

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Treaties and National Legislation</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxvii
<i>List of Illustrations</i>	xxxviii
Chapter I: Preliminary Considerations	1
Section I Nature of the Problem	1
1. Importance of Maritime Delimitation in International Law of the Sea	1
2. Development of the Studies on Maritime Delimitation	3
3. Analytical Framework	4
Section II Concept of Maritime Delimitation	7
1. Legal Nature of Maritime Delimitation	7
A. Definition	7
B. Arguments on the Distinction between Delimitation and Apportionment	11
C. Arguments on the Distinction between Declaratory and Constitutive Delimitation	12
2. Typology of Maritime Delimitations	14
A. Typology in the 1958 Geneva Conventions	14
B. Typology in the 1982 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea	14
C. Issues of the Single Maritime Boundary	15
Part One: The Evolution of Law of Maritime Delimitation: Opposition of Two Basic Approaches	17
Chapter II: Law of Maritime Delimitation Prior to the 1958 Geneva Conventions: Emergence of Two Approaches	19
Section I Five Principal Systems of Maritime Delimitation	19
1. Median-Line System	19

A.	State Practice and Opinions of Writers	19
B.	Emergence of Two Prototypes	22
2.	The System of a Line Perpendicular to the General Direction of the Coast	24
A.	The <i>Grisbadarna</i> Case (Norway/Sweden, 1909)	24
B.	Evaluation	25
3.	Prolongation of the Land Boundary	27
4.	<i>Thalweg</i> System	28
A.	State Practice and the Case Law	28
B.	Evaluation	31
5.	Common-Zone System	31
	Section II Discussion at the Hague Conference for the Codification of International Law in 1930	32
1.	Delimitation of Territorial Sea between States with Adjacent Coasts	32
2.	Delimitation of the Territorial Sea between States with Opposite Coasts	33
	Section III Summary	34
	Chapter III: The 1958 Geneva Conventions and the 1982 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea	37
	Section I The 1958 Geneva Conventions	37
1.	Rules Regarding Delimitation of Territorial Sea and the Continental Shelf	37
A.	Basic Structure of the Rules	37
B.	Comments on the Triple Rule	40
2.	Rules on the Delimitation of Contiguous Zones and Internal Waters	43
	Section II The 1982 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea	44
1.	Analysis of Articles 74(1) and 83(1)	44
2.	Problems with Articles 74(1) and 83(1)	47
	Chapter IV: Opposition of Two Approaches in the Case Law I: Continental Shelf Delimitation	51
	Section I The <i>North Sea Continental Shelf</i> Cases (1969, Federal Republic of Germany/Denmark, the Netherlands)	51
1.	Law Applicable to the Continental Shelf Delimitation (1): Article 6 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf	52
A.	The Fundamental Aspects of Article 6	52
B.	The Positive Law Aspects of Article 6	53
2.	Law Applicable to the Continental Shelf Delimitation (2): Equitable Principles	57

A.	Legal Basis of Equitable Principles	57
B.	Substance of Equitable Principles	58
Section II	The <i>Anglo-French Continental Shelf Case</i> (France/United Kingdom, 1977)	61
1.	Law Applicable to the Continental Shelf Delimitation	61
A.	Preliminary Considerations on Reservations	61
B.	Relation between Article 6 and Customary Law	62
2.	Application of the Law Identified	64
A.	Establishment of the Continental Shelf Boundary	64
B.	Comparison between the 1969 and 1977 Decisions	66
Section III	The <i>Tunisia/Libya Case</i> (1982)	67
1.	Law Applicable to the Continental Shelf Delimitation	67
A.	Relation between Equitable Principles and Natural Prolongation	67
B.	Approach to Equitable Principles	69
2.	Application of the Law Identified	71
A.	Establishment of an Illustrative Continental Shelf Boundary	71
B.	Problem of the Illustrative Boundary	72
Section IV	The <i>Libya/Malta Case</i> (1985)	74
1.	Law Applicable to the Continental Shelf Delimitation	75
A.	The Court's Approach to Equitable Principles	75
B.	Contents of Equitable Principles	76
2.	Application of the Law Identified	77
A.	Establishment of the Illustrative Continental Shelf Boundary	77
B.	Evaluation	79
Chapter V: Opposition of Two Approaches in the Case Law II: Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries		81
Section I	The <i>Gulf of Maine Case</i> (United States/Canada, 1984)	81
1.	Law Applicable to the Single Maritime Boundary	82
A.	Three Levels of Structure in the Chamber's Reasoning and Its Problems	82
B.	The Chamber's Approach to the Law Applicable to Single Maritime Boundary	85
2.	Application of the Law Identified	86
A.	Operational Stage	86
B.	Verification Stage	88
Section II	The <i>Guinea/Guinea-Bissau Case</i> (1985)	88
1.	Law Applicable to the Single Maritime Boundary	89
2.	Application of the Law Identified	90

Section III	The <i>St Pierre and Miquelon</i> Case (France/Canada, 1992)	91
1.	Law Applicable to the Single Maritime Boundary	91
2.	Application of the Law Identified	92
	A. Operational Stage	92
	B. Verification Stage	94
Section IV	The <i>Greenland/Jan Mayen</i> Case (Denmark/Norway, 1993)	94
1.	The Law Applicable to the Maritime Delimitation	95
	A. Law Applicable to the Continental Shelf	95
	B. Law Applicable to the FZ	97
	C. The Court's Approach to Equitable Principles	98
2.	Application of the Law Identified	99
	A. Consideration of the Special/Relevant Circumstances	99
	B. Problems with the Coincident Maritime Boundary Established	100
Section V	The <i>Eritrea/Yemen</i> Case: the Second Stage (1999)	101
1.	Law Applicable to the Single Maritime Boundary	103
2.	Application of the Law Identified	104
Section VI	The <i>Qatar/Bahrain</i> Case (Merits, 2001)	107
1.	Law Applicable to Maritime Delimitation	108
	A. Law Applicable to Territorial Sea Delimitation	108
	B. Law Applicable to a Single Maritime Boundary	109
2.	Application of the Law Identified	110
	A. Territorial Sea Delimitation	110
	B. Single Maritime Boundary	112
Section VII	The <i>Cameroon/Nigeria</i> Case (Merits 2002)	113
1.	Law Applicable to Maritime Delimitation	114
2.	Application of the Law Identified	117
	A. Identification of Relevant Coasts and Base Points	117
	B. Considerations on Relevant Circumstances	118
Section VIII	Two Approaches to Equitable Principles in the Case Law	119
1.	General Considerations	119
2.	Analysis of Courts Approaches Based on the Configuration of the Coast	121
3.	Advantages and Disadvantages of the Two Approaches	123
	A. The Result-Oriented Equity Approach	123
	B. The Corrective-Equity Approach	125
Part Two: Comparative Analysis between the Case Law and State Practice		127

Chapter VI: Predictability in the Law of Maritime Delimitation: The Applicability of the Equidistance Method at the First Stage of Delimitation	129
Section I Method of Analysis	129
1. Importance of Comparative Analysis between the Case Law and State Practice	129
2. Concept of Predictability	130
Section II Analysis of State Practice	132
1. The Equidistance Method in State Practice	133
A. Method of Analysis	133
B. The Results	134
2. Evaluation	136
A. Extensive and Virtually Uniform State Practice	136
B. Existence of <i>Opinio Juris</i>	137
Section III Analysis of the Case Law	138
1. Link between Legal Title and Method of Delimitation	138
A. Concept of Legal Title in Maritime Delimitation	138
B. Relation between Legal Title and Delimitation Method in the Case Law	141
2. Evaluation	147
Section IV Discussion	148
Chapter VII: Flexibility in the Law of Maritime Delimitation I: Geographical Factors	151
Section I The Concept of Flexibility	151
Section II Configuration of the Coast	152
1. Opposite or Adjacent Coasts	152
A. Analysis of the Case Law	152
B. Analysis of State Practice	154
2. Concave or Convex Coasts	154
A. Analysis of the Case Law	154
B. Analysis of State Practice	157
3. General Direction of the Coast	158
A. Analysis of the Case Law	158
B. Analysis of State Practice	160
4. Discussion	160
Section III Proportionality	161
1. Analysis of the Case Law	161
A. Proportionality in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	161
B. Proportionality in the Context of Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	169

C. Summary	177
2. Analysis of State Practice	179
A. Agreements Regarding Continental Shelf Boundaries	179
B. Agreements Regarding Single Maritime Boundaries	181
3. Discussion	182
Section IV Presence of Islands	183
1. General Considerations	183
A. Entitlement of Islands	184
B. Typology of Islands	185
2. Analysis of the Case Law	186
A. Islands in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	186
B. Islands in the Context of the Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	196
3. Analysis of State Practice	207
A. Offshore Islands	209
B. Islands 'on the Wrong Side'	213
C. Detached Islands (Islands as the Sole Unit of Entitlement)	214
D. Island States	216
4. Discussion	217
Section V Baselines	219
1. Analysis of the Case Law	219
A. Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	219
B. Arguments in the Context of Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	222
2. Analysis of State Practice	225
A. Straight Baselines Which Did Not Influence the Maritime Delimitation	225
B. Straight Baselines Which Did Influence the Maritime Delimitation	227
3. Discussion	230
Section VI Geological and Geomorphological Factors	231
1. Analysis of the Case Law	231
A. Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	231
B. Arguments in the Context of Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	233
2. Analysis of State Practice	234
A. Agreements regarding Continental Shelf Delimitations	234
B. Agreements regarding Single Maritime Boundaries	237

3. Discussion	240
Section VII The Presence of Third States	241
1. Analysis of the Case Law	241
A. Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	241
B. Arguments in the Judgments on Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	245
2. Analysis of State Practice	253
A. Establishment of a Tri-Junction Point	254
B. Agreements Which Provide for Future Delimitation with Third States	254
3. Discussion	255
Section VIII Position of Land Boundary	257
1. Analysis of the Case Law	257
A. Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations: The <i>Tunisia/Libya</i> Case	257
B. Arguments in the Context of Single Maritime Boundaries: The <i>Gulf of Maine</i> and <i>Guinea/Guinea-Bissau</i> Cases	258
2. Analysis of State Practice	260
A. Starting Point of Maritime Boundaries	260
B. Prolongation of a Land Boundary	261
3. Discussion	262
Section IX Presence of Ice	262
1. Analysis of the Case Law: The <i>Greenland/Jan Mayen</i> Case	262
2. Analysis of State Practice	263
Chapter VIII: Flexibility in the Law of Maritime Delimitation II: Non-Geographical Factors	265
Section I Economic Factors	265
1. Analysis of the Case Law	266
A. Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	266
B. Arguments in the Context of Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	269
2. Analysis of State Practice	276
A. Agreements Regarding Delimitations of Continental Shelf	276
B. Agreements Regarding Single Maritime Boundaries	277
C. Two Flexible Solutions in State Practice	279
3. Discussion	287
Section II Conduct of the Parties	288
1. Analysis of the Case Law	288

A.	Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations: The <i>Tunisia/Libya</i> Case	289
B.	Arguments in the Context of Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	291
2.	Analysis of State Practice	297
A.	Agreements Regarding Continental Shelf Delimitations	297
B.	Agreements Regarding Single Maritime Boundaries	298
3.	Discussion	298
Section III	Historic Rights	299
1.	Analysis of the Case Law	299
A.	Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations: The <i>Tunisia/Libya</i> Case	299
B.	Arguments in the Context of Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	302
2.	Analysis of State Practice	305
3.	Discussion	306
Section IV	Security Interests	306
1.	Analysis of the Case Law	306
A.	Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	306
B.	Arguments in the Context of Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	309
2.	Analysis of State Practice	311
3.	Discussion	313
Section V	Navigation	314
1.	Analysis of the Case Law	314
A.	Arguments in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	314
B.	Arguments in the Context of Single Maritime Boundaries	315
2.	Analysis of State Practice	316
A.	Agreements Regarding Continental Shelf Delimitations	316
B.	Agreements Regarding Single Maritime Boundaries	317
3.	Discussion	318
Section VI	Environmental Factors	319
1.	Analysis of the Case Law: The <i>Gulf of Maine</i> Case	319
2.	Analysis of State Practice	320
3.	Discussion	322
Section VII	Traditional Livelihood	322
1.	Analysis of the Case Law	322
2.	Analysis of State Practice	322

Section VIII Summary	323
1. General Observation Regarding the Case Law	323
2. Formation of Case Law Distinguished From State Practice	325
Part Three: Balance between Predictability and Flexibility in the Law of Maritime Delimitation	329
Chapter IX: Legal Framework Reconciling Predictability and Flexibility in the Law of Maritime Delimitation	331
Section I Tension between Predictability and Flexibility in the Law of Maritime Delimitation	331
Section II Identification of Relevant Circumstances	333
1. Relevant Circumstances in a Broad Sense	333
2. Relevant Circumstances in a Narrow Sense	334
3. The Attempt to Establish a Legal Framework of Relevant Circumstances	336
Section III Balancing Relevant Circumstances	337
1. The Balancing of Relevant Circumstances in State Practice	338
A. The Obscurity of Criteria to be used for Balancing Relevant Circumstances in State Practice	338
B. Agreements Drawing Separate Maritime Boundaries for the Seabed and the Superjacent Waters	338
2. The Problem of Balancing Relevant Circumstances in the Case Law	344
A. Relation between Relevant Circumstances in the Context of Continental Shelf Delimitations	344
B. The Relation between Relevant Circumstances in the Context of Single/Coincident Maritime Boundaries	345
C. Evaluation	347
Section IV The Quest for Reconciliation between Predictability and Flexibility in the Law of Maritime Delimitation	349
1. Law of Maritime Delimitation at the End of the Twentieth Century: Co-existence of Two Approaches	349
2. The Law of Maritime Delimitation at the Onset of the Twenty-First Century: Towards a Unified Approach	350
Chapter X: General Conclusion	353
Appendix: State Practice Regarding Maritime Delimitation	357
Selected Bibliography	383
<i>Index</i>	413