

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxix
1. Introduction	1
I. The Disintegration of the Modern Law	1
A. A Very Brief History	1
B. Implications of the Development of the Modern Law: The Role of Policy	3
C. Implications of the Development of the Modern Law: The Role of Principle	8
D. Less 'Realistic' Views	11
E. Defining Policy Narrowly	17
II. An Alternative	19
A. History Revisited	19
B. Strategy and Methodology	21
(i) General Strategy	21
(a) Interpretive Legal Theory	21
(b) Reflective Equilibrium	25
(c) Transparency, Policy, Judicial Decision Making and Reflective Equilibrium	28
(ii) Applying the Methodology to the Law of Negligence	28
(a) The Principled Approach	28
(b) The Modern Law	30
(c) An Internal, not External, Analysis	34
(iii) Format of the Book	36
III. Concluding Remarks	39
2. Corrective Justice, Negligence and Tort Law	41
I. Spheres of Morality	42
II. The Form of Corrective Justice	45
III. Corrective Justice, the Principled Approach and the Role of Judgement	46
IV. Corrective Justice and the Definition of Principle and Policy	50
V. The Apolitical Nature of Corrective Justice	52
VI. Two Potential Objections	55
A. The Incompleteness Objection and the Right of Corrective Justice	56

(i)	The Incompleteness of Corrective Justice	56
(ii)	The Incompleteness of the Principled Approach	61
(iii)	The Rights Base of the Law of Negligence and Corrective Justice	63
(iv)	The Rights Base of the Law of Negligence and Distributive Justice	66
(v)	Conclusion	69
B.	The Inconsistency Objection: Corrective Justice and the General Law of Tort	70
3.	The Standard of Care	73
I.	The Distinction between Action and Mere Behaviour	74
II.	The Objective Standard	78
A.	Justifying the Objective Standard	79
B.	Who is the Ordinary Reasonable Person?	84
C.	The Objective Standard and Corrective Justice	86
D.	Adjusting the Objective Standard	87
III.	Reasonable Care	96
A.	The Leading Cases	96
B.	The Standard of Care and Corrective Justice	103
C.	Summary	106
D.	Problematic Cases	106
E.	Conclusion	111
IV.	Strict Liability and Corrective Justice	111
V.	Recent Legislation	112
4.	Duty and Remoteness	115
I.	The Duty of Care	115
A.	The Nature of the Duty of Care	115
(i)	<i>Winterbottom v Wright</i>	115
(ii)	<i>Donoghue v Stevenson</i>	118
(iii)	<i>Palsgraf</i>	123
B.	The Duty of Care and Corrective Justice	128
II.	Remoteness of Damage	129
A.	The Nature of Remoteness	129
(i)	<i>Polemis</i>	129
(ii)	<i>The Wagon Mound (No 1)</i>	131
B.	Remoteness and Corrective Justice	132
C.	Remoteness, the Injury and the Accident	133
(i)	The General Approach	133
(ii)	The Chain of Events	137
(iii)	The Extent of the Claimant's Injury	139

(iv) Remoteness and Judgment	140
(v) <i>Hughes v Lord Advocate</i> Again	142
III. The Role of Reasonable Foreseeability in Duty and Remoteness	143
IV. Illustrations of Duty and Remoteness	145
A. Liability to Rescuers	145
B. Not-yet-existent Claimants	148
C. Novus Actus Interveniens	149
(i) Case Law	149
(ii) An Alternative	156
D. The Exception: the Thin Skull Rule	162
V. Conclusion	166
 5. Modern Approaches to the Duty of Care	 167
I. The <i>Anns</i> Approach	167
A. The Development of the <i>Anns</i> Approach	167
B. Criticism of the <i>Anns</i> Approach	170
II. The Incremental Approach	182
A. The Formulation of the Incremental Approach	182
B. The Incremental Approach and the Neighbour Test	183
(i) Proximity and Reasonable Foreseeability	183
(ii) The Incremental Approach and Policy	187
C. Incrementalism and Justification	190
D. Conclusion	193
III. Vulnerability: A New Approach?	194
IV. The Modern Approaches and the Place of Tort Law within the Law of Obligations	195
V. Comparing the Approaches	197
VI. Conclusion	204
 6. Misfeasance, Nonfeasance and the Rights Base of the Law of Negligence	 205
I. The Traditional Understanding of the Distinction between Misfeasance and Nonfeasance	205
A. Acts and Omissions	205
B. Justification for the Act/Omission Distinction	209
II. The Rights Base of the Law of Negligence	210
A. Primary Rights and Injuries	210
B. Primary Rights and the Structure of the Law of Obligations	212
C. Standard Objections	214
III. The Distinction between Misfeasance and Nonfeasance	217
IV. Conclusion	222

7. Economic Loss	223
I. Consequential and Relational Economic Loss	223
A. The Modern Approaches	223
B. The Principled Approach	232
(i) Indeterminate Liability	233
(ii) <i>Ultramares</i>	234
(iii) <i>Donoghue v Stevenson</i> , Nonfeasance and the Rights of Tort Law	237
C. The Principled Approach to Relational Loss	239
D. The Principled Approach to Consequential Loss	246
II. Pure Economic Loss	250
A. The Building Cases	250
(i) The Principled Approach	250
(ii) The Policy-driven Approaches	252
(iii) The Risk to the Owner's Person and Property and Risk to Others	256
B. The Disappointed Beneficiary Cases	260
III. Economic Loss, Primary Rights and the General Law	267
IV. Economic Loss in Negligence and the Economic Torts	269
V. Conclusion	272
8. Negligent Misrepresentation and Assumption of Responsibility	273
I. The Negligence Model	274
A. <i>Candler v Crane Christmas & Co</i>	274
B. The <i>Anns</i> Test and Negligent Misrepresentation	276
C. The Incremental Approach and Negligent Misrepresentation	281
D. Rejection of the Negligence Model	282
II. The Assumption of Responsibility Model	284
A. The Judgment in <i>Hedley Byrne</i>	284
B. The Role of Assumption of Responsibility in Negligent Misrepresentation	287
(i) Disclaimers	287
(ii) Causal Conversations	291
(iii) Special Skill	292
(iv) The Purpose of the Representation and the Person for whose Benefit the Statement was Made	293
C. Perceived Difficulties with the Assumption of Responsibility Model	298
(i) The Pigeonhole Argument	298
(ii) The Role of Proximity	299
(iii) The Role of Reasonable Foreseeability	299
(iv) The Role of Reliance	300

(v) The Role of Negligence	301
(vi) Criticisms of the Notion of Assumption of Responsibility	303
D. Conclusion	310
III. 'Negligent Misrepresentation' and the Private Law	311
IV. The Right of <i>Spring</i>	316
 9. Nonfeasance and the Liability of Public Bodies	 321
I. Nonfeasance in General	321
A. The Scope of the Rule	321
B. Assumptions of Responsibility and Nonfeasance	333
II. The Liability of Public Bodies	335
A. General Theory	335
(i) The Liability of Public Bodies where, Prima Facie, a Common Law Primary Right has been Violated	335
(ii) The Liability of Public Bodies where, Prima Facie, a Common Law Primary Right has not been Violated	337
B. Case Law	338
 10. Defences	 341
I. Contributory Negligence	341
II. <i>Volenti Non Fit Injuria</i>	345
A. The Two Parts of the Defence	345
B. Willing the Harm	349
C. Consenting to the Risk of the Harm	357
D. The <i>Volenti</i> Defence and Rescuers	365
III. Illegality	372
A. <i>Gala v Preston</i>	372
B. Cory J in <i>Hall v Hebert</i>	377
C. Corrective Justice and Illegality: Weinrib and McLachlin J in <i>Hall v Hebert</i>	379
 11. Wrongful Birth, Wrongful Conception and Nervous Shock	 385
I. Wrongful Birth	385
II. Wrongful Conception	386
A. Case Law	386
B. The Law of Negligence	389
C. Recovery under an Assumption of Responsibility	402
III. Nervous Shock	404
A. Primary Victims	404
B. Secondary Victims	407
C. Nervous Shock and the Rights of the Claimant	409

12. Causation	413
I. Why Causation?	413
II. The Nature of Factual Causation	415
A. Case Law: The But For Test and its Alternatives	415
B. The NESS Test and the Targeted But For Test	418
(i) Introducing the Tests	418
(ii) Problems with the Targeted But For Test	419
(iii) Problems with the NESS Test	422
C. The Nature of Factual Causation in Outline	425
D. Exploring Factual Causation	427
III. Liability in Cases of Over-determination	431
A. The Problem	431
B. Negligence and Nominal Damages	433
C. Wright on Damages	434
D. The Claimant's Rights and Factual Causation	435
E. Applying the Theory to More Complex Fact Patterns	439
13. Proof and Uncertainty	445
I. The Burden of Proof	446
II. Uncertainty over whether the Defendant was Negligent	447
III. Uncertainty over Factual Causation	453
A. The Principled Approach to Factual Uncertainty	453
B. Applying the Principled Approach to Cases of Factual Uncertainty	465
(i) <i>McGhee</i>	465
(ii) <i>Wilsher</i>	472
(iii) <i>Fairchild</i>	472
(iv) Scientific Uncertainty and Uncertainty in General	489
IV. Loss of a Chance	492
A. The Problem: <i>Gregg v Scott</i>	492
(i) Lord Nicholls' Main Argument	493
(ii) Lord Nicholls' Secondary Argument	494
(iii) Lord Hoffmann's Main Argument	496
(iv) Lord Hoffmann's Secondary Argument	497
B. What Does it Mean to Say that There Was a Chance?	498
(i) The Argument from Quantum Physics	499
(ii) Free Will	502
(iii) Loss of Chance and Factual Uncertainty	503
C. Another Kind of Loss of a Chance	504

14. Conclusion	507
I. Corrective Justice and Legal Change	507
II. Policy and Principle: Rediscovering the Law of Negligence	512
<i>Bibliography</i>	517
<i>Index</i>	527

<http://www.pbookshop.com>