

INDEX

A

Accenture, 29
Accenture study (2003), 243–244
ADDIE (Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation), 257
Adobe Connect, 151, 156, 157
Agenda (meeting), 143e–144e
American Electric Power (AEP), 17–19
American Evaluation Association, 189
Amoco, 26
Analysis-paralysis, 89–91, 166, 257
Andersen Consulting, 253
Antignas, L., 230
ASTD, 29, 163, 250
ASTD's *State of the Industry Report* (2007), 243

Asynchronous interviews, 150
Authenticity: definition of, 128; effective communication and, 136–137; template on communication, 138t
Automation strategy, 108

B

Banking vignettes, 203, 204–209
Barwise, P., 114
Benettolo, T., 134–135
Bickham, T., 28, 203, 209–213
BlackBoard, 63
Blanchard, K., 104
Blended learning readiness example: background information on, 176, 180; data collected on, 181–182; handling of

- Blended learning readiness example:
(continued)
 qualitative data on, 189–190; on
 organizational, 185, 189; reporting results
 on, 186e–188e; results on employees, 183,
 184t; results on supervisors, 183, 185t
 what we learned about, 182
- Blogs (weblogs): data mining, 160–161;
 description of, 160
- Boeing, 43, 103
- Brache, A., 191
- Brinkerhoff, R., 16, 17
- British Petroleum, 31
- Bruner, J., 254
- Bryant, T., 230, 231, 233
- Bucher, R., 117
- Burgos, L., 238
- Bush, S., 63
- Businessweek* magazine, 154
- C**
- Carnegie Mellon University, 43
- Carr, N., 243
- Case studies: Charlotte Donaldson's banking
 vignettes, 203, 204–209; Chris Hall's
 Coast Guard SLDDB adoption, 203,
 217–220; Kendra Sheldon's computers in
 classrooms stories, 203, 209–213; Laura
 Handrick's The Maids Home Services
 story, 203, 234–239; lessons learned from
 the, 239; Shirley Gresham's failure
 investigation using performance analysis,
 203, 221–229; summary of, 203–204;
 Susan Madeira's look at manager
 orientation, 203, 229–234; Terry
 Bickham's commercial fishing story, 203,
 213–217. *See also* External consultants;
 Performance analysis examples
- Century 21 International, 23, 44
- Chan, A., 94–95
- Cincinnati, University of, 151
- Cisco, 157
- Clark, R., 13, 254
- Clay, C., 230, 231, 232
- Clinton, B., 55
- Coast Guard. *See* U.S. Coast Guard
- Cognitive science: implications for the
 analyst, 253–254; objectivist and
 constructivist schools of, 254–256;
 relevant perspectives and issues of, 253
- Comcast, 160–161
- Commercial fishing industry case study, 203,
 213–217
- Communication: with each decision maker,
 39; principles for effective, 127–133,
 136–145; South African case study on,
 134–135; threats to, 140t. *See also* Email
 communication
- Communication principles: 1. know your
 sources, 127–128; 2. be authentic, 128,
 136–137, 138t; 3. remember that
 performance analysis may be perceived as
 threatening, 137, 139–141; 4. emphasize
 planning, 141–145
- Confidentiality issues, 152–153
- Consultants. *See* External consultants
- “Cookies,” 152–153
- Costco, 252
- CPLP certification, 250
- CPT certification, 250
- Crovitz, L. G., 152, 153
- “Culture of suspicion,” 152

- Customers: feedback from, 119*t*, 120;
 providing materials on results to, 201;
 relationship management and, 248–250.
See also Organizations
- Cutter, A., 250–251
- Czech, C., 29
- D**
- Data: as critical to performance analysis,
 40–41; establishing virtual analyses to
 capture, 105–106; handling qualitative,
 189–190; knowing your sources for,
 127–128, 129*t*–133*t*, 136–137; making
 meaning with, 168–190; *New Yorker* study
 on gathering, 110; repurposing existing,
 99–102. *See also* Information
- Data collection: confidentiality and privacy
 issues of, 152–153; data mining for,
 157–164; email communication used for,
 146–150, 154–155; focus groups for,
 114–118, 155–157; interviews for,
 114–118, 148–151; knowing your sources
 for, 127–128, 129*t*–133*t*, 136–137;
 “straw” strategy used for, 102–104;
 surveys, 122–127, 151–155; technological
 resources listed for, 165*t*–166*t*. *See also*
 Information
- Data mining: blogs (weblogs), 160–161;
 data-sharing sites, 164; discussion groups
 for, 161; email and instant messaging (IM)
 for, 159–160; increasing use of, 157–159;
 QSR’s NVIVO tool for, 158*fig*–159; social
 networks and professional alliances,
 161–163; wikis, 163–164
- Data-sharing sites, 164
- Decision makers, 39
- Deloitte, 28, 29
- Delta, 2–3
- Development. *See* People development;
 Strategic development
- Dewey, J., 254
- Directions: derivation and examples of,
 47–49; filing critical gaps while
 identifying, 53–54; performance analysis
 for determining, 51–54; questions used to
 frame, 52–53. *See also* Performance drivers
- Discussion groups, 151, 152, 161
- Dissemination. *See* Reporting results
- DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyze,
 Improve, and Control), 226
- Donaldson, C., 203, 204, 209
- Dougherty, P. L., 43
- Drivers. *See* Performance drivers
- E**
- Eli Lilly Corporation, 26, 249
- Eliason, F., 161
- Elkeles, T., 16
- Email communication: data collection using,
 146–150; data mining using, 159–160;
 during engineering briefings performance
 analysis, 173*e*; etiquette for, 36–37; focus
 group interviews using, 155; follow-up
 contact using, 144–145; interviews using,
 148–150; planning your, 142–143;
 surveys using, 151–153; web surveys
 versus, 154–155. *See also* Communication;
 Technological tools
- Employees: performance analysis on blended
 learning readiness of, 176, 180–190;
 performance analysis perspective of
 incumbent, 129*t*

- Engineering briefings performance analysis: background information on, 169, 173, 175–176; email communication used during, 173*e*; engineers' perceptions of problems, 175*t*; follow-up email to report on, 181*e*; reporting results learned from, 177*e*–180*e*; survey questions used for, 174*e*
- Engineering firms: analysis-paralysis experienced at, 89–91; Floyd's operating system rollout template for, 97*t*; performance analysis for engineers template, 92*t*–93*t*; Qualcomm Learning Center case study on, 94–95
- Environment: examples of performance driver, 62*t*; as performance driver, 58–60; solutions matched with, 64*t*–65*t*
- e/pop*, 157
- Evaluation: converging performance analysis and, 243–245; template for converging performance analysis and, 246*t*–248*t*
- Executives: effective communication with decision making, 39; orientation case study and role of, 232; performance analysis perspective of, 133*t*. *See also* Managers; Supervisors
- External consultants: answering speedy performance analysis concerns, 16, 109–110; assessing need for training through performance analysis, 4–5; avoiding analysis-paralysis, 89–91, 166, 257; constructivism significance for, 254–256; governance model used by, 250–251; knowledge management by, 253; looking to the future, 257–260; relationship management by, 248–250; understanding value of 2.0 to, 252–253. *See also* Case studies; Performance analysis (PA); Training
- ## F
- Failure investigation case study: developing a failure investigation model, 226–227*fig*; good intuition and judgment used during, 224–225; identifying causes of performance problem, 223–224; investigating root causes of failure, 222–223; lessons learned during, 228–229; “Navigating Failure Investigations” map used during, 227*fig*; performance analysis plan for, 223; results of, 229; using case studies during investigation, 227–228; using performance analysis for, 221
- Fastpathing, 104
- Federal Trade Commission, 153
- Fidelity Investments, 31
- The Fifth Discipline* (Senge), 60, 107
- “Fingertip knowledge,” 241–242
- Fitz-Enz, J., 16
- Focus groups: email used for, 155; gathering information through, 114, 117–118; manager performance analysis orientation using, 231; online tools used to interview, 156–157; template on six kinds of questions for, 116*t*; template on use of, 115*t*; videoconferencing, 156–157
- Fogg, B. J., 13
- Follow-up contacts, 144–145
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 223

Foxon, M., 90
Fulop, M., 108

G

Gagne, R., 43
Gap zipper: Coast Guard example of, 37–39;
description of, 37
Generalize strategy, technique, 106–107
Gergen, D., 104
Gilbert Behavior Engineering Model, 5
Gilbert, T., 5, 13, 62, 250
Gladwell, M., 259
Goals, of performance analysis, 23
Google, 157, 160, 243
GoToMeeting, 151, 156
Governance model, 250–251
Gresham, S., 203, 221–229
Guest, S., 74–75

H

Hall, C., 203, 213, 217–220
A Handbook of Job Aids and Performance Support (Rossett and Schafer), 56
Handrick, L., 203, 234–239
Harless, J., 13, 250
Harvard Business Review, 114
Harwood, J., 63, 104
Helm, B., 154
Henkel, H., 75
Hertzberg, H., 110
Hewlett-Packard, 221
High Street, 110
HR.com, 159
Huberman, M., 39
Human resources challenges, 33–34
Hypotheses testing, 104

I

IBM: history of sales training at, 19–20;
information resources of, 55; performance
analysis practiced at, 31; seeking
opportunities at, 26; using drivers to
define solutions at, 63, 66*t*
iLink, 157
Illuminate, 157
*Improving Performance: How to Manage the
White Space on the Organization Chart*
(Rummler and Brache), 191
Incentives: description of, 60–61; as
performance driver, 60–62*t*; solutions
matched with, 64*t*–65*t*
Information: about direction, 47–49, 51–54;
knowing your sources of, 127–128,
129*t*–133*t*, 136–137; knowledge versus,
242–243; about performance drivers, 47,
49–51, 54–67*t*; “straw” strategy used to
gather, 102–104; web site “cookies”
collecting, 152–153. *See also* Data; Data
collection; Knowledge; Skills, knowledge,
information
Ingersoll Rand University, 74–75
Inside-out strategic development, 73
Instant messaging (IM), 159–160
Institute for Corporate Productivity
(i4cp), 159
Instructional systems development (ISD)
movement, 43
Intellectual Capital (Stewart), 56
International Society for Performance
Improvement (ISPI), 20, 29, 250
Internet discussion groups, 151–152, 161
Interviews: asynchronous, 150; emails used
for, 148–150; gathering information

Interviews: (*continued*)

through, 114, 117–118; manager performance analysis orientation using, 230–231; SurveyMonkey and Zoomerang for, 150, 153, 166; synchronous, 150–151; technological tools used for, 148, 150–151; template on six kinds of questions for, 116*t*; template on use of, 115*t*

Intrepid Learning Systems, 29

ISPI, 20, 29, 250

J

Jarche, H., 252

JetBlue, 72, 252

Jonassen, D., 254–255

K

Kang, C. T., 29

Kantor, R. M., 104

Keller, J., 253

Kelly, D., 59

Kirkpatrick, D., 16

Klein, J., 104

Knowledge: definition of, 242; “hagertip,” 241–242; information versus, 242–243; skills, knowledge, information as driver, 55–56, 62*t*; solutions matched with skills, information and, 64*t*–65*t*. *See also* Information

Knowledge management, 253

Krishnan, M. S., 72

Krosnick, J. A., 154

L

Learning, different approaches employed for, 1–4

Learning Circuits (ASTD journal), 163, 252

Learning management system (LMS), 74

Learning organization, 250–251

Learning Strategies Conference, 241

LearningTown: data mining on, 162–163; learning and development blog on, 1, 2

Learning-work convergence, 241–243

Leon, A., 104

Lewis, N., 1–2, 20, 31

Live Meeting (Microsoft), 151, 156

Lockheed Martin, 43

Lombardozzi, C., 47–49

Loop-Bartick, K., 108

M

Madeira, S., 203, 229–234

Mager, K., 13, 62, 250

The Maids Home Services case study: applying the survey results during, 236–239; background of, 203, 234–236; identifying the training problem, 236

Main Street, 110

Managers: case study on performance analysis, orientation for, 203, 220–234; data mining by, 157–164; performance analysis perspective of, 130*t*. *See also* Executives; Supervisors

Manufacturing plants safety programs: Emma’s safety challenge template for, 101*t*; repurposing existing data for analysis of, 99–100, 102

Marratech, 157

Masie, E., 103–104, 162, 241

Maturity levels, 43

Meaning construction: on blended learning readiness, 176, 180–190; on engineering

- briefings, 169, 173–176, 177*e*–180*e*;
using data for, 168–169; using familiar
software for, 170*t*–172*t*. *See also* Reporting
results
- Meehan, S., 114
- Meeting agenda, 143*e*–144*e*
- Mehta, R., 151, 152
- Meister, J., 72
- Merrill, M. D., 241, 242, 254
- MIKE acronym, 59
- Miles, M., 39
- Morgan, R., 43
- Motivation: factors determining, 56–58*t*; as
performance driver, 56–58*t*, 62; solutions
matched with, 64*t*–65*t*; viewed as
persistence of effort, 56
- Motorola, 90, 252
- N**
- NASA, 221
- “Navigating Failure Investigation” map,
227*fig*
- Needs assessment. *See* Training needs
assessment (TNA)
- The New York Times*, 160
- New Yorker* (magazine), 110
- NING social networking, 91
- Northwest Airlines, 2
- NVIVO (OSR), 158*fig*–159
- O**
- Observations: challenges and suggested
sources of, 121*t*–122*t*; gathering
information through, 118–120, 122;
stages in analysis for hotel check-in, 119*t*
- 1.0–2.0 world relationship, 252–253
- Online surveys: email and discussion groups
for, 151–153; focus groups and, 155–157;
“stripper” boxes used for, 152;
SurveyMonkey and Zoomerang for, 150,
153, 166; web survey features, 153–155
- Opportunities: gearing performance analysis
to the, 79–80*t*; performance analysis focus
on, 69–73; performance analysis stages for,
79, 83–88; as performance analysis tool, 25;
structuring performance analysis through,
75–79; technological tools as, 164–166;
templates for, 76*t*–80*t*, 84*t*–87*t*, 97*t*. *See
also* Problems
- Opportunity examples: “HR Business
Partners,” 81–82; IBM, 26; Ingersoll Rand
University, 74–75; mid-size aerospace-
defense firm, 81–82
- Opportunity types: 1. rollout, 70, 71*t*, 76*t*,
79, 83, 84; 2. problem, 26, 70, 71*t*, 72,
77*t*, 79, 83, 85*t*; 3. people development,
26, 71*t*, 72–73, 78*t*, 79, 86*t*, 88;
4. strategy development, 26, 71*t*, 73, 79,
80*t*, 87*t*, 88
- Organization policies: as environment
performance driver, 58–60, 62*t*, 64*t*–65*t*;
incentives punished/rewarded by, 61–62
- Organizational culture: incentives tied to,
60–62; performance drivers tied to
environment and, 58–60, 62*t*, 64*t*–65*t*
- Organizations: challenges facing human
resources of, 33–34; performance analysis
on blended learning readiness of,
182–190; performance analysis orientation
of managers by, 203, 229–234;
performance drivers tied to environment
of, 58–60, 64*t*–65*t*; roadblock for

Organizations: (*continued*)

constructivism in, 256; using governance model within learning, 250–251. *See also* Customers

Orientation case study: background

information on, 203, 229–230; initial plan during, 230; lessons learned from, 234; obstacles during the, 231–233; orientation process during, 230–231; results of, 233

Outside-in strategic development, 73

P

Partnering: as performance analysis

component, 20–22; self-assessment for, 22*e*

Paulk, C., 253

People Capability Maturity Model (People CMM), 43–44, 45*t*

People development: description as

opportunity, 72–73; performance analysis focus on, 78*t*, 79; performance analysis stages for addressing, 86*t*, 88; as performance analysis tool, 26; rationale for, 71*t*

Performance analysis (PA): assessing need for training through, 4–5; avoiding analysis-paralysis, 89–91, 166, 257; comparing needs assessment and, 8–9*t*, 31–33; considering context of, 19–20; constructivism application to, 254–256; converging evaluation and, 243–248*t*; defining, 20–29, 31; direction and drivers information used in, 47–67*t*; front end function of, 8; goals of, 23; limitations of, 202; perceived as threatening, controversial, and intrusive, 137,

139–141; systemic recommendations yielded by, 46*t*; two kinds of information used during, 47–51; virtual, 105–106. *See also* External consultants; Speedy performance analysis; Templates

Performance analysis components: different types of analysis, 29–33; drivers and barriers, 26–27; goals, 23; partnering, 20–22; several perspectives, 23–25; solution systems, 27–29

Performance analysis examples: on blended

learning readiness, 176, 180–190; communication challenges in South Africa/Southeast Asia, 134–135; on engineering briefings, 169, 173–176, 177*e*–180*e*, “HR Business Partners,” 81–82; IBM, 26; Ingersoll Rand University, 74–75; mid-size aerospace-defense firm, 81–82; Qualcomm Learning Center, 94–95; safety program for manufacturing plants, 99–102. *See also* Case studies

Performance analysis principles: 1. study prior to action improves quality, 36–39; 2. incorporating several sources yields better program, 39–40; 3. data as critical to figuring out what to do, 40–41; 4. need for systematic approach to analysis, 41–44; 5. systematic approach to situations, 44–47

Performance analysis results. *See* Reporting results

Performance analysis tools: opportunities as, 25, 69–88, 97*t*, 164–166; people development as, 26, 71*t*–73, 78*t*–79, 86*t*, 88; problems as, 26, 70–72, 77*t*, 79, 83, 85*t*

- strategic development as, 26, 71*t*, 73, 79, 80*t*, 87*t*, 88
- Performance Consulting* (Robinson and Robinson), 37, 49, 250
- Performance drivers: analysis report on, 194*e*–196*e*; defining solutions through, 63–66*t*; description and examples of, 49–51; examples of, 62*t*; four types of, 55–62; MIKE acronym for, 59; performance analysis to determine, 54–62*t*; solutions matched to, 64*t*–65*t*.
See also Directions
- Performance drivers types: 1. skills, knowledge, and information, 55–56, 62*t*, 64*t*–65*t*; 2. motivation, 56–58*t*, 62*t*, 64*t*–65*t*; 3. environment, tools, and processes, 58–60, 62*t*, 64*t*–65*t*; 4. incentives, 60–62*t*, 64*t*–65*t*
- Performance Improvement* (journal), 108
- Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 29, 44
- Pettry, D. B., 81–82
- Pfeiffer web site, 108
- Phillips, J., 13, 16
- Pipe, P., 13, 62, 250
- Planning communication: follow-up contacts, 144–145; importance of, 141; meeting agenda, 143*e*–144; reviewing appropriate material as part of, 141–142; voice mail/email, 142–143
- Policies. *See* Organization policies
- Porter, M., 73
- The Power of Thinking Without Thinking* (Gladwell), 259
- Prahalad, C. K., 72
- Privacy issues, 152–153
- Problems: description as opportunity, 70, 72; performance analysis focus on, 77*t*, 79; performance analysis stages for addressing, 83, 85*t*; as performance analysis tool, 26; rationale for, 71*t*. *See also* Opportunities
- Procter & Gamble, 59
- ## Q
- Qualcomm, 16
- Quality: example of performance analysis approach for, 37–39; as speedy performance analysis issue, 16, 109–110; study before performance analysis to ensure, 36–37
- Quesada, S., 249
- Questionnaires. *See* Surveys
- Quick-and-dirty analyses. *See* Speed performance analysis
- ## R
- Rancho Bizarro elementary school case study, 209–211
- Rearview validation, 104
- Reich, R., 55
- Relationship management, 248–250
- Report format: background section, 192*e*; conclusions section, 198*e*–199*e*; current situation section, 193*e*–194*e*; drivers and causes section, 194*e*–196*e*; outcomes section, 192*e*–193*e*; recommendation section, 197*e*–198*e*; solution systems section, 196*e*–197*e*
- Reporting results: admit to analysis limitations, 202; blended learning readiness, 186*e*–188*e*; engineering briefings performance analysis, 177*e*–180*e*;

- Reporting results: (*continued*)
 guidelines for effective, 190, 199–201;
 providing materials for customers on, 201;
 template for report format, 192*e*–199*e*. *See also* Meaning construction
- Richey, R., 253
- Robinson, D., 13, 37, 49, 50, 62, 250
- Robinson, J., 37, 49, 50, 62, 250
- Rollout: description as opportunity, 70;
 Floyd's operating system, 97*t*; performance
 analysis focus on, 76*t*, 79; performance
 analysis stages for addressing, 79, 83, 84*t*;
 rationales as, 71*t*
- Rosenberg, M. J., 13, 260
- Rossett, A., 23, 29, 51, 56, 91, 163,
 224, 225
- RSS (Real Simple Subscription), 160
- Rummler, G., 13, 191, 250
- S**
- Sabshin, M., 117
- SAIC (Science Applications International
 Corporation), 29, 55
- San Diego State University, 37
- Schafer, L., 56
- Schatzman, L., 117
- Scott, A., 26
- Search and Rescue (SAR): Coast Guard
 mission of, 217; SLDMB adoption for,
 203, 217–220
- Second Life, 3
- Senge, P., 60, 107
- Sheldon, K., 203, 209–213
- Siemens, G., 252
- Silver bullet, 28–29
- Sivadas, E., 151, 152
- Six Degrees Company, 4
- The Six Disciplines of Breakthrough Learning*
 (Wick and colleagues), 21, 249
- Six Sigma, 226
- Skills, knowledge, information: as
 performance drivers, 55–56, 62*t*; solutions
 matched with, 64*t*–65*t*. *See also*
 Information
- Skinner, B. F., 253
- SLDMB (Self-Locating Data Marker Buoy),
 203, 217–220
- Smith, K., 26
- Social networking: data mining sites for,
 161–163; Learning Town, 162; NING, 91;
 Twittering, 104
- Software Engineering Institute (Carnegie
 Mellon University), 43
- Solution partner perspective, 132*t*
- Solution systems: analysis report section on,
 196*e*–197*e*; drivers matched with,
 64*t*–65*t*; IBM approach to, 63, 66*t*;
 performance analysis yielding
 recommendations for, 46*t*; performance
 drivers as defining, 63–66*t*; silver bullet
 versus, 28–29; Solution System Self-
 Check, 30*e*
- Speed performance analysis: concerns about,
 11*t*, 109–110; issues to consider in,
 15–17; strategies for shaving time off,
 96–108; summary of methods for, 111.
See also Performance analysis (PA)
- Speed performance analysis strategies:
 1. clarify the effort, 96–99; 2. repurpose
 existing data, 99–102; 3. use “straw”
 approach to gathering data, 102–104,
 106–107; 4. establish hypotheses and test

- them with sources, 104; 5. establish a system for virtual analyses, 105–106; 6. generalize, 106–107; 7. collapse the steps, 107–108; 8. rely on automation to speed the process, 108
- Spuches, C. M., 43
- State of the Industry Report* (ASTD, 2007), 243
- Stephenson, R., 37–39
- Stelter, B., 160
- Stewart, T., 56, 253
- Strategy development: description as opportunity, 73; inside-out and outside-in perspectives on, 73; performance analysis focus on, 79, 80; performance analysis stages for addressing, 87, 88; as performance analysis tool, 26; rationale for, 71
- Strauss, A., 117
- “Straw” strategy: gathering data using the, 102–104; for generalizing and presenting discoveries, 106–107
- Strayer, J., 4–5, 23, 44
- “Stripper” boxes, 152
- Subject matter experts (SMEs): failure investigating strategies used by, 224–225; performance analysis perspective of, 130; training contributions by, 17–18
- Sugrue, B., 20, 31
- Supervisors: data mining by, 157–164; performance analysis on blended learning readiness of, 183, 185; performance analysis perspective of, 130; rating blended learning and responsibilities, 185. *See also* Executives; Managers
- Survey tools: email as, 148–150; email versus web surveys, 154–155; SurveyMonkey, 150, 153, 166; Zoomerang, 150, 153
- Surveys: employee responses to learning activities, 184; engineering briefings performance analysis, 174, 176; information gathered through, 122–123, 127; manager performance analysis orientation using, 231; partial survey for training/development professionals, 125; partial survey to measure priority needs and anticipated barriers, 126; stages of analysis associated with, 124; supervisor rating blended learning and responsibilities, 185; technological tools used for, 151–155
- Swanson, D., 62
- Synchronous interviews, 150–151
- Systematic approach: People CMM’s, 43–44, 45; to performance analysis, 41–42; to solutions, 44, 47
- ## T
- Tata, 43
- Taylor, M., 17–19
- Technological tools: American Evaluation Association web site on, 189; benefits and promise of new, 258; data mining using, 157–164; focus groups using, 155–157; for handling qualitative data, 189–190; interview using, 148–151; opportunities available through, 164–166; prices and costs of, 190; resources listed, 165–166; software used for performance analysis, 170–172; surveys using, 151–155. *See also* Email communication; Web 2.0 technologies

- Templates: authentic communication, 138*t*;
 challenges/sources of observed
 information, 121*t*–122*t*; converging
 performance analysis and evaluation,
 246*t*–248*t*; Emma's safety challenge, 101*t*;
 interviews and focus groups, 115*t*, 116*t*;
 partial survey for training/development
 professionals, 125*t*; partial survey to
 measure priority needs and anticipated
 barriers, 126*t*; performance analysis for
 engineers, 92*t*–93*t*; report format,
 192*e*–199*e*; stages in analysis for global
 training/development professionals, 124*t*;
 stages in analysis for hotel check-in, 119*t*;
 threats to communication, 140*t*. *See also*
 Performance analysis (PA)
- Templates for opportunities, 87*t*; Floyd's
 operating system, 97*t*; people development
 focus, 78*t*; problem focus, 77*t*; rollout
 focus, 76*t*; stages for a problem, 85*t*; stages
 for people development, 86*t*; stages for
 rollout, 84*t*; strategy development
 focus, 80*t*
- Thach, L., 151
- Time Warner Cable, 251
- Tracey, P., 243
- Training: challenges of, 33–34; determining
 direction of, 51–54; determining drivers
 of, 54–62*t*; performance analysis to assess
 need for, 4–5; using drivers to define
 solutions, 63–66*t*. *See also* External
 consultants
- Training Needs Assessment* (Rossett), 9, 32,
 51, 225
- Training needs assessment (TNA):
 comparing performance analysis and,
 8–9*t*, 31–33; definition of, 7, 31;
 proposed redefinition of, 7–8
- Transportation Security Administration
 (TSA), 50
- TRDEV-L (Discussion Group for Training
 and Development), 162
- Triangulation, 39–40
- Truesdell, S., 55
- Turow, J., 152
- Turtle Middle School case study, 211–213
- Twittering, 104
- ## U
- University of Cincinnati, 151
- U.S. Coast Guard: commercial fishing
 industry case study and, 203, 213–217;
 example of gap zapper in, 37–39; Search
 and Rescue (SAR) mission of, 217;
 SLDMB adoption by the, 203, 217–220;
 solution system created for, 28–29
- U.S. Navy, 29, 221
- U.S. Navy, Human Performance
 Center, 241
- ## V
- Vanguard University, 47–49
- Videoconferencing systems, 156–157
- Virtual performance analyses, 105–106
- Voice mail planning, 142–143
- Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), 157
- Vyew, 157
- ## W
- Wademan, M. R., 43
- Wall Street Journal*, 152
- Wayne State University, 253

- Web 2.0 technologies: definition of, 252; performance analysis using, 163; social networking, 91, 104, 161–163; understanding value of, 252–253; wikis, 163. *See also* Technological tools
- Web sites: American Evaluation Association, 189; “cookies” collecting information from, 152–153; data-sharing, 164; NING social networking, 91; Pfeiffer, 108; Twittering, 104
- Web surveys: email versus, 154–155; features of, 153–154
- WebEx, 151, 156, 157
- Wells Fargo, 31
- Wick, C., 21, 249
- Wikipedia, 163
- Wikis, 163
- Williams, J., 163
- Wilson, R., 59
- Wimba, 156, 157
- X**
- XSight, 159
- Y**
- Yahoo, 160
- Yugma, 157
- Z**
- Zemke, K., 13, 250
- Zoomerang, 150, 153

<http://www.pbookshop.com>