 2.31
 privilege as aid, to 2.04–2.22
 procedural fairness 2.29–2.32
 purpose 5.03
 rationale 2.23–2.46
 third party communications 2.34–2.46
- multi-disciplinary partnerships
 dominant purpose test 5.124–5.126
 statutory privilege rules 4.78
- non-lawyers *see* legal advisers
- private and secure sphere
 access, to 6.70–6.111
 corporate client 1.80
 directors' rights 6.88–6.106
 Australia 6.95–6.100
 United States 6.101–6.106
 joint interest privilege 6.72
 third party rights 6.107–6.111
 exclusion, from 1.41
 joint privilege holders, shared between 6.70–6.71
- legal advice privilege, need for 2.11–2.22
 legal professional privilege, rationale for 2.07
 litigation 2.08, 2.30
 shareholders' rights
 Australia 6.83–6.85
 Canada 6.82
 corporate groups 6.76–6.80
 England 6.74–6.80
 members' access to company's legal advice 6.74–6.75
 show cause requirement in United States 6.81,
 6.86–6.87
 third party communications 2.35–2.41
- privilege holders
 clients, as 6.19–6.69
 client identification 6.20–6.23
 company and corporate officers as joint
 clients 6.26–6.36
 Australia 6.33–6.36
 Canada 6.51
 England 6.27–6.32
 retainer, significance of 6.37–6.40
 United States 6.45–6.50
 entity as client 6.20
 entity not shareholders as privilege holder 6.21
 joint client privilege 6.24–6.25
 joint/common/contlicting interests 6.41–6.49
 parent and subsidiary corporate relationships 6.22
 private and secure sphere
 access, to 6.70–6.111
 directors' rights 6.88–6.106
 joint interest privilege 6.72
 joint privilege holders 6.70–6.71
 shareholders' rights 6.73–6.87
 third parties with contractual rights 6.107–6.111
 successors in title 6.23
 warnings to employees 6.50
 waiver, power to 6.54–6.69
- procedure
 claiming privilege
 Australia 8.95–8.99
 England 8.86–8.88
 United States 8.93–8.94
 introduction 8.82–8.85
 review of privilege claim
 Australia 8.100
 Canada 8.53
 England 8.89–8.92
- public bodies, privilege for
 benefits 1.22–1.24
 costs 1.22–1.24
 client, as 3.26
 government counsel 4.17, 4.35–4.36
 investigations by public bodies 5.109–5.111
 investigations by quasi-public bodies 5.109–5.111
- purpose
 client's purpose 5.22
 communication, and 5.31–5.44
 documents
 annotations by lawyers 5.20
 copies 5.14–5.15
 non-privileged originals 5.14, 5.21
 originals 5.17–5.18

- purpose (cont.):**
- dominant purpose test
 - alternative tests, comparison 5.80–5.81
 - and legal advice privilege, in England and Canada 5.06–5.12
 - checklist of relevant factors 5.108
 - 'dominant purpose', meaning 5.80–5.81
 - duty to report/investigate 5.106–5.107
 - evidence of purpose 5.127
 - fact finding as statutory obligation 5.103–5.105
 - fact finding exercises 5.82–5.126
 - immediate and ultimate purpose 5.86–5.92
 - insurance cases 5.25–5.26
 - introduction 5.01–5.05
 - investigations by public bodies 5.109–5.111
 - investigations by quasi-public bodies 5.109–5.111
 - litigant's purpose 5.22
 - multi-disciplinary partnerships 5.124–5.126
 - multiple corporate agents with different purposes 5.27–5.30
 - objective determination of relevant purpose 5.03
 - proximity to event or litigation 5.94–5.97
 - re-examination, of 5.128–5.137
 - stage of investigation 5.98–5.102
 - strategic advice 5.112–5.122
 - time purpose formed 5.13–5.21
 - legal advice, meaning 5.45–5.54
 - 'litigation', meaning 5.56–5.70
 - litigation privilege and communication 5.35
 - protecting legal interests 5.53
 - reasonably anticipated litigation, meaning 5.55–5.78
 - relevant legal context 5.51–5.52
 - sole purpose test
 - application 5.134
 - rejection, of 5.135–5.136
 - transaction costs 5.137
 - third parties 5.23–5.30
- qualified privilege**
- attorney work product doctrine in the United States 1.73, 9.09
 - corporations, case for 9.54–9.67
 - fundamental rights, as means of balancing 2.65–2.69
 - innocence at stake exception 2.65
- rationale**
- human rights rationale 2.47–2.71
 - introduction 2.01–2.03
 - fair trial, relationship with right to 1.38, 2.60–2.61
 - honour theory, rejection of 2.04–2.10
 - legal advice privilege rationale 2.04–2.22
 - litigation privilege rationale 2.23–2.46
 - privacy, relationship with right to 1.38, 2.49–2.56
 - re-examination for corporations 9.12–9.53
 - rule of law, relationship to 1.01, 1.07, 2.17–2.18
 - self-incrimination, relationship to privilege against 2.57–2.59
 - 'shield not sword', as 7.15, 7.39
- shareholders**
- rights to privileged material
 - Australia 6.83–6.85
- Canada 6.82
- corporate groups 6.76–6.80
- England 6.74–6.80
- United States show cause requirement 6.81, 6.86–6.87
- taxation proceedings**
- limited waiver 7.54–7.57
- third party communications**
- common interest privilege 7.28–7.43
 - limited waiver when disclosed on 'confidential basis' 7.58–7.63
 - costs of protecting 2.42–2.46
 - deal team privilege 7.25–7.27
 - economic case for litigation privilege 2.37–2.38
 - effective preparation of litigation 2.39–2.41
 - limited waiver, and 7.25–7.43, 7.58–7.63
 - private and secure sphere, whether part of 2.35–2.36
 - protection, of 2.34–2.46
 - purpose, and 5.23
 - rights of access to privileged material 6.107–6.111
- Three Rivers litigation**
- Anderson* case, relevance of 3.67–3.71
 - background 3.08–3.12
 - case against narrow definition 3.71–3.87
 - case for narrow definition 3.60–3.71
 - client test 3.63
 - corporate client, defined 3.07–3.21
 - Court of Appeal decision 3.17–3.21
 - disclosure obligations 3.83–3.85
 - employees
 - part of corporate client, as 3.72–3.74
 - unfairness, to 3.81–3.82
 - High Court decision 3.13–3.16
 - House of Lords' role 3.30–3.37
 - implications of decision 3.22–3.25
 - knowledge/communication, distinguished 3.64–3.66
 - practical effect 3.38–3.39
 - risk of reduced candour 3.76–3.80
 - status of decision 3.26–3.29
 - uncertainty 3.86–3.87
- UNCITRAL rules** 8.68
- unintentional disclosure** *see disclosure*
- United States**
- attorney work product doctrine 1.73, 5.122, 9.09
 - broad agency test 3.42, 3.46
 - procedures for claiming privilege 1.92, 8.93–8.94
 - client test 1.80
 - company's advisers 1.82
 - confidentiality 1.89–1.91
 - control group test 3.42, 3.43–3.45, 3.48
 - corporate client, defined 3.41–3.48
 - control group test 3.42, 3.43–3.45, 3.48
 - broad agency test 3.42, 3.46
 - subject matter test 3.47, 3.48, 3.109
 - corporate privilege
 - control 1.85–1.88
 - qualifications, to 1.84
 - rules, generally 1.76–1.79
 - waiver 1.89–1.91

Copyrighted Material Index

- crime-fraud exception 1.83, 8.46, 8.47
directors' rights to privileged material 6.101–6.106
in camera inspections of privileged material 8.94
in-house counsel 4.16
joint clients
 entity approach, to 6.45–6.49
 warning to employees 6.50
personal relationships not dealing with legal advice 4.05
purpose test 1.81
shareholder's access to privileged material 6.81
subject matter test, corporate client 3.47, 3.48, 3.109
warnings to employees 6.50
value of US experience 1.69–1.72
waiver
 consistency test 7.80
 company and its officers, power to waive 6.92, 6.104–6.105
 disclosure of substance of legal advice 7.80
 expert evidence 7.115–7.118
 extent 7.92
 limited waiver
 internal company communications 7.22, 7.24
 regulatory agencies 7.49–7.50
 limited purpose waiver 7.68
 requirement of confidentiality 7.04
 'material fact' or 'putting in issue'
 waiver 7.129, 7.134
use immunity
 corporate agents' behaviour, effect on 9.81–9.85
 possible establishment 9.73–9.74
 jurisprudential support for 6.69, 9.76–9.77
 rationale 9.75
 scope 9.78–9.80
waiver *see also* confidentiality; disclosure
 Australia, generally 1.55–1.57
 Canada, generally 1.68
 cherry picking
 redaction of documents, and 7.90–7.103
 rule against 7.89–7.98
 collateral or associated material waiver 7.90
 common interest privilege, and 7.28–7.43
 company and its officers, power to waive 6.54–6.69, 6.104–6.105
 conduct constituting 7.70–7.88
 confidence and privilege, distinguished 7.05–7.14
 confidentiality requirement 7.04–7.14
 control group 6.55
 deployment of privileged material 7.82, 7.91
 duration in litigation 7.137–7.142
 England, generally 1.41–1.44, 6.56
 expert evidence 7.104–7.122
 express waiver 7.70
 extent determined by privilege holder 7.58–7.64
 principles 7.63
 selective waivers, distinguished 7.17
 extent in litigation 7.89–7.142
 fairness governing extent 7.89, 7.92
 general rule, of 7.15
 human rights 7.06
 implied waiver 7.71
 disclosure of substance of legal advice 7.79
 objective test 7.72–7.73
 insolvency 6.61
 issue in question, determination of 7.95–7.96
 introduction 7.01–7.14
 lawyer and client litigation 7.124–7.128
 limited waiver 7.15–7.69
 deal team privilege 7.25–7.27
 disclosure on 'confidential basis' 7.54–7.63
 dissemination to law enforcement agencies 7.44–7.53
 dissemination to third parties to enable action on legal advice 7.25–7.27
 dissemination to third parties with common legal interest 7.29–7.43
 dissemination within company 7.18, 7.19–7.24
 duration 7.137–7.142
 exceptional categories permitting 7.16–7.18
 taxation proceedings 7.54–7.57
 limited purpose waiver 7.58–7.69
 litigation
 in course, of 7.81–7.84
 'issue in question', identification of 7.95–7.96
 lawyer and client, between 7.124–7.128
 material covered by waiver 7.92–7.98
 wasted costs applications 7.127
 'material fact' waiver 7.129–7.136
 meaning 7.05–7.14
 privilege holders' rights 6.54–6.69
 power to waive 6.54–6.69, 6.104–6.105
 'putting in issue' waiver 7.129–7.136
 reference to privileged material 7.82, 7.83
 tactical waivers 7.17, 7.52
 unfairness to individual officers and employees 6.57–6.69
 United States, generally 1.89–1.91, 6.56
 voluntary disclosure, as 7.15
warnings
 to employees 6.50, 6.64
 wasted costs applications
 waiver of privilege 7.127