Contents

Fo	eword	v
Сс	ntributors	XV
[nt	oduction JASON W NEYERS, ERIKA CHAMBERLAIN AND STEPHEN G A PITEL	1
1	General and Special Tort Law: Uses (and Abuses) of Theory PETER CANE I. The General/Special Distinction II. A Two-Part Criminal Law III. Transitional Stocktaking IV. Tort Theory and the General/Special Distinction V. Tort Theory Through the Lens of Criminal Law Theory VI. A Two-Part Tort Law? VII. Coda: The Limits of Philosophy A. The (Dis)Unity of Tort Law	5 7 13 15 18 21 27 27
	B. The Politics of Legal Philosophy	29
2	Breach of Statute and Tort Law LEWIS N KLAR	31
	I. Introduction II. The Judgment in The Queen v Saskatchewan	31
	Wheat Pool III. The Impact of Saskatchewan Wheat Pool on	32
	Canadian Law A. Statutory Standards Applied Within Existing Common	35
	Law Duty Relationships B. The Use of Statutes in the Absence of a Common Law	37
	Duty Relationship C. The Suggested Correct Approach to the Interaction	39
	Between Statutes and Negligence Actions IV. The Interaction Between Breaches of Statutory Duties and	52
	the Tort of Misfeasance in a Public Office V. Conclusion	57 61
3	'Sois Sage'— Responsibility for Childishness in the Law of	
	Civil Wrongs SHAUNA VAN PRAAGH	63
	I. IntroductionII. Learning Responsibility: Curious George and the Man	63
	With the Yellow Hat III. Responsibility for Childish Behaviour	65 69
	iii. Responsibility for Children Deliaviour	0)

viii Contents

	IV. V.	Childhood: Where the Parents Are Conclusions	74 83
4		ms of Involuntary Parenthood: Why the Resistance? ZABETH ADJIN-TETTEY	85
	I.	Introduction	8.5
	II.	Overview of Judicial and Legislative Positions on Claims of	
	TTT	Involuntary Parenthood	86
	III.	Limited Recovery: Partial Recognition of Reproductive Autonomy	89
	IV.	Denial of Recovery of Maintenance Costs as a Matter of	92
	V.	Distributive Justice Construction of Legal Injury or Compensable Harm	98
	VI.	Commodification Rationale for Denying Child	20
		Maintenance Costs	103
	VII.	Conclusion	109
5	Liab	ility for Psychiatric Damage: Searching for a Path	
		reen Pragmatism and Principle	113
		CHAEL A JONES	
	I.	Introduction	113
	II.	Categories of Claimant	113
		A. Psychiatric Harm Following Physical Injury to the	
		Claimant	116
		B. Claims for Pure Psychiatric Harm in the Paradigm	
		'Nervous Shock' Action Involving Participation in or	
		Observation of a Traumatic Event	117
		C. Claims for Pure Psychiatric Harm where the Claimant	
		Falls Outside the 'Nervous Shock' Paradigm of Sudden	
		Traumatic Events	120
	III.	Consequences of these Categorisations in Relation to Pure	
	TT 7	Psychiatric Damage	122
	IV.	Psychiatric Harm Following Physical Injury to the	12
		Claimant A. The Thin Skull Rule	123 123
	V.	The Medical Context	130
	VI.		134
		How Should Lawyers Respond to Claims Involving	13
	, 11,	Psychiatric Harm?	133
6	Shou	ıld White v Jones Represent Canadian Law: A Return to	
	First	Principles	143
		ER BENSON	
	I.	The Two Routes to Liability Considered in	
		White v Jones	143
	II.	The Fundamental Categories of Duty Relations in	4.4
		Negligence	149

		A. The Three Elements of Duty and Liability for Physical	4.40
		Loss B. A Second Category of Negligence: the Reliance Model C. The Two Fundamental Categories of Negligence:	149 155
		Comparisons and Conclusions	165
	III.	The Requirements of Justice and a Proposed Solution	168
7		iches of Contracts and Claims by Third Parties PHEN WADDAMS	191
8		cy Issues in Defective Property Cases PHEN TODD	199
	I.	Introduction	199
	II.	An Overview of the Cases	200
		A. England	200
		A. England B. Australia C. Canada D. New Zealand Legal Principle Policy Concerns A. Promoting Professional Accountability	203
		C. Canada	206
		D. New Zealand	208
	III.	Legal Principle	211
	IV.	Policy Concerns	213
			214
		B. Imposing a Proportionate Burden of Liability	214
		C. Maintaining Contractual Certainty	216
		D. Responding to Need	221
		E. Protecting the Vulnerable	225
	V.	Negligent Inspections	229
	VI.	Limitation of Actions	230
	VII.	Conclusion	231
9		ective Structures and Economic Loss in the United States:	222
	Law DAV	and Policy VID F PARTLETT	233
	I.	Introduction	233
	II.	Law, Legislation and Behaviour	234
		A. An Overview of United States Case Law	234
		B. Defective Buildings, Products Liability, and the United	
		States Supreme Court	235
		C. The Economic Loss Doctrine Always Applies: New	
		York	237
		D. Statutory Causes of Action: California and Nevada	239
		E. Duty to Construct Without Negligence: Colorado and	
		South Carolina	241
		F. Comparative Policy Considerations Between the United	
		States and England, Australia, Canada and New	
		Zealand	242
		G. What's a Builder To Do? Insurance and Risk	
		Mitigation Cost and Availability of Insurance	243

x Contents

		H. Risk Management Strategies	244
	III.	Policy	246
10		n Screening Under Negligence Law	251
	ISRA	AEL GILEAD	
	I.	Introduction	251
	II.	Relational Screening: The-Scope-of-Risk Rule	256
		A. What Makes Conduct Faulty and a Risk Tortious?	256
		B. The Four Aspects of Risk	257
		C. The 'Description Problem' and the Attack on	
		Relational Risk-Related Screening	258
		D. The 'Harms/benefits Correlation Problem'	261
		E. Rationale and Justifications for Risk-Related Screening	
		of Foreseeable Harms	262
		F. Tackling the 'Harms/Benefits Correlation Problem'	265
	III.	Unforeseeable Risks and Exceptions to	
		Risk-Related Screening	267
		A. Screening Unforeseeable Harms: Justifications	267
		B. The Different Kinds of Unforeseeability	268
		C. Unscreened Unforeseeable Harms: Undeserving Actors,	
		Deserving Plaintiffs and the Extent of Harm	270
	IV.	Liability-Watch Screening: Justifications, Methods and	
		Increasing Complexity	272
	V.	The Screening Devices and the 'Division of Labour'	
		Between Them	275
		A. Screening Concepts: The Confusion	275
		B. Distinguishing between Relational and Liability-Watch	
		Screening Devices	276
		C. The Pros and Cons of the Third Restatement's	
		Screening 'Revolution'	276
		D. 'Division of Labour' between Duty and Legal	
		Causation: An Illustration	279
		E. Three Observations	282
	VI.	A Short Summary	284
		Two Concluding Notes: Unforeseeability and Corrective	
		Justice	285
		J	
11	Acts	and Omissions as Positive and Negative Causes	287
		HARD W WRIGHT	
	I.	Introduction	287
	II.	Positive and Negative Causation	290
	III.		292
	IV.		302
	V.		307

Contents	X1
Convenis	ΔI

12		sion Causation: Pandora's Tool-Box IGHAN BLACK	309	
	I.	Introduction	309	
	II.	The Cases	316	
	III.	Analysis	323	
13		-Delegable Duties and Vicarious Liability BERT STEVENS	331	
	I.	Introduction	331	
	II.	Attribution	332	
		Fault	337	
	IV.	Normal and Abnormal Risks	339	
		A. The 'rule in <i>Rylands v Fletcher</i> '	339	
		B. Australia	344	
		C. Qualified Privilege	345	
	V.	Statutory Duties Miscellaneous Cases A. Fire	348	
	VI.	Miscellaneous Cases	349	
		A. Fire	349	
		B. Private Nuisance	350	
		C. Withdrawal of Support from Neighbouring Land	351	
		D. Public Nuisance	351	
	VII.	Contractual and Consensual Duties	352	
		A. Exigibility	352	
		B. Bailment	354	
		C. Employer's Duty to an Employee	354	
		D. Carriers	356	
		E. Hospitals	356	
		F. Occupiers	357	
		G. Landlords	359	
		H. Assumed Duties Generally?	359	
		I. A Radica Thought and a Doubt	365	
	VIII.	Conclusion	366	
14		lical Foundations of Common Law Non-Delegable Duties N MURPHY	369	
	I.	Introduction	369	
	II.	The Non-Delegable Duty/Vicarious Liability Relationship:		
		Some Red Herrings	371	
		A. Two Misleading Rationales	371	
		B. Three Problematic Cases	374	
		C. Judicial Confusion	378	
	III.	The Juridical Foundations of Non-Delegable Duties	379	
		A. Two Competing Features?	380	
		B. A Second Precondition: Affirmative Duty	387	
	IV.	Conclusion	390	

15	Peris	sh Vicarious Liability?	393
	DAV	/ID R WINGFIELD	
	I.	Introduction	393
	II. III.	Vicarious Liability was Once a Contractual Doctrine Contract Principles do not Explain or Justify the	395
	IV.	Imposition of Vicarious Liability in all Circumstances Tort Principles do Explain and Justify the Imposition of	398
		Vicarious Liability in all Circumstances	404
	V.	Unfortunately Courts Still Look to Contract Principles to Justify the Imposition of Vicarious Liability	413
	VI.	Conclusion: In Order to Change our Contractual Mindset We Need to use Tortious Words	417
16		parative Perspectives on Vicarious Liability: Defining the	
		e of Employment	419
		LA GILIKER	440
	I.	Introduction	419
	II.	Scope of Employment	422
	III.	Deciphering the 'Scope of Employment', the Common Law	422
	13.7	Approach A Fresh Perspective: Liability for the Acts of others in Civil	423
	IV.	Law	427
		A. The Concept of 'Abus de Fonction'	429
	V.	Finding a Rationale for Vicarious Liability	433
	VI.	Conclusion	438
	٧1.	Conclusion	130
17	W/ha	at is a Loss?	441
1/	ANI	DREW TETTENBORN	771
	I.	Introduction	441
	II.	Traditional Ideas: Money, Loss and Other	441
	11.	A. Is There any Relevant Loss at All?	444
		B. Loss and its Impact on the Plaintiff	450
		C. The Wrong Directly Impacts on Someone other than	
		the Plaintiff	452
	III.	The Logic of Loss	454
	IV.	A New Approach to the Problem of Loss	456
	V.	Conclusion	465
18	The KUN	Changing Face of the Gist of Negligence MARALINGAM AMIRTHALINGAM	467
	I.	Introduction	467
	II.	The Changing Face of the Gist of Negligence	468
		A. Increased/Industrial Risk	469
		B. Medical Risk and Patient Autonomy	476
		C. Loss of Chance	478
	III.	Conclusion and the Future of Negligence	483

19		Law in Practice: Appearance and Reality in Reforming odical Payments of Damages	487	
		HARD LEWIS		
	I.	Introduction	487	
	II.	The First Judicially Approved Structure	488	
	III.	The Need to Impose Periodical Payments	490	
	IV.	Limits on the Power to Impose Periodical Payments	492	
	V.	Exercise of the Court's Discretion to Award Periodical	101	
	171	Payments A Change to the Method for Associan Democra	494 496	
	VI.	A Change to the Method for Assessing Damages The Impact on the Bargaining Power of the Parties	497	
	V 11.	Why Costs for Insurers Will Increase	499	
	IX.	The Political Reasons for the Reform	501	
	X.	Canadian Comparisons	504	
	XI.	Conclusions	504	
	Λ1.	Conclusions	306	
20		Structure of the Intentional Torts OLIPHANT Introduction The Intentional Torts A. Meaning of 'Intentional Tort'	509	
		OLIPHANT	500	
	I.	Introduction	509	
	II.	The Intentional Torts	511	
		A. Meaning of 'Intentional Tort'	511	
	TTT	B. The Lack of General Principle in the Intentional Torts	513	
	III.	A General Liability for Intentional Injury?	516	
		A. The Early Tort Treatises	517	
		B. Liability for Malice in 19th-century Tort Cases	520	
	TT 7	C. The Rejection of Liability for Malicious Injury per se	522	
	IV.	Conclusion	530	
21	The Role of Intention in the Tort in Wilkinson v Downton			
		IISE REAUME	500	
	I.	Introduction	533	
	II.	Reconstructing the Original Understanding of the Tort in	50.	
	***	Wilkinson v Downton	536	
	III.	The Canadian Sequel	544	
		A. The Leap Across the Pond	544	
		B. The Rahemtulla Test: Intentional Infliction of Distress		
		Canadian-Style	546	
		C. Recent Developments	548	
	IV.	Conclusion: Future Prospects	553	
22	Where Principle Meets Pragmatism: Tort Law in Post-Colonial			
		ng Kong	557	
		K GLOFCHESKI		
	I.	Introduction	557	
	II.	The Common Law in Hong Kong	558	
	III.	Negligence	560	
	IV.	Workers and Tort Law	563	

xiv Contents

	A. Employers' Duty of Care	563
	B. Occupiers' Liability	564
	C. Breach of Statutory Duty	567
V.	Liability for the Wrongs of Others	568
	A. Property Management Companies	568
	B. Liability of Principal for Agent's Torts	569
	C. Employers' Vicarious Liability for the Torts of	
	Employees	572
VI.	Intentional Torts	575
VII.	Defamation	576
VIII.	Conclusion	577
Epilogi	ie	579
Index		581

Atth. Imm. Apolkshop. Com.