

Contents

| | |
|---|----------|
| <i>The Nature of this Book</i> | v |
| <i>Outline Contents</i> | vii |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xli |
| I. The Concept of Undertaking | I |
| a. The Functional Approach | |
| IV/33.384 etc <i>FIFA—distribution of package tours during the 1990 World Cup</i> | 4 |
| b. The Relativity of the Concept | |
| C-41/90 <i>Höfner and Elser v Macrotron GmbH</i> | 5 |
| C-364/92 <i>SAT Fluggesellschaft v Eurocontrol</i> | 6 |
| C-159/91 etc <i>Poucet v Assurances Générales de France</i> | 7 |
| C-343/95 <i>Cali & Figli v Servizi ecologici porto di Genova SpA</i> | 8 |
| T-319/99 <i>FENIN v Commission</i> | 9 |
| C-205/03P <i>FENIN v Commission</i> | 10 |
| C-309/99 <i>Wouters</i> | 12 |
| C-264/01 etc <i>AOK-Bundesverband</i> | 13 |
| C-67/96 <i>Albany v Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds Textielindustrie</i> | 15 |
| C-113/07P <i>SELEX Sistemi Integrati SpA v Commission</i> | 16 |
| C-138/11 <i>Compass-Datenbank GmbH v Republic Österreich</i> | 19 |
| Including the following summary references: | |
| C-244/94 <i>Fédération Française des Sociétés d'Assurance</i> | 7, 13 |
| C-475/99 <i>Ambulanz Glöckner v Landkreis Sudwestpfalz</i> | 8, 11 |
| C-157/99 <i>Smits and Peerbooms</i> | 10 |
| 1006/2/1/01 <i>BetterCare v The Director General of Fair Trading</i> | 11 |
| C-218/00 <i>Cisal di Battistello Venanzio & Co Sas</i> | 14 |
| C-22/98 <i>Jean Claude Becu</i> | 15 |
| c. Single Economic Entity | |
| 107/82 <i>AEG-Telefunken v Commission</i> | 20 |
| C-73/95P <i>Viho Europe BV v Commission</i> | 21 |
| IV/32.732 <i>IJsselcentrale and others</i> | 22 |
| C-189/02P etc <i>Dansk Rørindustri A/S and others v Commission</i> | 23 |
| 2002/470 <i>Provimi Ltd v Aventis Animal Nutrition SA and others</i> | 24 |
| 1072/1/1/06 <i>Sepia Logistics Ltd and another v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 25 |
| C-97/08P <i>Akzo Nobel and others v Commission</i> | 26 |
| C-628/10P <i>Alliance One International and Others v Commission</i> | 27 |

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| C-172/12P | <i>EI du Pont de Nemours v Commission</i> | 28 |
| T-234/07 | <i>Koninklijke Grolsch v Commission</i> | 30 |
| T-185/06 | <i>L'Air Liquid and others v Commission</i> | 30 |
| HC09C04733 | <i>Toshiba Carrier UK Ltd v KME Yorkshire Ltd</i> | 31 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|------------------|--|--------|
| C-286/98P | <i>Stora Kopparbergs Bergslags v Commission</i> | 20, 23 |
| A3/2009/2487 | <i>Cooper Tire and Rubber Company v Shell Chemicals UK</i> | 24, 31 |
| 170/83 | <i>Hydrotherm v Compact</i> | 21 |
| T-68/89 etc | <i>SIV and others v Commission</i> | 21 |
| C-201/09P etc | <i>ArcelorMittal Luxembourg SA v Commission</i> | 21 |
| 22/71 | <i>Beguelin Import Co v SAGL Import Export</i> | 21 |
| T-301/04 | <i>Clearstream v Commission</i> | 23 |
| T-314/01 | <i>Avebe v Commission</i> | 23 |
| 2002 WL 31413939 | <i>Suretrack Rail Services Limited v Infracore JNP Limited</i> | 25 |
| T-168/05 | <i>Arkema SA v Commission</i> | 26 |
| T-24/05 | <i>Alliance One International and Others v Commission</i> | 27 |
| T-76/08 | <i>EI du Pont de Nemours v Commission</i> | 28 |
| C-408/12P | <i>YKK v Commission</i> | 29 |
| C-279/98P | <i>Cascades SA v Commission</i> | 29 |

d. Pure financial investor defence

| | | |
|------------|---------------------|----|
| COMP/39610 | <i>Power Cables</i> | 32 |
|------------|---------------------|----|

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| T-392/09 | <i>I. Garantovaná a.s. v Commission</i> | 32 |
| T-395/09 | <i>Gigaset AG v Commission</i> | 32 |

2. Market Definition 33

a. Product and Geographic Markets

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands v Commission</i> | 36 |
| 85/76 | <i>Hoffmann-La Roche & Co v Commission</i> | 38 |
| 6/72 | <i>Europemballage Corp & Continental Can v Commission</i> | 39 |
| 322/81 | <i>Nederlandsche Banden Industrie Michelin v Commission</i> | 40 |
| T-219/99 | <i>British Airways v Commission</i> | 42 |
| T-340/03 | <i>France Télécom SA v Commission</i> | 44 |
| 1005/1/1/01 | <i>Aberdeen Journals v Director General of Fair Trading</i> | 46 |
| 1016/1/1/03 | <i>Genzyme Limited v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 46 |

Including the following summary reference:

| | | |
|---------|---------------------------------|----|
| 07-5276 | <i>FTC v Whole Foods Market</i> | 45 |
|---------|---------------------------------|----|

b. One-way Substitution

| | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----|
| COMP/M.2420 | <i>Mitsui/CVRD/Caemi</i> | 47 |
| COMP/M.3178 | <i>Bertelsmann/Springer/JV</i> | 47 |
| COMP/M.4854 | <i>TomTom/Tele Atlas</i> | 54 |

c. Markets for Spare Parts/Aftermarkets

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------|----|
| 22/78 | <i>Hugin v Commission</i> | 48 |
| T-30/89 | <i>Hilti AG v Commission</i> | 49 |
| T-427/08 | <i>CEAHR v Commission</i> | 50 |

d. Narrow Markets

| | | |
|---------|------------------------------|----|
| 22/78 | <i>Hugin v Commission</i> | 48 |
| T-30/89 | <i>Hilti AG v Commission</i> | 49 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| C-333/94 | <i>Tetra Pak International SA v Commission</i> | 49 |
| T-53/91 | <i>Tetra Pak International SA v Commission</i> | 49 |

e. Switching Costs

| | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----|
| COMP/35.587 etc | <i>PO Video Games (Nintendo)</i> | 52 |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----|

f. Markets for Raw Materials

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 6/73 | <i>Commercial Solvents v Commission</i> | 53 |
|------|---|----|

g. Data markets

| | | |
|-------------|--------------------------|----|
| COMP/M.4854 | <i>TomTom/Tele Atlas</i> | 54 |
|-------------|--------------------------|----|

h. Judicial review

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------------|----|
| T-301/04 | <i>Clearstream v Commission</i> | 55 |
|----------|---------------------------------|----|

3. Article 101 TFEU 56

a. Agreement between Undertakings

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| 107/82 | <i>AEG-Telefunken v Commission</i> | 65 |
| 25/84 etc | <i>Ford Werke AG, Ford Europe Inc v Commission</i> | 66 |
| T-41/96 | <i>Bayer v Commission</i> | 67 |
| T-208/01 | <i>Volkswagen AG v Commission</i> | 69 |
| C-74/04P | <i>Volkswagen AG v Commission</i> | 70 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-----------|--|--------|
| C-277/87 | <i>Sandoz Prodotti Farmaceutici SpA v Commission</i> | 65, 68 |
| 41/69 | <i>ACF Chemiefarma NV v Commission</i> | 66 |
| C-279/87 | <i>Tipp-Ex v Commission</i> | 68 |
| C-338/00P | <i>Volkswagen v Commission</i> | 69 |

b. Concerted Practice

| | | |
|--------------|---|----|
| 48/69 | <i>Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI) v Commission (Dyestuffs)</i> | 71 |
| 40/73 etc | <i>Suiker Unie and others v Commission</i> | 72 |
| 89/85 etc | <i>A Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and others v Commission (Wood Pulp II)</i> | 74 |
| C-199/92P | <i>Hüls AG v Commission</i> | 75 |
| C-204/00 etc | <i>Aalborg Portland A/S and others v Commission</i> | 77 |
| T-442/08 | <i>CISAC v Commission</i> | 78 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|--------------|---|----|
| IV/37.614/F3 | <i>PO/Interbrew and Alken-Maes</i> | 76 |
| T-25/95 etc | <i>Cimenteries CBR SA v Commission</i> | 76 |
| T-186/06 | <i>Solvay SA v Commission</i> | 73 |
| T-305/94 etc | <i>PVC II</i> | 78 |
| 92-466 | <i>Brooke Group Ltd v Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp</i> | 80 |
| 82-914 | <i>Monsanto Co v Spray-Rite Service Corp</i> | 80 |
| No. 14-2301 | <i>Aircraft Check Services Co v Verizon Wireless</i> | 80 |

c. Joint Classification and Single Overall Agreement

| | | |
|---------------|--|--------|
| C-204/00P etc | <i>Aalborg Portland A/S and others v Commission</i> | 77 |
| T-305/94 etc | <i>Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij NV and others v Commission</i> | 81, 82 |
| T-101/05 etc | <i>BASF AG and UCB SA v Commission</i> | 83 |
| C-441/11P | <i>Commission v Verhuizingen Coppens NV</i> | 85 |
| T-99/04 | <i>AC-Treuhand AG v Commission</i> | 86 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------|
| C-49/92P | <i>Commission v Anic Partecipazioni SpA</i> | 81 |
| IV/37.614/F3 | <i>PO/Interbrew and Alken-Maes</i> | 81 |
| C-238/99 | <i>Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij NV v Commission</i> | 81, 82 |
| T-210/08 | <i>Verhuizingen Coppens NV v Commission</i> | 85 |

d. Decision by an Association

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| T-325/01 | <i>Daimler Chrysler AG v Commission</i> | 88 |
| 96/82 | <i>IAZ International Belgium NV v Commission</i> | 89 |
| C-382/12P | <i>MasterCard Inc v Commission</i> | 90 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| 209/78 etc | <i>Van Landewyck and others v Commission</i> | 88 |
| 8/72 | <i>Cementhandelaren v Commission</i> | 89 |
| 45/85 | <i>Verband der Sachversicherer v Commission</i> | 89 |

e. Authorised representatives

| | | |
|------------------|--|----|
| 100/80 to 103/80 | <i>Musique Diffusion française v Commission</i> | 91 |
| C-68/12 | <i>Protimonopolný úrad Slovenskej republiky v Slovenská sporiteľňa</i> | 91 |

f. Object or Effect

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| 56/65 | <i>Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm GmbH</i> | 92 |
| 56/64 etc | <i>Consten SaRL & Grundig v Commission</i> | 93 |
| 23/67 | <i>SA Brasserie de Haecht v Consorts Wilkin-Janssen</i> | 96 |
| T-374/94 etc | <i>European Night Services Ltd v Commission</i> | 97 |
| C-234/89 | <i>Stergios Delimitis v Henninger Bräu AG</i> | 98 |
| C-209/07 | <i>Competition Authority v Beef Industry Development Society</i> | 100 |
| C-8/08 | <i>T-Mobile Netherlands and Others</i> | 101 |
| C-301/06P etc | <i>GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited v Commission</i> | 103 |
| T-328/03 | <i>O2 (Germany) GmbH & Co OHG v Commission</i> | 105 |
| C-32/11 | <i>Allianz Hungária Biztosító Zrt and others</i> | 107 |
| C-68/12 | <i>Protimonopolný úrad Slovenskej republiky v Slovenská sporiteľňa as</i> | 109 |
| C-63/13P | <i>Groupement des Cartes Bancaires (CB) v Commission</i> | 110 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| C-403/08 | <i>FA Premier League and others v QC Leisure and others</i> | 94 |
| C-551/03P | <i>General Motors v Commission</i> | 94 |
| T-17/93 | <i>Matra Hachette SA v Commission</i> | 112 |
| T-491/07 | <i>Groupement des Cartes Bancaires (CB) v Commission</i> | 110 |
| C-345/14 | <i>SIA 'Maxima Latvija' v Konkurences padome</i> | 111 |

g. Scope of Analysis under Article 101(1) TFEU and the 'Rule of Reason'

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| T-328/03 | <i>O2 (Germany) GmbH & Co OHG v Commission</i> | 105 |
| T-112/99 | <i>Métropole Télévision (M6) v Commission</i> | 112 |
| C-209/07 | <i>Competition Authority v Beef Industry Development Society</i> | 114 |
| C-519/04P | <i>Meca-Medina and Majcen v Commission</i> | 115 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| T-17/93 | <i>Matra Hachette SA v Commission</i> | 113 |
| C-309/99 | <i>Wouters</i> | 113 |

h. Ancillary Restraints

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 42/84 | <i>Remia BV v Commission</i> | 116 |
| C-250/92 | <i>Gøttrup-Klim v Dansk Landbrugs Grovvarereselskab AmbA</i> | 117 |
| T-112/99 | <i>Métropole Télévision (M6) v Commission</i> | 119 |
| C-309/99 | <i>Wouters</i> | 121 |
| C-382/12 P | <i>MasterCard Inc v Commission</i> | 123 |

i. Appreciable Effect (de minimis)

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| 5/69 | <i>Franz Völk v SPRL Est J Vervaecke</i> | 124 |
| T-7/93 | <i>Langnese-Iglo GmbH v Commission</i> | 124 |
| T-374/94 etc | <i>European Night Services Ltd and others v Commission</i> | 124 |
| C-226/11 | <i>Expedia Inc v Autorité de la concurrence and Others</i> | 125 |

j. Appreciable Effect on Trade between Member States

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 56/65 | <i>Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm GmbH</i> | 126 |
| 56/64 etc | <i>Consten & Grundig v Commission</i> | 126 |
| 8/72 | <i>Vereeniging van Cementhandelaren v Commission</i> | 126 |
| 23/67 | <i>SA Brasserie de Haecht v Consorts Wilkin-Janssen</i> | 127 |
| 107/82 | <i>AEG-Telefunken v Commission</i> | 127 |
| T-199/08 | <i>Ziegler SA v Commission</i> | 128 |

Including the following summary reference:

| | | |
|--------|---|-----|
| 174/84 | <i>Bulk Oil v Sun International Limited</i> | 128 |
|--------|---|-----|

k. Article 101(2) TFEU

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 56/64 etc | <i>Consten & Grundig v Commission</i> | 129 |
|-----------|---|-----|

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 56/65 | <i>Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm GmbH</i> | 129 |
| T-9/99 | <i>HFB Holding and others v Commission</i> | 129 |
| English CA | <i>David John Passmore v Morland and others</i> | 129 |

l. Article 101(3) TFEU

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| 56/64 etc | <i>Consten & Grundig v Commission</i> | 130 |
| 26/76 | <i>Metro SB-Großmarkte GmbH & Co KG v Commission</i> | 131 |
| C-360/92P | <i>Publishers Association v Commission</i> | 132 |
| IV.F.1/36.718 | <i>CECED</i> | 133 |
| T-168/01 | <i>GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited v Commission</i> | 134 |
| COMP/29.373 | <i>Visa International—Multilateral Interchange Fee</i> | 136 |
| T-111/08 | <i>MasterCard v European Commission</i> | 138 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------------|--|---------------|
| T-528/93 etc | <i>Métropole télévision SA and others v Commission</i> | 131, 141 |
| T-17/93 | <i>Matra Hachette SA v Commission</i> | 130, 131, 137 |
| T-131/99 | <i>Michael Hamilton Shaw and others v Commission</i> | 132 |
| C-501/06P etc | <i>GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited v Commission</i> | 135 |
| T-86/95 | <i>Compagnie Générale Maritime</i> | 139 |
| IV/36.748 | <i>Reims II</i> | 137 |
| 26/76 | <i>Metro SB-Großmarkte GmbH & Co KG v Commission</i> | 141 |

m. The range of goals and values in Article 101 TFEU

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| T-213/01, T-214/01 | <i>Österreichische Postsparkasse v Commission</i> | 142 |
| C-8/08 | <i>T-Mobile Netherlands BV</i> | 142 |
| C-52/09 | <i>Konkurrensverket v TeliaSonera AB</i> | 142 |
| 56/64, 58/64 | <i>Consten and Grundig v Commission</i> | 142 |
| C-403/08, C-429/08 | <i>Football Association Premier League Ltd</i> | 143 |
| IV.F.1/36.718 | <i>CECED</i> | 143 |
| COMP/34493 | <i>DSD</i> | 144 |
| IV/34.252 | <i>Philips-Osram</i> | 143 |
| IV/33.814 | <i>Ford/Volkswagen</i> | 144 |
| 67/96 | <i>Albany International BV v Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds Textielindustrie</i> | 144 |

4. Horizontal and Vertical Agreements 145**a. Cartel Agreements**

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| T-202/98 etc | <i>Tate & Lyle plc and others v Commission</i> | 154 |
| T-28/99 | <i>Sigma Tecnologie di Rivestimento Srl v Commission</i> | 155 |
| T-99/04 | <i>AC-Treuhand AG v Commission (AC-Treuhand I)</i> | 157 |
| C-194/14 | <i>AC-Treuhand AG v Commission (AC-Treuhand II)</i> | 158 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| C-204/00P etc | <i>Aalborg Portland A/S and others v Commission</i> | 155 |
| T-113/07 | <i>Toshiba Corp v Commission</i> | 156 |
| 40/73 etc | <i>Suiker Unie and others v Commission</i> | 156 |
| C-199/92 | <i>Hüls AG v Commission</i> | 156 |
| T-305/94 etc | <i>Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij NV and others v Commission</i> | 156 |
| T-325/01 | <i>Daimler Chrysler AG v Commission</i> | 156 |
| T-303/02 | <i>Westfalen Gassen Nederland v Commission</i> | 156 |
| C-97/08P | <i>Akzo Nobel and others v Commission</i> | 156 |
| T-99/04 | <i>AC-Treuhand AG v Commission</i> | 156 |
| AT.39861 | <i>Yen Interest Rate Derivatives</i> | 158 |
| T-180/15 | <i>Icap ao v Commission</i> | 158 |

b. Price Fixing

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| 2005/1071 etc | <i>Argos, Littlewoods and others v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 159 |
| 8/72 | <i>Vereeniging Van Cementhandelaren v Commission</i> | 161 |
| 246/86 | <i>BELASCO SC v Commission (Roofing Felt)</i> | 161 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| IV/25.757 | <i>Camera Care v Victor Hasselblad AB</i> | 160 |
| COMP/29.373 | <i>Visa International—Multilateral Interchange Fee</i> | 160 |

c. Purchase Price Fixing

| | | |
|------------------|--|-----|
| COMP/C.38.281/B2 | <i>Raw Tobacco Italy</i> | 162 |
| IV/27.958 | <i>National Sulphuric Acid Association</i> | 164 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| C-264/01 etc | <i>AOK Bundesverband v Ichthyol-Gesellschaft Cordes</i> | 162 |
| C-8/08 | <i>T-Mobile Netherlands and Others</i> | 162 |
| 123/83 | <i>BNIC v Clair</i> | 162 |
| C-501/06P | <i>GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited v Commission</i> | 163 |
| C-209/07 | <i>Competition Authority v Beef Industry Development Society Ltd</i> | 163 |

d. Collusive Tendering

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| 1061/1/1/06 | <i>Makers UK Ltd v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 166 |
| 1114/1/1/09 | <i>Kier Group PLC and others v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 167 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| 2005/1071 etc | <i>Argos, Littlewoods and others v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 166 |
| IV/26.918 | <i>European Sugar Industry</i> | 166 |
| IV/35.691.E-4 | <i>Pre-Insulated Pipe Cartel</i> | 166 |
| 1032/1/1/04 | <i>Apex Asphalt and Paving Co Ltd v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 167 |
| C-209/07 | <i>Competition Authority v Beef Industry Development Society Ltd</i> | 167 |

e. Market Sharing and Non-compete Agreements

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| IV/30.907 | <i>Peroxygen products</i> | 168 |
| 41/69 | <i>ACF Chemie farma NV v Commission</i> | 169 |
| C-468/06 etc | <i>Sot Lélos kai Sia EE and Others v GlaxoSmithKline A EVE</i> | 169 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| COMP/37.978 | <i>Methylglucamine</i> | 168 |
| COMP/39.839 | <i>Telefónica and Portugal Telecom</i> | 168 |

f. Collective Boycott

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| C-68/12 | <i>Protimonopolný úrad Slovenskej republiky v Slovenská sporiteľňa</i> | 170 |
|---------|--|-----|

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| T-21/99 | <i>Dansk Rorindustri v Commission</i> | 170 |
| IV/35.691 | <i>Pre-insulated pipes</i> | 170 |
| No 537 | <i>Fashion Originators' Guild of Am v Federal Trade Commission</i> | 170 |

g. Information Exchange Arrangements

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| T-34/92 | <i>Fiatagri v Commission (UK Agricultural Tractor Registration Exchange)</i> | 171 |
| T-35/92 | <i>John Deere Ltd v Commission</i> | 172 |
| C-89/85 | <i>Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and others v Commission (Wood Pulp II)</i> | 173 |
| C-8/08 | <i>T-Mobile Netherlands BV and others</i> | 174 |
| C-286/13P | <i>Dole Food Company</i> | 175 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| T-141/94 | <i>Thyssen Stahl AG v Commission</i> | 172 |
| T-25/95 | <i>Cimenteries CBR and others v Commission</i> | 172 |
| 48/69 | <i>Imperial Chemical Industries v Commission</i> | 173 |
| C-238/05 | <i>Asnef-Equifax v Ausbanc</i> | 174 |

h. Hub and Spoke Information Exchange

| | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 1188/1/1/11 | <i>Tesco v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 176 |
| C-74/14 | <i>Eturas and Others</i> | 177 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| C-49/92P | <i>Commission v Anic Partecipazioni</i> | 176 |
| C-8/08 | <i>T-Mobile Netherlands BV</i> | 176 |
| No 269 | <i>Interstate Circuit v United States</i> | 178 |
| AT.39861 | <i>Yen Interest Rate Derivatives</i> | 178 |
| T-180/15 | <i>Icap ao v Commission</i> | 178 |

i. Export Bans

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 19/77 | <i>Miller International Schallplatten GmbH v Commission</i> | 179 |
| 31/85 | <i>ETA Fabriques d'Ebauches v SA DK Investment and others</i> | 181 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| 56/64 etc | <i>Consten & Grundig v Commission</i> | 179 |
| C-279/87 | <i>Tipp-Ex v Commission</i> | 179 |
| COMP/35.587 | <i>PO Video Games (Nintendo)</i> | 180 |
| C-468/06 etc | <i>Sot Lélos kai Sia EE and others v GlaxoSmithKline A EVE</i> | 180 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| C-501/06P etc | <i>GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited v Commission</i> | 180 |
| C-306/96 | <i>Javico v Yves St Laurent</i> | 180 |
| j. Exclusive Distribution Agreements | | |
| 03/2005 | <i>Sarl Kitch Moto v SA Suzuki France</i> | 182 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| 56/64 etc | <i>Consten & Grundig v Commission</i> | 182 |
| 56/65 | <i>Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm GmbH</i> | 182 |
| 23/67 | <i>SA Brasserie de Haecht v Consorts Wilkin-Janssen</i> | 182 |
| k. Selective Distribution Agreements | | |
| 26/76 | <i>Metro SB-Großmärkte GmbH & Co KG v Commission</i> | 183 |
| C-439/09 | <i>Pierre Fabre v Président de l'Autorité de la concurrence</i> | 185 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| 31/85 | <i>ETA Fabriques d'Ebauches v SA DK Investment and others</i> | 184 |
| 243/85 | <i>SA Binon & Cie v SA Agence et Messageries de la Press</i> | 184 |
| 75/84 | <i>Metro SB-Großmärkte GmbH & Co KG v Commission</i> | 184 |
| T-88/92 | <i>Groupement d'achat Edouard Leclerc v Commission</i> | 184 |
| IV/33.542 | <i>Parfums Givenchy system of selective distribution</i> | 184 |
| l. Online Sales Restrictions | | |
| C-439/09 | <i>Pierre Fabre v Président de l'Autorité de la concurrence</i> | 185 |
| COMP/37.975 | <i>PO/Yamaha</i> | 187 |
| m. Single Branding Agreements | | |
| T-65/98 | <i>Van den Bergh Foods Ltd v Commission</i> | 188 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| T-7/93 | <i>Langnese-Iglo v Commission</i> | 188 |
| C-234/89 | <i>Stergios Delimitis v Henninger Bräu AG</i> | 189 |
| n. Franchise Agreements | | |
| 161/84 | <i>Pronuptia de Paris GmbH v Pronuptia de Paris Irmgard Schillgallis</i> | 190 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| 56/64 etc | <i>Consten & Grundig v Commission</i> | 191 |
| IV/31.697 | <i>Charles Jourdan</i> | 191 |
| IV/31.428 etc | <i>Yves Rocher</i> | 191 |

| | | |
|--|---|-----|
| o. Resale Price Maintenance | | |
| 243/83 | <i>SA Binon & Cie v SA Agence et Messageries de la Press</i> | 192 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| 161/84 | <i>Pronuptia de Paris GmbH v Pronuptia de Paris Irmgard Schillgallis</i> | 193 |
| 26/76 | <i>Metro SB-Großmärkte GmbH & Co KG v Commission</i> | 193 |
| IV/30.658 | <i>Polistil/Arbois</i> | 193 |
| 06-480 | <i>Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc v PSKS, Inc</i> | 193 |
| p. Most Favoured Nation Clauses | | |
| VI Kart 1/14 (V) | <i>HRS-Hotel Reservation Service v Bundeskartellamt</i> | 194 |
| 596/2013 | <i>Booking.com BV</i> | 196 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| COMP/AT 39.847 | <i>E-Books</i> | 195 |
| No 13-3741 | <i>United States v Apple, Inc</i> | 195 |
| | <i>United States v Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan</i> | 195 |
| q. Agency Agreements | | |
| T-325/01 | <i>DaimlerChrysler AG v Commission</i> | 198 |
| C-217/05 | <i>Confederación Española de Empresarios de Estaciones de Servicio v Compañía de Petróleos SA</i> | 200 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| 40/73 etc | <i>Suiker Unie and others v Commission</i> | 199 |
| C-266/93 | <i>Bundeskartellamt v Volkswagen AG and VAG Leasing GmbH</i> | 199 |
| 5. Article 102 TFEU | | |
| a. Dominance | | |
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands v Commission</i> | 213 |
| 85/76 | <i>Hoffmann-La Roche & Co v Commission</i> | 215 |
| C-62/86 | <i>AKZO Chemie BV v Commission</i> | 216 |
| 22/78 | <i>Hugin v Commission</i> | 217 |
| 322/81 | <i>Nederlandsche Banden Industrie Michelin v Commission</i> | 218 |
| IV/33.384 | <i>Soda Ash-Solvay</i> | 219 |
| IV/30.787 | <i>Eurofix-Bauco/Hilti</i> | 220 |
| 77/77 | <i>BP and others v Commission</i> | 221 |
| T-125/97 | <i>The Coca-Cola Company v Commission</i> | 222 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| T-340/03 | <i>France Télécom v Commission</i> | 215 |
| COMP/34.780 | <i>Virgin/British Airways</i> | 216 |
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands v Commission</i> | 216 |
| COMP/M.6281 | <i>Microsoft/Skype</i> | 216 |
| T-79/12 | <i>Cisco Systems Inc and Messagenet SpA v Commission</i> | 216 |
| C-68/94 | <i>France v Commission</i> | 216 |
| T-170/06 | <i>Alrosa Company Ltd v Commission</i> | 218 |
| IV/M.190 | <i>Nestlé/Perrier</i> | 219 |

b. Dominant Position within the Internal Market or in a Substantial Part of it

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 40/73 etc | <i>Suiker Unie and others v Commission</i> | 223 |
| IV/34.689 | <i>Sea Containers v Stena Sealink</i> | 224 |
| IV/35.703 | <i>Portuguese Airports</i> | 224 |

c. Abuse and the Goals of Article 102 TFEU

| | | |
|--------------|--|----------|
| C-52/09 | <i>Konkurrensverket v TeliaSonera Sverige AB</i> | 225 |
| C-468/06 etc | <i>Sot Lélös kai Sia EE and others v GlaxoSmithKline ABEV</i> | 225 |
| C-7/97 | <i>Oscar Bronner v Mediaprint</i> | 226 |
| C-95/04 | <i>British Airways Plc v Commission</i> | 226 |
| T-340/03 | <i>France Telecom SA v Commission</i> | 226 |
| 1099/1/2/08 | <i>National Grid Plc v Gas and Electricity Markets Authority</i> | 226 |
| C-468/06 etc | <i>Sot. Lélös kai Sia EE and Others v GlaxoSmithKline</i> | 227, 228 |
| C-53/03 | <i>SYFAIT v GlaxoSmithKline Plc</i> | 227 |
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands Co v Commission</i> | 227 |
| T-30/89 | <i>Hilti AG v Commission</i> | 228 |

d. Predatory Pricing

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| C-62/86 | <i>AKZO Chemie BV v Commission</i> | 229 |
| C-333/94P | <i>Tetra Pak International SA v Commission</i> | 231 |
| T-340/03 | <i>France Télécom SA v Commission</i> | 232 |
| C-202/07P | <i>France Télécom SA v Commission</i> | 235 |
| C-209/10 | <i>Post Danmark A/S v Konkurrencerådet</i> | 236 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| C-209/10 | <i>Post Danmark A/S v Konkurrencerådet</i> | 230 |
| 07-D-09 | <i>GlaxoSmithKline France</i> | 231 |
| COMP/38.233 | <i>Wanadoo Interactive</i> | 232 |
| No 92-466 | <i>Brooke Group Ltd v Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp</i> | 234 |
| No 05-381 | <i>Weyerhaeuser Co v Ross-Simmons Hardwood Lumber Co Inc</i> | 234 |

e. Selective Price Cuts

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| C-395/96P etc | <i>Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports v Commission</i> | 238 |
|---------------|---|-----|

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| No 92-466 | <i>Brooke Group Ltd v Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp</i> | 238 |
| IV/30.787 etc | <i>Eurofix-Bauco v Hilti</i> | 238 |
| T-288/97 | <i>Irish Sugar v Commission</i> | 238 |

f. Price Alignment

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| IP/97/868 | <i>Digital Equipment Corporation</i> | 239 |
| T-228/97 | <i>Irish Sugar v Commission</i> | 306 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands v Commission</i> | 239 |
| T-228/97 | <i>Irish Sugar v Commission</i> | 239 |
| T-340/03 | <i>France Télécom SA v Commission</i> | 239 |

g. Price Discrimination

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands v Commission</i> | 240 |
| T-301/04 | <i>Clearstream Banking AG v Commission</i> | 242 |
| T-229/94 | <i>Deutsche Bahn AG v Commission</i> | 243 |
| C-82/01P | <i>Aéroports de Paris v Commission</i> | 243 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| C-18/93 | <i>Corsica Ferries Italia Srl v Corpo dei Piloti del Porto di Genova</i> | 241 |
| C-95/04P | <i>British Airways v Commission</i> | 242 |
| C-209/10 | <i>Post Danmark A/S v Konkurrencerådet</i> | 242 |

h. Rebates

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 85/76 | <i>Hoffmann-La Roche & Co v Commission</i> | 244 |
| 322/81 | <i>Nederlandsche Banden Industrie Michelin v Commission</i> | 246 |
| T-203/01 | <i>Manufacture Française des Pneumatiques Michelin v Commission</i> | 248 |
| T-219/99 | <i>British Airways v Commission</i> | 251 |
| C-95/04P | <i>British Airways v Commission</i> | 253 |
| C-549/10P | <i>Tomra Systems and Others v Commission</i> | 255 |
| T-286/09 | <i>Intel Corporation v Commission</i> | 257 |
| T-66/01 | <i>Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd v Commission</i> | 260 |
| C-23/14 | <i>Post Danmark A/S v Konkurrencerådet (Post Danmark II)</i> | 261 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| C-163/99 | <i>Portuguese Republic v Commission</i> | 245 |
| T-228/97 | <i>Irish Sugar plc v Commission</i> | 247 |
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands v Commission</i> | 254 |
| C-18/93 | <i>Corsica Ferries Italia Srl v Corpo dei Piloti del Porto di Genova</i> | 254 |

| | | |
|-----------------|--|-----|
| COMP/E-1/3B.113 | <i>Prokent/Tomra</i> | 255 |
| C-549/10P | <i>Tomra Systems and others v Commission</i> | 255 |
| T-57/01 | <i>Solvay SA v Commission</i> | 260 |
| HC-2013-90 | <i>Streetmap v Google</i> | 263 |

i. Margin Squeeze

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| C-280/08P | <i>Deutsche Telekom AG v Commission</i> | 264 |
| 1016/1/1/03 | <i>Genzyme Limited v Office of Fair Trading</i> | 267 |
| C-52/09 | <i>Konkurrensverket v TeliaSonera Sverige AB</i> | 268 |
| T-398/07 | <i>Kingdom of Spain v Commission</i> | 270 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|--------------|--|----------|
| T-271/03 | <i>Deutsche Telekom AG v Commission</i> | 264 |
| 02-682 | <i>Verizon Communications Inc v Law Offices of Curtis v Trinko LLP</i> | 266 |
| 07-512 | <i>Pacific Bell Telephone Co v linkLine Communications, Inc</i> | 266, 269 |
| IV/30.178 | <i>Napier Brown/British Sugar</i> | 266 |
| CA98/20/2002 | <i>BSkyB</i> | 267 |
| COMP/38.784 | <i>Wanadoo España v Telefónica</i> | 270 |
| T-5/97 | <i>Industrie des Poudres Sphériques v Commission</i> | 271 |

j. Excessive Pricing

| | | |
|-----------------|--|-----|
| 26/75 | <i>General Motors Continental v Commission</i> | 272 |
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands v Commission</i> | 273 |
| COMP/C-1/36.915 | <i>Deutsche Post AG</i> | 274 |
| HC05C00510 | <i>British Horseracing Board v Victor Chandler International</i> | 275 |
| A3/2006/0126 | <i>At the Races v The British Horse Racing Limits and others</i> | 277 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----------|
| 395/87 | <i>Ministère Public v Jean-Louis Tournier</i> | 273, 274 |
| 30/87 | <i>Corinne Bodson v SA Pompes Funèbres des Régions Libérées</i> | 273, 274 |
| 110/88 | <i>Lucazeau v SACEM</i> | 274 |
| COMP/A.36.568D3 | <i>Scandlines Sverige AB v Port of Helsingborg</i> | 278 |
| T-399/04 | <i>Scandlines Sverige AB v Commission</i> | 278 |

k. Refusal to Supply

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| 6/73 | <i>Commercial Solvents v Commission</i> | 279 |
| 77/77 | <i>BP and others v Commission</i> | 280 |
| IV/32.279 | <i>BBI and others v Boosey & Hawkes plc</i> | 281 |
| IV/34.689 | <i>Sea Containers Ltd/Stena Sealink</i> | 282 |
| C-241/91P etc | <i>RTE & ITP v Commission ("Magill")</i> | 283 |
| C-7/97 | <i>Oscar Bronner GmbH Co KG v Mediaprint</i> | 284 |
| T-504/93 | <i>Tiercé Ladbroke SA v Commission</i> | 285 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|-----|
| C-418/01 | <i>IMS Health GmbH & Co OHG v NDC Health GmbH KG</i> | 286 |
| A3/2002/1380 etc | <i>Intel Corporation v Via Technologies Inc</i> | 288 |
| T-201/04 | <i>Microsoft Corp v Commission</i> | 289 |
| C-468/06 etc | <i>Sot Lélös kai Sia EE and others v GlaxoSmithKline AEEV</i> | 292 |
| T-301/04 | <i>Clearstream v Commission</i> | 295 |
| HC10C02364 | <i>Purple Parking Ltd & Anor v Heathrow Airport Ltd</i> | 297 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| 311/84 | <i>Télémarketing</i> | 279 |
| IV/34.174 | <i>Sealink/B&I Holyhead</i> | 282 |
| No 02-682 | <i>Verizon Communications Inc v Trinko LLP</i> | 287 |
| T-201/04 | <i>Microsoft Corp v Commission</i> | 287 |
| C-241/91P etc | <i>RTE & ITP v Commission</i> | 287 |

l. Tying and Bundling

| | | |
|-----------------|--|-----|
| C-333/94P | <i>Tetra Pak International SA v Commission</i> | 298 |
| T-201/04 | <i>Microsoft Corp v Commission</i> | 299 |
| COMP/C-3/39.530 | <i>Microsoft (Tying)</i> | 302 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------|------------------------------|-----|
| T-30/89 | <i>Hilti AG v Commission</i> | 298 |
|---------|------------------------------|-----|

m. Other forms of Exclusion

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| C-385/07P | <i>Der Grüne Punkt—DSD v Commission</i> | 303 |
| 24/67 | <i>Parke, Davis & Co v Probel</i> | 304 |
| T-111/96 | <i>ITT Promedia NV v Commission</i> | 305 |
| T-321/05 | <i>AstraZeneca AB v Commission</i> | 305 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| T-151/01 | <i>Duales System Deutschland v Commission</i> | 303 |
| T-51/89 | <i>Tetra Pak Rausing v Commission</i> | 304 |

n. Objective Justification and Efficiency Defence

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| T-228/97 | <i>Irish Sugar v Commission</i> | 306 |
| T-30/89 | <i>Hilti AG v Commission</i> | 308 |
| T-191/98 | <i>Atlantic Container Line AB and others v Commission</i> | 309 |
| T-340/03 | <i>France Télécom SA v Commission</i> | 309 |
| C-95/04P | <i>British Airways v Commission</i> | 309 |
| T-228/97 | <i>Irish Sugar plc v Commission</i> | 309 |
| COMP/37.990 | <i>Intel Corporation</i> | 309 |
| C-209/10 | <i>Post Danmark A/S v Konkurrencerådet</i> | 310 |
| HC-2013-90 | <i>Streetmap v Google</i> | 310 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| T-83/91 | <i>Tetra Pak International SA v Commission</i> | 307 |
| 27/76 | <i>United Brands v Commission</i> | 307 |
| T-201/04 | <i>Microsoft Corp v Commission</i> | 307 |
| 193/83 | <i>Windsurfing International v Commission</i> | 308 |
| 226/84 | <i>British Leyland v Commission</i> | 308 |
| IV/30.787 | <i>Eurofix-Bauco v Hilti</i> | 308 |

o. Effect on Trade between Member States

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| T-228/97 | <i>Irish Sugar v Commission</i> | 311 |
| 322/81 | <i>Nederlandsche Banden Industrie Michelin v Commission</i> | 311 |
| C-241/91P etc | <i>RTE & ITP v Commission ('Magill')</i> | 311 |
| T-24/93 | <i>Compagnie Maritime Belge v Commission</i> | 311 |
| C-549/10P | <i>Tomra Systems and Others v Commission</i> | 312 |

p. Nullity and Severance

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 2006/1338 | <i>English Welsh & Scottish Railway Limited v E.ON UK plc</i> | 313 |
|-----------|---|-----|

6. Collective Dominance 315

a. Article 102 TFEU

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| T-68/89 etc | <i>Società Italiana Vetro SpA and others v Commission</i> | 318 |
| C-395/96P etc | <i>Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports v Commission</i> | 320 |
| T-228/97 | <i>Irish Sugar v Commission</i> | 322 |
| T-193/02 | <i>Laurent Piau v Commission</i> | 323 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| C-393/92 | <i>Municipality of Amelo and others v NV Energiebedrijf Ijsselmij</i> | 319 |
| C-140/94 etc | <i>DIP SpA v Comune di Bassano del Grappa</i> | 319 |
| C-309/99 | <i>Wouters</i> | 321 |
| C-68/94 etc | <i>French Republic and others v Commission</i> | 321 |

b. The European Merger Regulation

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| C-68/94 etc | <i>French Republic and others v Commission</i> | 324 |
| T-102/96 | <i>Gencor Ltd v Commission</i> | 325 |
| T-324/99 | <i>Airtours plc v Commission</i> | 326 |
| C-413/06P | <i>Bertelsmann and Sony v IMPALA</i> | 328 |

Including the following summary reference:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 92-466 | <i>Brooke Group Ltd v Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation</i> | 327 |
| T-464/04 | <i>Impala v Commission</i> | 328 |

c. Conscious Parallelism vis-à-vis Concerted Practice

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 48/69 | <i>ICI v Commission (Dyestuffs)</i> | 332 |
| T-442/08 | <i>CISAC v Commission</i> | 332 |
| 92-466 | <i>Brooke Group Ltd v Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation</i> | 332 |
| 82-914 | <i>Monsanto Co v Spray-Rite Service Corp</i> | 332 |
| 14-2301 | <i>Aircraft Check Services Co v Verizon Wireless</i> | 333 |

7. Competition Law and the State 334

a. Article 4(3) Treaty on European Union (TEU)

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| C-94/04 etc | <i>Federico Cipolla v Rosaria Fazari</i> | 337 |
| C-198/01 | <i>CIF v Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato</i> | 339 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| C-35/99 | <i>Arduino</i> | 337 |
| 136/86 | <i>Aubert</i> | 338 |
| C-35/96 | <i>Commission v Italy</i> | 338 |
| C-250/03 | <i>Mauri</i> | 338 |
| 13/77 | <i>INNO v ATAB</i> | 340 |
| C-2/91 | <i>Meng</i> | 340 |
| 311/85 | <i>Vzw Vereniging van Vlaamse Reisbureaus v VZW Sociale Dienst</i> | 340 |

b. State Compulsion Defence

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| C-359/95P etc | <i>Commission and France v Ladbroke Racing</i> | 341 |
| C-198/01 | <i>CIF v Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato</i> | 342 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| T-387/94 | <i>Asia Motor France SA v Commission (III)</i> | 341 |
| C-280/08P | <i>Deutsche Telekom v Commission</i> | 341 |
| T-513/93 | <i>CNSD v Commission</i> | 341 |
| C-35/9 | <i>Commission v Italy</i> | 341 |

c. Article 106(1) TFEU

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| C-41/90 | <i>Klaus Höfner and Fritz Elser v Macrotron GmbH</i> | 343 |
| C-260/89 | <i>ERT and others v DEP and others</i> | 345 |
| C-179/90 | <i>Merci v Siderurgica Gabrielle SpA</i> | 346 |
| C-18/88 | <i>RTT v GB-Inno-BM SA</i> | 347 |
| C-320/91 | <i>Criminal proceedings against Paul Corbeau</i> | 348 |
| C-323/93 | <i>Centre d'Insémination de la Crespelle</i> | 350 |
| C-553/12P | <i>Commission v Dimosia Epicheirisi Ilektrismou AE (DEI)</i> | 351 |
| C-266/96 | <i>Corsica Ferries France SA v Porto di Genova</i> | 353 |
| C-475/99 | <i>Firma Ambulanz Glöckner</i> | 354 |

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| C-67/96 | <i>Albany Int BV v Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds Textielindustrie</i> | 356 |
| C-147/97 etc | <i>Deutsche Post AG</i> | 357 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 188/80 etc | <i>France, Italy and the UK v Commission</i> | 344 |
| C-49/07 | <i>MOTOE v Elliniko Dimosio</i> | 350 |
| C-553/12P | <i>Commission v Dimosia Epicheirisi Ilektrismou AE (DEI)</i> | 352 |

d. Article 106(2) TFEU

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| C-41/90 | <i>Klaus Höfner and Fritz Elser v Macrotron GmbH</i> | 343 |
| C-320/91 | <i>Criminal proceedings against Paul Corbeau</i> | 348 |
| C-266/96 | <i>Corsica Ferries France SA v Porto di Genova</i> | 353 |
| C-475/99 | <i>Firma Ambulanz Glöckner v Landkreis Sudwestpfalz</i> | 354 |
| C-67/96 | <i>Albany Int BV v Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds Textielindustrie</i> | 356 |
| C-147/97 etc | <i>Deutsche Post AG</i> | 357 |
| C-162/06 | <i>International Mail Spain SL v Administración del Estado and Correos</i> | 359 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 188/80 etc | <i>France, Italy and the UK v Commission</i> | 344 |
| 66/86 | <i>Ahmed Saeed Flugreisen and others</i> | 355 |
| COMP/39562 | <i>Slovenská pošta</i> | 359 |

e. Article 106(3) TFEU

| | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| C-202/88 | <i>French Republic v Commission</i> | 360 |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-----|

8. Competition Law and Intellectual Property Rights 361

a. Article 101 TFEU and Licensing of Intellectual Property Rights

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| 258/78 | <i>Nungesser v Commission (the Maize Seeds case)</i> | 364 |
| IV/31.043 | <i>Tetra Pak I (BTG Licence)</i> | 366 |
| 27/87 | <i>Erauw-Jacquery v La Hesbignonne</i> | 367 |
| 262/81 | <i>Coditel v SA Cine Vog Films (Coditel II)</i> | 368 |
| IV/171 etc | <i>Re the Agreement of Davide-Campari Milano SpA</i> | 370 |
| IV/32.736 | <i>Moosehead/Whitbread</i> | 373 |
| C-403/08 etc | <i>FA Premier League and others v QC Leisure and others</i> | 375 |
| 193/83 | <i>Windsurfing International Inc v Commission</i> | 376 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| IV/4.204 | <i>Velcro/Aplix</i> | 365 |
| IV/31.734 | <i>Film Purchases by German Television Stations</i> | 369 |

b. Standard Setting Bodies, FRAND Licensing and Article 102 TFEU

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| T-201/04 | <i>Microsoft Corp v Commission</i> | 379 |
| C-170/13 | <i>Huawei Technologies Co v ZTE</i> | 380 |
| AT.39985 | <i>Motorola—enforcement of GPRS standard essential patents</i> | 382 |
| COMP/38.636 | <i>Rambus</i> | 383 |
| COMP/39.247 | <i>Texas Instruments/Qualcomm</i> | 384 |
| COMP/M.3998 | <i>Axalto/Gemplus</i> | 385 |

Including the following summary reference:

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| COMP/C-3/37.792 | <i>Microsoft</i> | 374 |
| COMP/C-3/39.939 | <i>Samsung Electronics</i> | 382 |
| T-148/10 etc | <i>Hynix Semiconductor v Commission</i> | 383 |
| C-170/13 | <i>Huawei Technologies Co Ltd</i> | 384 |
| COMP/M.4214 | <i>Alcatel/Lucent Technologies</i> | 385 |

c. Intellectual Property Rights, Market Power and Article 102 TFEU

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| 24/67 | <i>Parke, Davis & Co v Probel</i> | 386 |
| T-51/89 | <i>Tetra Pak Rausing v Commission</i> | 386 |
| C-418/01 | <i>IMS Health GmbH & Co OHG v NDC Health GmbH & Co KG</i> | 387 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| C-241/91P etc | <i>RTE & ITP v Commission (The Magill case)</i> | 388 |
| C-7/97 | <i>Oscar Bronner GmbH Co KG v Mediaprint</i> | 388 |
| T-504/93 | <i>Tierce Ladbroke SA v Commission</i> | 388 |
| A3/2002/1380 | <i>Intel Corporation v Via Technologies Inc</i> | 389 |
| T-201/04 | <i>Microsoft Corp v Commission</i> | 389 |

d. Pharmaceutical Sector

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| C-457/10P | <i>AstraZeneca v Commission</i> | 390 |
| CA98/02/2011 | <i>Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare (UK)</i> | 393 |
| COMP/39226 | <i>Lundbeck</i> | 394 |
| CE/9531-11 | <i>Paroxetine investigation</i> | 396 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------------|--|----------|
| 14-4624 | <i>State of New York v Actavis plc (Namenda)</i> | 392 |
| T-321/05 | <i>AstraZeneca v Commission</i> | 390, 393 |
| 12-416 | <i>Federal Trade Commission v Actavis Inc</i> | 395 |
| COMP/AT.39612 | <i>Perindopril (Servier)</i> | 397 |
| CASE AT.39685 | <i>Fentanyl</i> | 397 |
| No 14-2071 | <i>Loestrin 24 FE Antitrust Litigation</i> | 397 |

9. Mergers and Acquisitions 398**a. Concentrations**

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| M.2208 | <i>Chevron/Texas</i> | 411 |
| IV/M.2824 | <i>Ernst & Young/Andersen Germany</i> | 412 |
| IV/M.0025 | <i>Arjomari-Prioux-SA/Wiggins Teape Appleton plc</i> | 413 |
| T-332/09 | <i>Electrabel v Commission</i> | 414 |
| T-2/93 | <i>Air France v Commission</i> | 415 |
| COMP/JV.55 | <i>Hutchison/RCPM/ECT</i> | 416 |
| IV/M.023 | <i>ICI/Tioxide</i> | 417 |
| T-282/02 | <i>Cementbouw v Commission</i> | 418 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| IV/M.2510 | <i>Cendant/Galileo</i> | 411 |
| IV/M.1016 | <i>Price Waterhouse/Coopers & Lybrand</i> | 412 |
| IV/M.754 | <i>Anglo American Corporation/Lonrho</i> | 413 |
| IV/M.613 | <i>Jefferson Smurfit Group plc/Munksjo AB</i> | 413 |
| IV/M.159 | <i>Mediobanca/Generali</i> | 413 |
| COMP/M.2567 | <i>Nordbanken/Postgiro</i> | 413 |
| C-202/06P | <i>Cementbouw v Commission</i> | 419 |

b. Community (Union) Dimension

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----|
| C-202/06P | <i>Cementbouw v Commission</i> | 420 |
| T-417/05 | <i>Endesa v Commission</i> | 421 |

c. Mandatory notification and suspension

| | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| IV/M.920 | <i>Samsung/AST</i> | 422 |
| IV/M.969 | <i>AP Møller</i> | 424 |
| COMP/M.2624 | <i>Deutsch BP/Erdölchemie</i> | 425 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----|
| IV/M.1157 | <i>Skanska/Scancem</i> | 424 |
| IV/M.993 | <i>Bertelsmann/Kirch/Premiere</i> | 424 |
| IV/M.4994 | <i>Electrabel/CNR</i> | 424 |
| T-332/09 | <i>Electrabel v Commission</i> | 424 |
| C-84/13P | <i>Electrabel v Commission</i> | 424 |
| COMP/M.7184 | <i>Marine Harvest/Morpol</i> | 424 |
| COMP/M.6850 | <i>Marine Harvest/Morpol</i> | 424 |
| T-704/14 | <i>Marine Harvest v Commission</i> | 424 |

d. Referrals to and from Member States

| | | |
|--------|-------------------------|-----|
| M.2698 | <i>Promatech/Sulzer</i> | 427 |
| M.2738 | <i>GE/Unison</i> | 427 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| M.3136 | <i>GE/AGFA NDT</i> | 427 |
| T-119/02 | <i>Royal Philips Electronics NV v Commission</i> | 428 |
| IV/M.423 | <i>Newspaper Publishing</i> | 430 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| M.7612 | <i>Hutchison 3G UK/ Telefonica UK</i> | 429 |
| IV/M.567 | <i>Lyonnaise des Eaux SA/Northumbrian Water Group</i> | 430 |
| M.1346 | <i>EDF/London Electricity</i> | 430 |

e. Investigation

| | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----|
| COMP/M.1634 | <i>Mitsubishi Heavy Industries</i> | 431 |
| T-145/06 | <i>Omya v Commission</i> | 432 |
| T-251/00 | <i>Lagardère SCA v Commission</i> | 434 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----|
| COMP/M.3216 | <i>Oracle/People Soft</i> | 433 |
| COMP/M.1397 | <i>Sanofi/Synthelabo</i> | 434 |

f. Horizontal Mergers

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| T-102/96 | <i>Gencor v Commission</i> | 435 |
| T-342/07 | <i>Ryanair Holdings plc v Commission</i> | 437 |
| T-282/06 | <i>Sun Chemical Group BV and others v Commission</i> | 438 |
| IV/M.53 | <i>Aerospatiale-Alenia/de Havilland</i> | 440 |
| COMP/M.2187 | <i>CVC/Lenzing</i> | 443 |
| IV/M.1630 | <i>Air Liquide/BOC</i> | 445 |
| IV/M.833 | <i>The Coca-Cola Company/Carlsberg A/S</i> | 447 |
| COMP/M.6166 | <i>Deutsche Börse/NYSE Euronext</i> | 449 |
| T-79/12 | <i>Cisco Systems Inc and Messagenet SpA v Commission</i> | 450 |
| COMP/M.7217 | <i>Facebook/WhatsApp</i> | 452 |
| M.3916 | <i>T-Mobile/tele.ring</i> | 455 |
| COMP/M.6497 | <i>Hutchison 3G Austria/Orange Austria</i> | 457 |
| COMP/M.6570 | <i>UPS/TNT</i> | 458 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| COMP/M.1806 | <i>Astra Zeneca/Novartis</i> | 436 |
| IV/M.1846 | <i>Glaxo Wellcome/SmithKline Beecham</i> | 436 |
| COMP/M.5830 | <i>Olympic Air/Aegean Airlines</i> | 437 |
| IV/M.1383 | <i>Exxon/Mobil</i> | 439 |
| IV/M.986 | <i>Agfa Gevaert/DuPont</i> | 441 |
| T-22/97 | <i>Kesko v Commission</i> | 444 |
| T-114/02 | <i>Babylls SA v Commission (SEB/Moulinex)</i> | 444 |
| IV/M.774 | <i>Saint-Gobain/Wacker-Chemie</i> | 448 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| COMP/M.5046 | <i>Friesland Foods/Campina</i> | 448 |
| IV/M.1157 | <i>Skanska/Scancem</i> | 448 |
| IV/M.53 | <i>Aerospatiale-Alenia/de Havilland</i> | 413 |
| COMP/M.6281 | <i>Microsoft/Skype</i> | 450 |
| Case M.4731 | <i>Google/DoubleClick</i> | 454 |
| Case M.7023 | <i>Publicis/Omnicom</i> | 454 |
| Case M.5727 | <i>Microsoft/Yahoo! Search Business</i> | 454 |
| IV/M.877 | <i>Boeing/McDonnell Douglas</i> | 456 |
| IV/M.1439 | <i>Telia/Telenor</i> | 446 |
| COMP/M.5224 | <i>EDF/Segebel</i> | 457 |
| COMP/M.7421 | <i>Orange/Jazztel</i> | 457 |
| T-194/13 | <i>United Parcel Service v Commission</i> | 458 |

g. Vertical Mergers

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| COMP/M.4403 | <i>Thales/Finmeccanica/Alcatel Alenia Space/Telespazio</i> | 459 |
| COMP/M.4389 | <i>WLR/BST</i> | 461 |
| COMP/M.1845 | <i>AOL/Time Warner</i> | 462 |
| COMP/M.4854 | <i>TomTom/Tele Atlas</i> | 464 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| COMP/M.4300 | <i>Philips/Intermagnetics</i> | 460 |
| COMP/M.1879 | <i>Boeing/Hughes</i> | 461 |

h. Conglomerate Mergers

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| T-79/12 | <i>Cisco Systems Inc and Messagenet SpA v Commission</i> | 450 |
| IV/M.938 | <i>Guinness/Grand Metropolitan</i> | 466 |
| COMP/M.3304 | <i>GE/Amersham</i> | 468 |
| C-12/03 P | <i>Commission v Tetra Laval BV</i> | 470 |
| T-210/01 | <i>General Electric v Commission</i> | 473 |
| COMP/M.5984 | <i>Intel/McAfee</i> | 475 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-----|
| COMP/M.6281 | <i>Microsoft/Skype</i> | 450 |
| COMP/M.2608 | <i>INA/FAG</i> | 467 |

i. Buyer Power

| | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|
| IV/M.1221 | <i>Rewe/Meinl</i> | 476 |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| IV/M.784 | <i>Kesko/Tuko</i> | 477 |
| T-22/97 | <i>Kesko v Commission</i> | 477 |
| COMP/M.5046 | <i>Friesland Foods/Campina</i> | 477 |

j. Countervailing Buyer Power

| | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|
| IV/M.1225 | <i>Enso/Stora</i> | 478 |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| T-282/06 | <i>Sun Chemical Group</i> | 479 |
| COMP/M.6458 | <i>Universal Music Group/EMI Music</i> | 479 |
| M.3732 | <i>Procter & Gamble/Gillette</i> | 479 |
| M.5658 | <i>Unilever/Sara Lee</i> | 480 |
| COMP/M.2220 | <i>General Electric/Honeywell</i> | 480 |
| COMP/M.5046 | <i>Friesland Foods/Campina</i> | 480 |

k. Efficiencies

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| COMP/M.4854 | <i>TomTom/Tele Atlas</i> | 464 |
| COMP/M.4000 | <i>Inco/Falconbridge</i> | 481 |
| COMP/M.4057 | <i>Korsnäs/AssiDomän Cartonboard</i> | 483 |
| T-342/07 | <i>Ryanair Holdings plc v Commission</i> | 484 |
| M.6570 | <i>UPS/TNT</i> | 485 |

l. Failing Firm Defence

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| C-68/94 etc | <i>French Republic and others v Commission (Kali und Salz)</i> | 486 |
| IV/M.2314 | <i>BASF/Pantochim/Eurodiol</i> | 488 |
| IV/M.2876 | <i>Newscorp/Telepiù</i> | 489 |
| M.6796 | <i>Aegean/Olympic II</i> | 491 |

Including the following summary reference:

| | | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| M.6360 | <i>Nynas/Shell/Harburg Refinery</i> | 491 |
|--------|-------------------------------------|-----|

m. Remedies

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| IV/M.6570 | <i>UPS/TNT</i> | 485 |
| T-210/01 | <i>General Electric v Commission</i> | 492 |
| T-87/05 | <i>EDP v Commission</i> | 494 |
| T-177/04 | <i>easyJet v Commission</i> | 496 |
| T-102/96 | <i>Gencor v Commission</i> | 498 |
| C-12/03P | <i>Commission v Tetra Laval BV</i> | 499 |
| T-119/02 | <i>Royal Philips Electronics NV v Commission</i> | 502 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| T-282/02 | <i>Cementbouw v Commission</i> | 495 |
| COMP/M.5984 | <i>Intel/McAfee</i> | 500 |
| COMP/M.5669 | <i>Cisco/Tandberg</i> | 501 |

n. Trustee

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| C-553/10P etc | <i>Commission v Éditions Odile Jacob SAS</i> | 503 |
|---------------|--|-----|

Including the following summary reference:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| T-452/04 | <i>Éditions Odile Jacob SAS v Commission</i> | 503 |
|----------|--|-----|

o. Full Function Joint Ventures

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| IV/M.527 | <i>Thomson CSF/Deutsche Aerospace</i> | 504 |
| M.3003 | <i>Energia Italia/Interpower</i> | 506 |
| COMP/M.2903 | <i>DaimlerChrysler/Deutsche Telekom</i> | 507 |
| JV.15 | <i>BT/AT&T</i> | 508 |
| COMP/M.3099 | <i>Areva/Urenco/ETC JV</i> | 509 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|--------------------------|-----|
| IV/M.560 | <i>EDS/Lufthansa</i> | 504 |
| M.551 | <i>ATR/BAe</i> | 505 |
| IV/M.556 | <i>Zeneca/Vanderhave</i> | 506 |

p. Judicial Review

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| C-12/03 | <i>Tetra Laval BV v Commission</i> | 510 |
| T-282/06 | <i>Sun Chemical Group BV and others v Commission</i> | 510 |
| C-440/07P | <i>Commission v Schneider Electric SA</i> | 511 |

Including the following summary reference:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| T-212/03 | <i>MyTravel Group plc v Commission</i> | 512 |
|----------|--|-----|

q. Transactions falling short of concentration—minority shareholdings

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| 142/84 etc | <i>British American Tobacco Company Limited and RJ Reynolds Industries Inc v Commission</i> | 513 |
| IV/33.440 | <i>Warner-Lambert/Gillette and Others</i> | 516 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| IV/34.857 | <i>BT/MCI</i> | 514 |
| T-411/07 | <i>Aer Lingus Group plc v Commission</i> | 514 |
| 1219/4/8/13 | <i>Ryanair Holdings plc v Competition Commission</i> | 514 |
| COMP/M.6663 | <i>Ryanair/Aer Lingus III</i> | 514 |

10. Enforcement—The European Commission 517**a. Prioritisation of enforcement**

| | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| C-119/97P | <i>Ufex and others v Commission</i> | 527 |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-----|

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| T-427/08 | <i>CEAHR v Commission</i> | 529 |
| Case T-201/11 | <i>Si.mobil Telekomunikacijske Storitve dd v European Commission</i> | 531 |
| T-355/13 | <i>EasyJet Airline Co Ltd v Commission</i> | 532 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----|
| T-24/90 | <i>Automec Srl v Commission</i> | 528 |
| T-229/05 | <i>AEPI v Commission</i> | 528 |
| T-99/04 | <i>Treuhand AG v Commission</i> | 528 |
| T-432/10 | <i>Vivendi v Commission</i> | 530 |

b. Inspection Powers

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 46/87 | <i>Hoechst AG v Commission</i> | 533 |
| C-94/00 | <i>Roquette Frères v Directeur Général de la Concurrence</i> | 535 |
| T-357/06 | <i>Koninklijke Wegenbouw Stevin BV v Commission</i> | 538 |
| T-135/09 | <i>Nexans France SAS and Nexans SA v Commission</i> | 539 |
| C-37/13P | <i>Nexans France SAS and Nexans SA v Commission</i> | 541 |
| C-583/13P | <i>Deutsche Bahn and Others v Commission</i> | 542 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------------|---|-----|
| 136/79 | <i>National Panasonic (UK) Ltd v Commission</i> | 537 |
| 46/87 | <i>Hoechst AG v Commission</i> | 537 |
| 97/87 to 99/87 | <i>Dow Chemical Ibérica and Others v Commission</i> | 541 |
| T-289/11 etc | <i>Deutsche Bahn and Others v Commission</i> | 542 |

c. Obstruction during inspection

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| COMP/B-1/39.326 | <i>E.ON Energie AG</i> | 544 |
| T-272/12 | <i>Energetický a průmyslový and EP Investment Advisors v Commission</i> | 545 |
| COMP/38.432 | <i>Professional Videotape</i> | 547 |
| COMP/F/38.456 | <i>Bitumen</i> | 548 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| COMP/39.796 | <i>Suez Environnement</i> | 544 |
| T-141/08 | <i>E.ON Energie AG v Commission</i> | 544 |
| C-89/11 | <i>E.ON Energie AG v Commission</i> | 544 |
| COMP/39.793 | <i>EPH and ot</i> | 545 |
| COMP/F/38.456 | <i>Bitumen (Netherlands)</i> | 548 |
| T-357/06 | <i>Koninklijke Wegenbouw Stevin BV v Commission</i> | 548 |

d. Request for Information

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 374/87 | <i>Orkem v Commission</i> | 549 |
| T-39/90 | <i>SEP v Commission</i> | 550 |
| C-247/14P | <i>HeidelbergCement AG v Commission</i> | 551 |

Including the following summary reference:

IV/30.735 *Deutsche Castrol* 550

e. Statement of Objections and Access to File

C-51/92P *Hercules Chemicals NV v Commission* 553
 C-204/00 etc *Aalborg Portland and others v Commission* 554

Including the following summary references:

142/84 etc *BAT and Reynolds v Commission* 553
 100/80 etc *Musique Diffusion Française and others v Commission* 553
 T-30/91 *Solvay SA v Commission* 555

f. Professional Secrecy

53/85 *AKZO Chemie BV and AKZO Chemie UK Ltd v Commission* 556

Including the following summary references:

85/76 *Hoffmann-La Roche & Co AG v Commission* 557
 C-36/92 *SEP v Commission* 557

g. Limited Right against Self-incrimination

374/87 *Orkem v Commission* 558
 T-112/98 *Mannesmannröhren-Werke AG v EC Commission* 560

Including the following summary references:

C-238/99 etc *Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij NV and others v Commission* 559
 T-34/93 *Société Générale v Commission* 559
 C-301/04P *Commission v SGL Carbon* 560

h. Professional Legal Privilege

155/79 *AM&S Europe Limited v Commission* 561
 T-30/89 *Hilti AG v Commission* 563
 C-550/07P *Akzo Nobel Chemicals Ltd v Commission* 564

Including the following summary references:

IV/30.809 *Re John Deere Tractors* 563
 IV/35.733 *Volkswagen AG and others* 563
 1971/2393 *Crompton v Customs and Excise Commissioners* 565
 T-125/03 R etc *Akzo Nobel Chemicals Ltd v Commission* 564

i. Interim Measures

T-44/90 *La Cinq v Commission* 566

Including the following summary references:

T-41/96 R *Bayer v Commission* 567

j. Finding and Termination of Infringement—Remedies

T-24/90 *Automec Srl v Commission* 568

Including the following summary reference:

6/73 *Istituto Chemioterapico Italiano SpA and others v Commission* 569

k. Commitments

COMP/C-3/39.530 *Microsoft (Tying)* 302
 C-441/07P *Alrosa Company Ltd v Commission* 569
 COMP/C-2/38.173 *FA Premier League* 571
 COMP/A.39.116/B2 *Coca-Cola* 571
 COMP/39.328 *German Electricity Wholesale Market* 571

l. Fines

100/80 etc *Musique Diffusion Française SA v Commission* 572
 C-189/02P etc *Dansk Rørindustri A/S and others v Commission* 572
 T-101/05 etc *BASF AG v Commission* 581
 C-681/11 *Bundswettbewerbshörde and Bundeskartellanwalt v Schenker* 583

m. Liability of a parent company to a fine

C-408/12 *YKK v Commission* 573

n. Recovery of fine from employees and directors

A3/2010/0675 etc *Safeway Stores Ltd & Others v Twigger & Others* 575

o. Limitation Periods

T-147/09 etc *Trelleborg Industrie SAS v Commission* 576

Including the following summary references:

COMP/39406 *Marine Hoses* 576
 T-279/02 *Degussa v Commission* 576, 577
 COMP/E/38.121 *Fittings* 576
 COMP/IV/30.064 *Cast iron and steel rolls* 576

p. Full and Effective Judicial Review

42/84 *Remia BV and others v Commission* 578
 C-7/95P *John Deere v Commission* 578

| | | |
|---|---|-----|
| T-201/04 | <i>Microsoft Corp v Commission</i> | 578 |
| C-272/09P | <i>KME Germany AG v Commission</i> | 579 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| C-389/10P | <i>Chalkor AE Epexergias Metallon v Commission</i> | 580 |
| 43509/08 | <i>Affaire a Menarini Diagnostics srl c Italie</i> | 580 |
| q. Leniency Programme | | |
| T-101/05 etc | <i>BASF AG v Commission</i> | 581 |
| C-360/09 | <i>Pfleiderer AG v Bundeskartellamt</i> | 584 |
| C-536/11 | <i>Bundeswettbewerbshörde v Donau Chemie AG</i> | 585 |
| T-437/08 | <i>CDC Hydrogen Peroxide v Commission</i> | 587 |
| Including the following summary reference: | | |
| HC08C03243 | <i>National Grid v ABB and others</i> | 585 |
| r. Access to the Commission's Internal Documents and Evidence | | |
| C-360/09 | <i>Pfleiderer AG v Bundeskartellamt</i> | 584 |
| C-536/11 | <i>Bundeswettbewerbshörde v Donau Chemie AG</i> | 585 |
| C-506/08P | <i>Kingdom of Sweden v MyTravel and Commission</i> | 586 |
| T-437/08 | <i>CDC Hydrogen Peroxide v Commission</i> | 587 |
| C-404/10P | <i>Commission v Éditions Odile Jacob SAS</i> | 589 |
| T-516/11 | <i>MasterCard, Inc v Commission</i> | 590 |
| Including the following summary reference: | | |
| HC08C03243 | <i>National Grid v ABB and others</i> | 585 |
| C-365/12P | <i>Commission v EnBW Energie Baden-Württemberg AG</i> | 585 |
| s. Settlement Procedure in Cartel Cases | | |
| COMP/38.511 | <i>DRAMs</i> | 592 |
| t. The Decision Powers of the National Competition Authority—Uniform Application | | |
| C-375/09 | <i>Prezes Urzędu Ochrony Konkurencji i Konsumentów v Tele2 Polska</i> | 593 |
| II. Enforcement—The National Courts | | |
| a. Direct Effect of Articles 101 and 102 TFEU | | |
| 127/73 | <i>Belgische Radio en Televisie v SABAM</i> | 601 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| C-282/95P | <i>Guérin Automobiles v Commission</i> | 601 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| C-453/99 | <i>Courage Ltd v Bernard Crehan</i> | 601 |
| C-234/89 | <i>Stergios Delimitis v Henninger Bräu AG</i> | 601 |
| b. Actions for Damages | | |
| C-453/99 | <i>Courage Ltd v Bernard Crehan</i> | 602 |
| C-295/04 etc | <i>Vincenzo Manfredi v Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni</i> | 604 |
| C-242/95 | <i>GT-Link A/S v De Danske Statsbaner</i> | 605 |
| C-557/12 | <i>KONE AG and others v ÖBB-Infrastruktur AG</i> | 606 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| 127/73 | <i>BRT v SABAM</i> | 603 |
| C-282/95P | <i>Guérin Automobiles v Commission</i> | 603 |
| HC05C00468 | <i>Devenish Nutrition Limited v Sanofi-Aventis SA (France)</i> | 604 |
| c. The Measure of Damages | | |
| C-295/04 etc | <i>Vincenzo Manfredi v Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni</i> | 604 |
| A3/2008/0080 | <i>Devenish Nutrition Ltd v Sanofi-Aventis SA (France) and others</i> | 607 |
| CH 1998 C801 | <i>Bernard Crehan v Innentrepreneur Pub Company and another</i> | 609 |
| Including the following summary references: | | |
| C-192/95 | <i>Société Comateb v Directeur général des douanes et droits indirects</i> | 608 |
| 1178/5/7/11 | <i>2 Travel Group PLC v Cardiff City Transport Services Limited</i> | 608 |
| C-271/91 | <i>M Helen Marshall v Southampton and others</i> | 609 |
| d. Euro Defence | | |
| A3/2002/1380 etc | <i>Intel Corporation v Via Technologies Inc</i> | 610 |
| A3/2005/2316 | <i>Sportswear SpA v Stonestyle Ltd</i> | 611 |
| Including the following summary reference: | | |
| 08/1996 | <i>Oakdale (Richmond) Ltd v National Westminster Bank Plc</i> | 611 |
| e. Interim Relief | | |
| C-213/89 | <i>R v Secretary of State for Transport ex parte Factortame Ltd</i> | 612 |
| Including the following summary reference: | | |
| C-05 | <i>Unibet (London) Ltd v Justitiekanslern</i> | 612 |
| f. Early Disposal of Competition Claims | | |
| A3/2002/1380 etc | <i>Intel Corporation v Via Technologies Inc</i> | 610 |
| A3/2005/2316 | <i>Sportswear SpA v Stonestyle Ltd</i> | 611 |

g. Burden and Standard of Proof in Competition Cases

C-204/00 etc *Aalborg Portland and others v Commission* 613

h. Standing and Passing on Defence

A3/2008/0080 *Devenish Nutrition Ltd v Sanofi-Aventis SA (France) and Others* 607

Including the following summary reference:

C-192/95 *Société Comateb and others v Directeur général des douanes* 608

i. Uniform Application of Competition Laws

C-344/98 *Masterfoods Ltd v HB Ice Cream Ltd* 614

2005-06 *Inntrepreneur Pub Company (CPC) and others v Crehan* 616

C-53/03 *Syfait and others v GlaxoSmithKline* 617

Including the following summary references:

C-234/89 *Stergios Delimitis v Henninger Bräu AG* 615

C-552/03 *Unilever Bestfoods (Ireland) Ltd v Commission* 615

j. Cooperation between National Courts and Commission

T-353/94 *Postbank NV v Commission* 618

Including the following summary references:

C-234/89 *Stergios Delimitis v Henninger Bräu AG* 619

C-2/88 *JJ Zwartveld and others* 619

k. Jurisdiction and Applicable Law

HC06C03489 *Sandisk Corporation v Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV* 620

C-386/05 *Color Drack GmbH v Lexx International Vertriebs GmbH* 622

A3/2009/2487 etc *Cooper Tire and Rubber Company v Shell Chemicals UK* 624

C-352/13 *Cartel Damage Claims Hydrogen Peroxide SA* 625

12. Extraterritoriality 627**a. The Implementation Doctrine**

89/85 etc *A Ahlström Osakeyhtiö v Commission (Wood Pulp)* 630

T-102/96 *Gencor Ltd v Commission* 632

COMP/39.309 *Liquid Crystal Displays (LCD) Cartel* 634

T-286/09 *Intel Corp* 636

Including the following summary references:

IV/M.877 *Boeing/McDonnell Douglas* 633

COMP/M.2220 *General Electric/Honeywell* 633

b. The Single Economic Entity Doctrine

C-48/69 *Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd v Commission* 638

13. State Aid 639**a. Condition 1—By the State and through State Resources**

78/76 *Steinike und Weinlig v Federal Republic of Germany* 645

C-67/85 etc *Van der Kooy v Commission* 646

C-72/91 etc *Sloman Neptun Schiffahrts AG v Bodo Ziesemer* 647

C-379/98 *PreussenElektra AG* 648

C-482/99 *France v Commission (Stardust Marine)* 649

C-345/02 *Pearle BV* 651

C-206/06 *Essent Netwerk Noord BV v Aluminium Delfzijl BV* 652

C-359/10P etc *Bouygues SA v Commission* 654

Including the following summary references:

C-480/98 *Spain v Commission* 645

173/73 *Italy v Commission* 645

C-379/98 *PreussenElektra* 645

C-189/91 *Petra Kirsammer-Hack* 647

C-52/97 *Epifanio Viscido* 647

173/73 *Italy v Commission* 647

C-677/11 *Doux Elevage SNC* 651

78/76 *Firma Steinike und Weinlig* 651

C-262/12 *Association Vent De Colère! Fédération nationale* 653

T-425/04 *France v Commission* 655

b. Condition 2—Conferral of an Advantage

30/59 *De Gezamenlijke Steenkolenmijnen in Limburg* 656

173/73 *Italy v Commission* 657

C-142/87 *Kingdom of Belgium v Commission (Tubemeuse)* 658

C-280/00 *Altmark Trans and Regierungspräsidium Magdeburg* 659

C-446/14P *Federal Republic of Germany v Commission* 661

C-182/03 etc *Kingdom of Belgium and Forum 187 v Commission* 662

C-124/10P *Commission v Électricité de France (EDF)* 663

C-214/12P etc *Land Burgenland and others v Commission* 665

T-461/13 etc *Kingdom of Spain v Commission* 667

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| C-53/00 | <i>Ferring SA v Agence centrale des organismes de sécurité sociale</i> | 660 |
| T-268/08 etc | <i>Land Burgenland (Austria) v Commission</i> | 665 |

c. Condition 3—Selectivity

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| C-143/99 | <i>Adria Wien Pipeline GmbH</i> | 668 |
| C-53/00 | <i>Ferring SA</i> | 669 |
| C-88/03 | <i>Portuguese Republic v Commission (Azores)</i> | 670 |
| C-487/06P | <i>British Aggregates Association</i> | 672 |
| C-78/08 etc | <i>Ministero dell'Economia e delle Finanze v Paint Graphos</i> | 673 |
| C-106/09P | <i>Commission v Government of Gibraltar</i> | 675 |
| T-399/11 | <i>Banco Santander v Commission (Spanish Goodwill)</i> | 677 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| C-280/00 | <i>Altmark Trans and Regierungspräsidium Magdeburg</i> | 669 |
| C-526/04 | <i>Laboratoires Boiron</i> | 669 |
| C-428/06 etc | <i>Unión General de Trabajadores de La Rioja (UGT-Rioja)</i> | 671 |
| C-279/08 P | <i>Com v Netherlands (NOx)</i> | 672 |

d. Condition 4—Distortion of Competition and Effect on Trade

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 730/79 | <i>Philip Morris v Commission</i> | 680 |
| C-280/00 | <i>Altmark Trans and Regierungspräsidium Magdeburg</i> | 681 |
| C-494/06P | <i>Commission v Italy and Wam SpA</i> | 682 |

Including the following summary references:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 78/76 | <i>Firma Steinike und Weinlig</i> | 680 |
| T-288/07 | <i>Friulia Venezia Giulia</i> | 683 |
| C-280/00 | <i>Altmark Trans and Regierungspräsidium Magdeburg</i> | 683 |

Index

685

Table of Cases

Introductory Note

This Table of Cases is divided into four sections: Court of Justice, General Court, European Commission, and Other Cases (which contains all national court rulings and rulings by non-European Union courts). References such as '178-9' indicate (not necessarily continuous) discussion of a case across a range of pages. Page numbers in bold denote full discussion of the case in question.

Court of Justice

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| Aalborg Portland A/S and others v Commission (Joined Cases C-204, 205, 211, 213, 217, 219/00 P), [2004] ECR I-123, [2005] 4 CMLR 4 | 58-59, 145, 519, 597 |
| Concerted practice | 76, 77 |
| Single overall agreement | 77 |
| Cartel agreement | 155 |
| Statement of objections | 553, 554 |
| Burden of proof | 613 |
| ACF Chemiefarma NV v Commission (41/69) [1970] ECR 661 | 57, 67-86, 147 |
| Agreement between undertakings | 66 |
| Market Sharing | 169 |
| AC-Treuhand AG v Commission (AC-Treuhand II) (Case C-194/14) [2015] CMLR 26 | 145 |
| Cartel facilitator | 158 |
| AEG - Telefunken v Commission (107/82), [1983] ECR 3151, [1984] 3 CMLR 325 | 2, 57, 67 |
| Single economic entity | 20, 28 |
| Agreement | 65 |
| Effect on Trade | 127 |
| Selective distribution | 184, 185 |
| Statement of objections | 554 |
| Aéroports de Paris v Commission (C-82/01 P), [2002] ECR I-9297, [2003] 4 CMLR 12 | 206 |
| Discriminatory fees | 243 |
| Adria Wien Pipeline GmbH (Case C-143/99) [2001] ECR I-8365 | 642 |
| State aid - Material Selectivity | 668 |
| Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and others v Commission (Wood Pulp Cartel II) (Joined Cases C-89, 104, 114, 116, 117 and 125 to 129/85), [1993] ECR I-1307, [1993] 4 CMLR 407 | 58, 79, 148 |
| Concerted practice | 71, 74, 75 |
| Object or effect | 101 |
| Information Exchange | 173 |
| Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and others v Commission (Wood Pulp Cartel) (Joined Cases 89, 104, 114, 116, 117 and 125 to 129/85), [1988] ECR 5193, [1988] 4 CMLR 901 | 628 |
| Implementation doctrine | 630-1 |
| Ahmed Saeed Flugreisen and others v Zentrale zur Bekämpfung unlauteren Wettbewerbs (66/86), [1989] ECR 803 | 336 |
| Article 106(1) and Article 106(2) | 355 |
| AKZO Chemie BV and AKZO Chemie UK Ltd v Commission (53/85), [1986] ECR 1965, [1987] 1 CMLR 231 | 528 |
| Professional secrecy | 556-7 |
| Information disclosure | 619 |